


Management of the Invasive Erythrina Gall Wasp, *Quadrasticus erythrinae* Kim
(Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) on Native and Landscape *Erythrina* spp.

Executive Summary

The erythrina gall wasp, *Quadrasticus erythrinae*, (EGW) is one of the most devastating invasive species introduced into the State of Hawaii. EGW host range includes *Erythrina sandwicensis*, a native and large component of dry land forest areas, as well as *Erythrina variegata*, abundant in landscapes. Our work focused on immediate control of this pest with safe and effective insecticides. Insecticides and application methods were selected based on criteria of efficacy, treatment longevity and non target impact. Five studies were conducted on different host spp. in dryland forest areas, resorts and landscapes on both east and west sides of the Island of Hawaii. Native species were more tolerant of EGW infestation than *E variegata*. Imidacloprid applied systemically as a root drench or injected through trunks was effective against EGW. Root drenches were inconsistent and recommended only for containerized trees or those irrigated and naturally contained. Trunk injection systems were very efficacious but varied in response among injection systems. One of the most effective injection systems evaluated was the Arborjet system (arborjet.com); it performed consistently and allowed for the most volume of liquid to be injected into a trunk through the fewest locations. Imidacloprid was very persistent within the leaves and can provide season- or year-long control. Our results were shared with clientele at site visits and at formal meetings and seminars. Results were published in a refereed journal and presented at national and branch meetings of the Entomological Society of America. Adoption of treatment recommendations has occurred but has been limited by the devastating nature of the wasp, remoteness of certain areas and costs associated with treatment.



FINAL REPORT: “Management of the Invasive *Erythrina* Gall Wasp, *Quadrasticus erythrinae* Kim (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) on Native and Landscape *Erythrina* spp.”

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Arnold H. Hara, Entomologist
University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, College of Tropical
Agriculture and Human Resources

PERIOD COVERING: July 01, 2006 to September 04, 2007

1. Conduct chemical treatment studies to evaluate the effectiveness of the following against EGW in *Erythrina* seedlings and saplings:
 - a. Acephate, carbaryl and/or abamectin as foliar treatments
 - b. Imidacloprid, acephate, and/or dinotefuran.

Foliar treatment of carbaryl, (Sevin) known to be highly toxic against wasps, was conducted in July and August 2006. Five spray applications of carbaryl repeated every two weeks at the recommended label rate provided only minimum effect on heavily infested saplings of *E. sandwicensis* and did not provide the residual activity to make it cost-effective. Therefore, foliar applications with other more expensive insecticides were not conducted. Efficacy of imidacloprid (Merit) and dinotefuran (Safari) applied as drenches at the recommended labeled rate against EGW were conducted in collaboration with a resort in West Hawaii on windbreak wiliwili (Fig 1). Imidacloprid and dinotefuran were applied at the point of irrigation in conjunction with liquid fertilizer to optimize uptake and increase plant vigor. Response to imidacloprid drench noted by new flush growth was observed 3 weeks after treatment and effectiveness continued to 4 months after treatment with no emergence of adult wasps from the few new galls that were observed on treated trees. Dinotefuran drenches were effective within 2.5 weeks of application but severe damage reoccurred in <4 months. Apparently, dinotefuran is much more water soluble than imidacloprid and explains the shorter residual activity. Systemic insecticide drenches will have a greater likelihood of success in treatment of containerized seedlings and saplings due to the confined root systems as compared with trees in the landscape with sprawling roots and groundcover.

2. Conduct chemical treatment studies to evaluate the effectiveness of the following against EGW in *E. sandwicensis* and other *E. spp* used for landscaping and wind breaks:
 - a. Imidacloprid and dinotefuran as drench treatments and soil injections
 - b. Imidacloprid and abamectin with and without irrigation using the Mauget, Wedgle, and Sidewinder injection systems

The initial study determined that imidacloprid can be effective against the EGW when trunk injected with the Mauget system; it reduced emergence from galls over a period of four months (Fig 1). Drenching with imidacloprid was not effective. Abamectin was not effective applied as an injection. The second and third trials were installed on endemic

wiliwili tree, *Erythrina sandwicensis* O. Deg., trees in a native dryland forest at Pu'u Wa'awa'a and Waikoloa, Hawaii. The fourth trial was established in an irrigated resort landscape at the Hualalai Resort, Hualalai, Hawaii, on the coral tree, *Erythrina variegata*. At the irrigated resort setting, drenches of imidacloprid and also dinotefuran were included as treatments. Results from drench treatments repeatedly showed little or no results except in situations where roots were confined and concentrated because of containerization and controlled irrigation. The natural root system of erythrina which appear to be sparse and spread across a large area contributed to poor systemic uptake. Competition by neighboring plants or turf exacerbated the problem of uptake. Drenching with imidacloprid and dinotefuran was effective in one situation where tree roots were confined between a wall and sidewalk and received irrigation to a small area around them (Fig 2). Imidacloprid treatments were made using commercially available injection equipment and according to label recommendations of the formulations. Wedgle Direct-Inject (Arbor Systems, Omaha, NE), Sidewinder Precision Tree Injector (Noosaville, QLD, Australia) and the Mauget Imicide (JJ Mauget Co, Arcadia, CA) were tested. Data was collected on emergence from galled leaf samples, galling severity, and imidacloprid residue within leaves using ELISA and HPLC techniques. Among the three injection systems tested, Wedgle, Sidewinder and Mauget, Mauget delivered the highest concentration of imidacloprid in leaves but all systems were confounded by variability of uptake as indicated by large variability among and within the injection systems and locations (Fig 3) Despite the variability, a trend of reduced wasp emergence with increasing imidacloprid levels were observed. High correlation between concentration of imidacloprid and emergence of wasps or infestation severity rating of samples was demonstrated (Fig. 4); therefore, tissue analysis may be used to predict when re-treatment of trees is necessary. Trials have shown that imidacloprid is stable in the tissue with high residues detectable for more than 6 months. In studies at Pu'uwa'awa'a, monthly fluctuations in gall wasp populations, may have been related to rainfall, new flushes and availability of food resources (ungalled leaf tissue) (Fig. 5).

The fifth and final trial was conducted in East Hawaii and included another injection system, Arborjet Tree IV (Arborjet, Woburn, MA; arborjet.com) injection system tested on tall or windbreak form of *E. variegata*. In this study, the highest concentrations of imidacloprid within tissue were measured in the Arborjet injected trees as compared with Mauget or Wedgle (Tables 2 to 4). Imidacloprid levels were >300ppm using the Arborjet formulation, IMA-Jet (5% imidacloprid) and Arborjet injector. Stability of imidacloprid within the tissue was demonstrated yielding complete season long control with one trunk injection. This study determined that in addition to the concentration of imidacloprid injected, the volume of carrier injected into the tree is important to allow sufficient transport from the injection site to leaves. The Merit 200SL (17.1% imidacloprid) treatment delivered with the Arborjet system provided more active ingredient of imidacloprid, but did not result in leaves with the highest concentrations of residue, which likely due to less overall injection volume (Tables 2 and 3). The IMA-Jet (5% imidacloprid) delivered the highest volume. The IMA-Jet and Merit 200 SL treatments remained effective through complete leaf senescence, dormancy and into the second growing season of the tall erythrina trees (Table 4). This study indicates that one injection may possibly deliver two seasons of control. Further evaluation is needed to confirm the longevity of one injection.

3. Sample the plant tissues to measure both the concentration of chemical and the number of wasps produced per sample (See Fig 1,2 to 5; Table 2 to 4).

Methodologies were effectively developed to quantify emergence of wasps. Galled leaf tissue samples were collected and galls that lack emergence holes were excised from surrounding tissue, weighed, and held in paper bowls covered in silkscreen for 2 weeks. At the end of that time emerged wasps were counted and numbers of wasps/g of gall tissue calculated. In addition to calculating emergence of wasps, a 5 point rating index of infestation severity was created to evaluate degree of galling. Concentration of imidacloprid in leaf tissue was measured by both HPLC and ELISA methods..

4. From the results of the above studies, evaluate the following:
 - a. Optimal application time in relation to tree biology
 - b. Optimal application for drenches and soil injection
 - c. Duration of protection offered by drenches and injections
 - d. Optimal number of injections per year

The optimal time for application of imidacloprid is prior to leaf flushing and development of severe galling. This is especially important in areas where trees are growing under stressful conditions and have a limited ability to initiate new leaves or flush only seasonally. When imidacloprid is injected after severe galling and defoliation, trees have taken more than two months to begin to respond and develop new leaves in areas with abundant natural rainfall. Imidacloprid can be trunk injected prior to break of dormancy. Due to the limited success of drenches, drenches are currently recommended for small establishing trees, containerized trees, or trees with confined root systems. Although there is no perfect commercial trunk injection system, the Arborjet system has outperformed the others we have evaluated and would be recommended for most situations. One injection per year is a likely treatment regime. In certain circumstances, control in a second year following dormancy is possible through trunk injection.

5. Evaluate injection systems for management of large trees and trees in forested areas.

This project developed a table that displays the relative advantages and disadvantages of the different commercial injection systems (Table 1). The Arborjet Tree IV is the most efficacious injection system because it is capable of injecting the greatest quantity of formulation into the tree using the fewest injection holes in a manner that allows assurance that the volume was successfully injected. The IMA-Jet formulation of imidacloprid for use with the Arborjet system also appears to have certain characteristics that allow for better mobility within the tree. Using the IMA-Jet formulation in the Sidewinder system may be less labor intensive than the Arborjet system and a good choice injecting in more remote locations (e.g., forest situations) where self-contained equipment is important.

6. Conduct tests to determine the long-term effect of drilling/boring into trees and the ability of plants to translocate chemicals in natural conditions with little or no rainfall.

This study did not observe any negative effects of drilling into trees more than a year after injections. As a precaution, our treatment recommendations were developed to require drilling the fewest holes with the greatest interval between treatments. This study has determined that imidacloprid can be translocated in arid situations, including natural dryland forest areas. However, most of the injection systems did not perform as well and reliably under these dry arid conditions as compared with higher rainfall and irrigated areas.

7. Publicize research results via web pages, an outreach bulletin, press releases, and manuscripts submitted for publication in scientific, forestry, landscape trade journals, and newsletters.

In addition to numerous personal meetings with landscape professionals at resorts throughout Hawaii, seminars were held on the Big Island, Maui and Oahu (Attachment 1). The meetings were attended on the average by 50 landscapers, arborist and other professionals. A manuscript, "Application of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the analysis of imidacloprid in wiliwili tree, *Erythrina sandwichensis* O. Deg, for control of the wasp *Quadrastichus erythrinae*." by Ting Xu, Christopher Jacobsen, Arnold Hara and Qing Li has been published in the Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry (Attachment 2). A second manuscript has been prepared for Arthropod Management Tests and for another referred publication (Attachment 3). Our work has also been presented at both the Pacific Branch and national meetings of the Entomological Society of America and has been of great interest to researchers in both Florida and California since the gall wasp was recently introduced into Florida and California.

Refereed Publication:

Xu, T., C. Jacobsen, A. Hara and Q. Li. 2006. Application of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the analysis of imidacloprid in wiliwili tree, *Erythrina sandwichensis* O. Deg, for control of the wasp *Quadrastichus erythrinae*. J. Agric. Food Chem. .54: 8444-8449.

Presentations:

Jacobsen, C. and A. H. Hara 2006. Chemical control of *Quadrastichus erythrinae* infesting *Erythrina* spp. in Hawaii's diverse environmental conditions. Symposium: Recent Advances in the Biological Control and Chemical Control of Arthropods in Floriculture. Pacific Branch, Entomological Society of America, 90th Annual Meeting, Wailea Resort, Maui, Hawaii.

Jacobsen, C.M., A.H. Hara, T. Xym Q. X. Li, A.M. LaRosa and R. Hauff. 2006. Analysis of imidacloprid concentrations within *Erythrina* spp. leaf tissues as a monitoring and predictive tool for control of erythrina gall wasp, *Quadrastichus erythrinae* Kim, infestations. 54th Annual Meeting of the Entomological Society of America, Dec. 2006, Indianapolis, IN (poster).

Hara, A.H. 2006. Chemical control of the erythrina gall wasp – Trials and tribulation. Annual Landscape Industry Council of Hawaii Conference, Honolulu, HI.

Hara, A.H. 2006. Chemical control of the erythrina gall wasp. United Agri-Products Seminar, Honolulu, HI.

Jacobsen, C.M. 2007 Erythrina Gall Wasp Control Update: Chemical control recommendations, Seminar for landscapers and arborists. Kona Outdoor Circle, Feb. 2007, Kailua-Kona, HI.

Hara, A.H. 2007 and C.M. Jacobsen. Update on Control of the Erythrina Gall Wasp and Other Invasive Pests. United Agri-Products Seminar, May 17, 2007, Honolulu, HI.

Hara, A.H. and C.M. Jacobsen 2007. Update on the Control of the Erythrina Gall Wasp (EGW) and Other Invasive Pests. Cooperative Extension Service, Maui Community College, June 2007, Kahului, HI

Website presentation:

Hara, A.H. and C.M. Jacobsen. 2007. Update on the Control of the Erythrina Gall Wasp and Other Invasive Pests.

[http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/haraa/EGWotherinvasive0507%20\(NXPowerLite\)_files/frame.htm](http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/haraa/EGWotherinvasive0507%20(NXPowerLite)_files/frame.htm)

Press Release:

“Wasp swap: A relief for wiliwili?” By Travis Kaya, Maui News, June 18, 2007.

<http://www.mauinews.com/news/2007/6/18/01wasp0618.html>

Fig. 1. Number of erythrina gall wasps emerging from gall tissue after treatment with Imicide (imidacloprid) and Abacide (abamectin) delivered by the Mauget injection system, and Merit (imidacloprid) and Safari (dinotefuran) drenches.




Fig. 2. Successful drench of imidacloprid in confined irrigated location.



Fig. 3. Correlation between treatments of *E. sandwicensis* trees and concentration of imidacloprid or emergence of wasps.




Fig. 4. Correlation between concentration of imidacloprid and emergence of wasps or infestation severity rating of samples.





Fig. 5.

Fluctuation of levels of gall wasps and damage symptoms at Pu'u Wa'awa'a during the first 6 months post infestation inception.



* Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 emergence holes/ g gall tissue. A rating of 5 represented >60 emergence holes/ g gall tissue.

Table 1. Comparison of different tree injection systems under evaluation of efficacy studies.

Treatment System	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost of System Cost per Tree (20")
ArborSystems Wedgle Direct-Inject	Creates least wounding of tree trunk among systems. Efficient placement and uptake of chemical. Treatment is relatively quick; no waiting for chemical uptake.	Some leaking of chemical (<0.3ml) during treatment. The least quantity of AI is applied of any system. Must use ArborSystems' chemical formulation.	\$605 for Wedgle Direct-Inject Pointer \$305/ 120ml (5% AI) \$28-41
Arborjet Tree IV Micro Infusion System	Injects the largest volume of insecticide through the fewest injection sites. Compatible with other formulations if desired. Able to see chemical uptake.	Pretreatment holes need to be drilled. Occasional leaking. Must wait for treatment to finish (usually 15-20 min up to 1 hr). Remote application is impractical due to bulky equipment.	\$699 for 2 tree IVs & kit; \$315 for each additional IV IMA-jet \$175/ 500ml (5% AI) \$56
Mauget Ready to use 3ml Micro injector Capsules	Formulation is premeasured and ready for placement. No additional equipment other than a drill is required. Able to see chemical uptake.	Pretreatment holes need to be drilled. Wound remains unplugged. Passive system; tree does not always uptake product. Need to return later to collect the caps.	Imicide \$116 for 24, 3ml capsules (10% AI) \$48
Sidewinder Tree Injectors Backpack Tree Injector	Complete unit is carried on the back and includes drill and injection equipment. Somewhat heavy but practical for remote locations. No waiting for uptake Compatible with different formulations.	Pretreatment holes need to be drilled. Occasional leakage. Difficult to assure the entire dose was administered. More injection sites are needed as compared with Arborjet Tree IV.	\$1584 for Backpack Injector Insecticide is from other manufacturers following their labeled rates.

Table 2. Efficacy of various treatments applied to *Erythrina variegata* 10 weeks after treatment.

Treatment Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P=0.005	Emergence Rating* P<0.0005	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P<0.0005	Imidacloprid Concentration $\mu\text{g/g}$ P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	4.8a \pm 0.25	3.8a \pm 0.25	21.4a \pm 2.04	0.0a \pm 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10% AI	0.15 ml	3.3ab \pm 0.48	1.5bc \pm 0.29	8.9bc \pm 2.88	2.9a \pm 0.06
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5% AI	0.026 ml	3.3ab \pm 0.48	1.8bc \pm 0.25	4.8c \pm 0.87	7.3ab \pm 0.12
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1% AI	0.77 ml	3.5ab \pm 0.29	1.3bc \pm 0.25	8.7bc \pm 3.87	38.7b \pm 1.45
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	2.0b \pm 0.71	0.8c \pm 0.25	0.7c \pm 0.51	320.7c \pm 17.30
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4% AI	1.28 ml	4.5a \pm 0.29	2.3b \pm 0.25	15.8ab \pm 2.56	0.2a \pm 0.0

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Means in a column with different letters are significantly different by Tukey's multiple Comparison procedure (P<0.05).

Table 3. Efficacy of various treatments applied to *Erythrina variegata* 20 weeks after treatment.

Treatment: Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating P<0.0005	Emergence Rating P=0.001	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue	Imidacloprid Concentration µg/g P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	5.0a ± 0.0	3.5a ± 0.50	15.2a ± 2.66	0.0a ± 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10 % AI	0.15 ml	3.3ab ± 0.25	1.8ab ± 0.48	3.2b ± 1.46	5.4a ± 0.47
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5 % AI	0.026 ml	3.3ab ± 0.25	1.5abc ± 0.29	3.0b ± 1.57	3.0a ± 0.27
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1 % AI	0.77 ml	1.5bc ± 0.87	0.5bc ± 0.50	0.4b ± 0.24	36.3b ± 2.03
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	0.3c ± 0.25	0.0c ± 0.0	0.07b ± 0.07	234.7c ± 12.4
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4 % AI	1.28 ml				

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Means in a column with different letters are significantly different by Tukey's multiple Comparison procedure (P<0.05).

Table 4. Efficacy of various treatments applied to *Erythrina variegata* 12 months after treatment.

Treatment: Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P=0.206	Emergence Rating* P=0.001	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P=0.071	Imidacloprid Concentration µg/g
Untreated	-----				0.0 ± 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10 % AI	0.15 ml	3.0a ± 0.58	2.3a ± 0.33	6.3a ± 1.23	1.7 ± 0.25
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5 % AI	0.026 ml	3.8a ± 0.25	3.3a ± 0.25	6.2a ± 1.57	0.7 ± 0.15
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1 % AI	0.77 ml	1.3a ± 0.88	0.3b ± 0.33	2.5a ± 0.80	21.0 ± 0.58
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	2.3a ± 1.03	0.8b ± 0.48	1.5a ± 1.27	41.0 ± 4.0
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4 % AI	1.28 ml				


*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Means in a column with different letters are significantly different by Tukey's multiple Comparison procedure (P<0.05).



SEMINAR FOR LANDSCAPERS & ARBORISTS

“Erythrina Gall Wasp Control”



Topics:

- **Update: chemical control recommendations** – Chris Jacobsen, Univ. of Hawaii
- **Update: biological control research, HDOA** - Chris Jacobsen, Univ. of Hawaii
- **Tree injection with the Arborjet Injection System** – Arborjet representatives
- **Informal Discussion** - Participants share their info and results treating for EGW
- **Demonstration of actual tree injection** – Arborjet reps, in KOC garden

Date: Thursday, February 1, 2007

Time: 9 am – 12:00 pm

Place: Kona Outdoor Circle

Sponsored by the Cooperative Extension Service-UH Manoa and Arborjet

**EGW Seminar
Kona Outdoor Circle
Feb 1, 2007**

Below: Russ Davis of Arborjet provides a powerpoint presentation at the seminar.



Below: Joe Docola of Arborjet demonstrates an injection technique with a live tree.





UAP 7TH Annual Seminar

Thursday, May 17, 2007

Pearl Country Club

- 11:30-12:15 45 Minute Lunch Break
- 12:15-1:00 #1 Update on Control of the Erythrina Gall Wasp & Other Invasive Species
Dr. Arnold H. Hara, Professor & Entomologist, Dept of Plant and Environmental Sciences
University of Hawaii at Manoa
www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/haraa
DOA Credits 1/ Pvt 1, Cat. 1A, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10
Certified Arborists: Tree worker CEUs: 0.75 Credits
Arborist CEUs: 0.75 Credits
Board Certified Arborist: Science CEUs: 0.75 Credits
Course Code: WE-07-180
- Spring #2 Biology & Control of Soil Borne Pathogens of Turfgrass: Fairy Ring Bermuda Decline & Dead Spot
Dr. Frank Wong, Cooperative Extension Specialist, University of California, Riverside
www.turfpathology.ucr.edu
Sponsored By: Randy Rider, Syngenta www.syngenta.com
DOA Credits 1/ Cat. 3
- #4 Establishing Bermuda grass and Seashore Paspalum as Golf Turf
Dr. Sebastian Braum, Manager, Agronomic Services & Marketing Support
www.yara.com
- 1:00-1:05 5 Minute Break
- 1:05-1:50 #1 Vertebrate Pest Management
Scott McCalley, Western Regional Manager, Lipatech
www.liphatech.com
DOA Credits 1/ Pvt 1, Cat. 1A, 2, 3, 7C, 8, 10
- #2 Application Principles & Their Effect on Turf Disease Control
Richard F. Fletcher, Director, Product Development, Cleary Chemical Corporation
www.clearychemical.com
DOA Credits 1/ Cat. 3
- #3 Landscape Fertility Cultural / Fertilizer Recommendations for Landscape Plants

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE

College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources
University of Hawai'i at Mānoa
United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating

May 12, 2007

To: Landscape & Golf Course Industries

From: Norman Nagata, Assistant Extension Agent

You are invited to this seminar on invasive landscape pests that will be presented by Dr. Arnold Hara, Entomologist, University of Hawaii, College of Tropical Agriculture & Human Resources.

**Update on the Control of the Erythrina Gall Wasp (EGW)
and Other Invasive Pests**

Date: June 7, 2007 (Thursday)
Time: 3:00 to 4:00 pm
Place: Maui Community College, Science Building 10A
Recertification Credits: Pesticide (HDOA categories 1A, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9 & 10),
Golf Course Superintendent (GCSAA) & UH/CES Maui Landscape IPM
Registration: You may register to attend this "free seminar" by responding to this email notice or
by calling the Cooperative Extension Service at 244-3242.

Your registration will insure that you will receive any handouts that may be provided and that you have attended this program for auditing purposes for recertification credits.

PROGRAM

- I. History & Status of the EGW in Hawaii
- II. Biology of the EGW
 - A. Identification
 - B. Duration of life stages
 - C. Host list
 - D. Gall formation
 - E. Effect on host
- III. Control Strategies
 - A. Chemical
 - 1. Foliar
 - 2. Systemic drench
 - 3. Systemic injection
 - 4. Field trials
 - B. Non-Chemical
 - 1. Cultural control – replacement cultivars or species
 - 2. Classical biological control
 - a) Status of parasitoids from Africa
 - b) Potential for long-term control of EGW
- IV. Other Invasive Species Updates
 - A. Papaya mealybug
 - B. Coqui frog
 - C. Nettle caterpillar
 - D. Little fire ant
- V. Conclusions

If you have any needs due to your disability, please contact Norman Nagata at 244-3242 by May 21, 2007.

cc: Arnold Hara, Robert Paull, Kenneth Grace, Harold Keyser & Wayne Nishijima,

Wiliwili-Pest Update Seminar 6-7-07

Maui CES Office, 310 Kaahumanu Avenue, Building 214, Kahului, Hawaii 96732
Telephone: (808) 244-3242, Facsimile: (808) 244-7089, E-Mail: kahului@ctahr.hawaii.edu, Web: www2.ctahr.hawaii.edu

An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Institution

JOURNAL OF
**AGRICULTURAL AND
 FOOD CHEMISTRY**

1 Application of an Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay for the
 2 Analysis of Imidacloprid in Wiliwili Tree, *Erythrina*
 3 *sandwicensis* O. Deg, for Control of the Wasp *Quadrastichus*
 4 *erythrinae*

5 TING XU,[†] CHRISTOPHER M. JACOBSEN,[‡] IL KYU CHO,[†] ARNOLD H. HARA,[‡] AND
 6 QING X. LI^{*,†}

7 Department of Molecular Biosciences and Bioengineering, University of Hawaii, Honolulu,
 8 Hawaii 96822, and Beaumont Agricultural Research Center, 875 Komohana Street, University of
 9 Hawaii, Hilo, Hawaii 96720

10
 11 A monoclonal antibody-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the neonicotinoid
 12 insecticide imidacloprid was evaluated for its reproducibility, accuracy, and comparability to results
 13 from a conventional high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) for the analysis of imidacloprid
 14 in the endemic wiliwili tree (*Erythrina sandwicensis* O. Deg) found in dryland forests and landscapes
 15 in Hawaii. Imidacloprid was applied to these wiliwili trees in an attempt to control the newly introduced
 16 erythrina gall wasp, *Quadrastichus erythrinae* Kim. Leaf samples were freeze-dried and extracted
 17 with acidic aqueous methanol followed by methylene chloride partitioning. After solvent removal, the
 18 extract residue was reconstituted in 1 mL of water/methanol (1:1, v/v) for ELISA; no significant matrix
 19 interference was observed at 10-fold or more dilution. The average recoveries of imidacloprid from
 20 fortified samples ranged from 78% to 100% by ELISA. The correlation between the ELISA and
 21 HPLC results was excellent ($r^2 = 0.98$). Imidacloprid was detected with the ELISA in all treated samples
 22 and its level varied in the samples among different treatments and in those from different parts of the
 23 trees. The infestation severity rating of leaf samples was inversely related to the concentration of
 24 imidacloprid. It is clear that imidacloprid effectively controls the wasps. The ELISA is a suitable method
 25 for quantitative and reliable determination of imidacloprid in wiliwili trees and the application provides
 26 information to understand how to control the wasps.
 27

28 **KEYWORDS:** ELISA; imidacloprid; wiliwili trees; leaves; wasps

29 **INTRODUCTION**

30 *Erythrina sandwicensis* O. Deg. is an endemic deciduous tree
 31 that grows in dryland forests areas of leeward portions of the
 32 Hawaiian Islands up to elevations of about 1950 ft (1). It is
 33 also known as the wiliwili or Hawaiian coral tree and produces
 34 showy claw-shaped flowers that are commonly orange but other
 35 forms can produce red, salmon, peach, light green, yellow, or
 36 white flowers (2). In addition to growing in natural areas, *E.*
 37 *sandwicensis* can be found in resort landscape settings. One of
 38 the most recent threatening invasive species to wiliwili trees is
 39 the erythrina gall wasp (EGW), *Quadrastichus erythrinae* Kim
 40 (3–4). In addition to *E. sandwicensis*, EGW attacks *E. variegata*
 41 and *E. crista-galli* (3).

42 The EGW was described in 2004 as a new species by Kim
 43 et al. (5) from specimens from Singapore, Mauritius, and

44 Reunion. The adult female wasp inserts eggs into the young
 45 leaves. Larvae develop in the leaf tissue, and the trees respond
 46 to its feeding by producing galls. After pupation, the wasp exits
 47 through a small hole in the gall. Heavily infested trees stop
 48 growing, lose vigor, and may die. Since its discovery on Oahu
 49 in April 2005, EGWs have spread rapidly to all the other major
 50 islands of Hawaii (3).

51 Presently, chemical and biological controls are being investigated. Chemical control is a short term measure which mainly
 52 focuses on effective use of insecticides. For long-term control,
 53 classical biological control, involving the importation of specific
 54 natural enemies, is the optimal choice because it is long lasting
 55 and friendly to the environment and biological diversity.
 56 Preliminary systemic insecticide trials suggest that imidacloprid
 57 may help in reducing damage to erythrina caused by the gall
 58 wasp (3).
 59

60 Imidacloprid, 1-(6-chloronicotiny)-2-(nitroimino)imidazoli-
 61 dine (Figure 1), is a neonicotinoid insecticide with high activity
 62 against sucking insects (6). It is the most widely used systemic
 63 insecticide in the world (around 70 crops in more than 100

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: qingl@hawaii.edu Fax: 808-956-3542.

[†] Department of Molecular Biosciences and Bioengineering, University of Hawaii.

[‡] Beaumont Agricultural Research Center, University of Hawaii.




Figure 1. Structures of imidacloprid and hapten.

64 countries). Imidacloprid works by interfering with the transmission
 65 of stimuli in the insect's nervous system. It causes a
 66 blockage in at least one type of nicotinic neuronal pathways
 67 that is more abundant in insects than in warm-blooded animals.
 68 This makes imidacloprid much more toxic to insects than to
 69 other animals. Furthermore, imidacloprid has a highly specific
 70 affinity to insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) (7–
 71 9). Its binding leads to the accumulation of acetylcholine,
 72 resulting in the paralysis and death of insects (10).

73 In order to develop a guideline for managing the wasps in
 74 wiliwili trees, it is clear that groundwork information on the
 75 activity profile of imidacloprid in wiliwili trees would be
 76 required. This information is often less apparent for a systemic
 77 insecticide such as imidacloprid than for a foliar contact
 78 insecticide, in part because of the longer period required for
 79 translocation throughout a plant compared with the immediate
 80 contact and exposure of a foliar-applied insecticide. Therefore,
 81 in this study, our goal is to apply a monoclonal-based enzyme-
 82 linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to monitor imidacloprid
 83 residues in wiliwili leaves. The assay should be a suitable tool
 84 for researchers to use to improve imidacloprid application for
 85 control of insect pests.

86 MATERIALS AND METHODS

87 **Reagents.** All reagents were of analytical grade unless specified
 88 otherwise. Analytical standard imidacloprid (96.9% purity) was obtained
 89 from Bayer Corp, Stillwell, KS. Goat anti-mouse IgG-horseradish
 90 peroxidase (IgG-HRP), phosphate-citrate buffer capsules with sodium
 91 perborate, carbonate-bicarbonate buffer capsules, and *o*-phenylenediamine
 92 (OPD) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Monoclonal
 93 antibodies against imidacloprid were previously prepared in our
 94 laboratory (11). The purified Mab IgG in phosphate-buffered saline
 95 (PBS, 5 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄, 136 mM NaCl, and 2.7 mM
 96 KCl, pH 7.5) was stored at -20 °C until use.

97 **Treatment Methods.** Imidacloprid treatments were made using
 98 commercially available injection equipment and according to label
 99 recommendations of the formulations. Wedgle Direct-Inject (Arbor
 100 Systems, Omaha, NE) utilizes a 0.75 in. (19 mm) long needle-like tip
 101 which is inserted through a rubber "WedgeCheck" and is placed into
 102 the trunk acting as a stopper to prevent the chemical from escaping
 103 from the tree. One milliliter of 5% active ingredient (a.i.) imidacloprid
 104 (Pointer, Arbor Systems) was injected every 6 in. (15 cm) around the
 105 circumference of the trunk near the ground. Sidewinder precision tree
 106 injector (Noosaville, QLD, Australia) treatment was conducted by
 107 drilling a 6 mm hole into the trunk of the tree; an application nozzle
 108 was then screwed into the hole and 5 mL of imidacloprid was applied
 109 under pressure (<40 bar). Following the treatment application, a plug
 110 was screwed into the hole to seal the wound and prevent the chemical
 111 from bleeding out. Imicide HP formulation (10% imidacloprid; JJ

Table 1. Infestation Severity Ratings

rating	description	approx gall weight/ 20 g leaf
1	very light infestation, only very slight galling	<3 g
2	moderate galling	3–8 g
3	heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity	8–14 g
4	heavy galling moderate leaf deformity	14–18 g
5	extreme galling and deformity with no expanded leaves	>18 g

112 Mauget Co, Arcadia, CA) was applied through the sidewinder equip-
 113 ment at 1.5 mL and 2 mL per inch diameter measured at breast height
 114 (DBH) for 10–36 in. (25–91 cm) and >36 in. (>91 cm) DBH trees,
 115 respectively. The third treatment was Mauget Imicide (10% imidaclo-
 116 prid; JJ Mauget Co, Arcadia, CA) packaged in ready-to-use 3-mL
 117 microinjection capsules. An 11/64 in. (4.4 mm) hole was drilled into
 118 the trunk of the tree, and a capsule fitted with a feeder tube was placed
 119 at a depth corresponding to the conductive xylem tissue. The number
 120 of capsules used was determined by dividing the diameter by 2. Unlike
 121 the other treatments that were applied to the trunk near the ground, the
 122 capsules were applied to the main limbs of the tree 4–6 ft from the
 123 ground. Treatments were applied to wiliwili trees in a native dryland
 124 forest at Pu'u Wa'awa'a and Waikoloa, Hawaii, and in an irrigated
 125 resort landscape at the Hualalai Resort, Hualalai, Hawaii, all located
 126 on the Island of Hawaii. Hualalai Resort treatment using Mauget
 127 capsules occurred March 13, 2006. At Pu'u Wa'awa'a, Wedgle and
 128 Sidewinder treatment occurred November 10 and December 2, 2005,
 129 respectively. Waikoloa Wedgle and Sidewinder treatment occurred
 130 December 7 and December 19, 2005, respectively.

131 **Sampling.** Leaf samples were obtained by cutting 15 cm long
 132 growing tips from the outer edge of the canopy. The samples were
 133 collected at the lower, mid, and upper canopy levels in at least four
 134 different locations at each level. The samples for imidacloprid analysis
 135 were stored at -20 °C until analyzed.

136 **Evaluation of Severity of Infestation.** Samples were evaluated for
 137 severity of infestation by a five-point numerical rating system (Table
 138 1). A rating of 1 signified very light infestation levels with only very
 139 slight galling. A rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of
 140 leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited
 141 extreme galling and deformity with no expanded leaves. Wasp
 142 emergence was quantified by excising galls that lacked emergence holes
 143 and holding them in many 473 mL waxed paper bowls (Georgia Pacific,
 144 Atlanta, GA) covered with silkscreen to prevent escape. Galls were
 145 weighed at the time of excision so that the number of wasps per gram
 146 of gall tissue could be calculated. Three weeks after collection, wasps
 147 were counted with the aid of a dissecting microscope.

148 **Extraction Procedures.** Leaf samples without peduncle were freeze-
 149 dried and ground to powder. One gram of leaf powder was weighed in
 150 a 100 mL beaker. Imidacloprid was extracted ultrasonically with 50
 151 mL of methanol/H₂SO₄ 0.04% (4:1, v/v) at 60 °C for 20 min. The
 152 mixture was vacuum filtered through Whatman No. 4 filter paper (ID
 153 9.0 cm, pore size 2.5 μm) with 1 g of Celite 545 on it. The filtrate was
 154 concentrated to 10–15 mL of water by evaporating with a rotary
 155 evaporator, at 55 ± 2 °C. The residue was centrifuged (6000 rpm) for
 156 10 min, and the aqueous supernatant was transferred to a 60 mL
 157 separation funnel.

158 For ELISA determination, the supernatant was extracted with
 159 methylene chloride (20 mL × 3). The methylene chloride layer was
 160 collected and concentrated to 1–2 mL with a rotary evaporator. The
 161 organic remainder was transferred to a tube and dried under nitrogen.
 162 The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of water/methanol (1:1, v/v) which
 163 was diluted at least 10-fold with water for ELISA.

164 For HPLC determination, the supernatant was washed with 20 mL
 165 of hexane and the aqueous layer was collected. The hexane layer was
 166 extracted once again with 20 mL of 0.04% H₂SO₄. The aqueous phases
 167 were combined and transferred to a 125 mL separate funnel followed
 168 by extraction with methylene chloride (30 mL × 3). The combined
 169 methylene chloride extract was concentrated to 2 mL with a rotary
 170 evaporator. The organic remainder was passed through a C18 cartridge
 171 (Analtech, Inc., Newark, DE) that was preactivated with 5 mL of

172 methanol followed by 5 mL of water. The cartridge was eluted with 5
173 mL of methylene chloride/acetonitrile (85:15, v/v). The eluate was
174 collected and dried under a gentle nitrogen stream. The residue was
175 reconstituted in 2 mL of acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v) and filtered through
176 a 0.45- μ m syringe filter (Gelman Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI) before
177 HPLC analysis.

178 **ELISA Determination.** The ELISAs were carried out in 96-well
179 polystyrene microplates (MaxiSorp F96; Nalge Nunc International,
180 Copenhagen, Denmark) as previously described (11). Briefly, microplate
181 wells were coated with conjugates (4 ng in 100 μ L per well in 0.05 M
182 carbonate-bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6) of hapten (Figure 1) and BSA
183 overnight at 4 °C. The following day, the plates were washed four
184 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) and then blocked
185 with 1% BSA in PBS (150 μ L per well) by incubation for 1 h at room
186 temperature. The plates were washed again 4 times; a solution of 50
187 μ L per well of samples or standard diluted in PBST and 50 μ L per
188 well (0.2 μ g of antibody per well) of imidacloprid MAb was added
189 and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Peroxidase-labeled goat
190 anti-mouse IgG (1:5000 in PBST; 100 μ L per well) was then added,
191 and the plates were incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The plates
192 were again washed 4 times as above, and then substrate solution (100
193 μ L per well of 0.05 M citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 5.0, containing
194 0.03% sodium perborate and 1.0 mg/mL of OPD) was added. After
195 10–15 min at room temperature, the reaction was stopped with sulfuric
196 acid (4 N, 50 μ L per well), and absorbance at 490 nm was read with
197 a Vmax kinetic microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).
198 Samples and standards were analyzed in four replicate wells. Inhibition
199 curves were fitted with the four-parameter logistic equation using
200 Softmax version 2.35 software (Molecular Devices).

201 **HPLC Determinations.** A Dionex BioLC system (Dionex Corp.,
202 Sunnyvale, CA) consisted of a 100 photodiode array detector, AS50
203 autosampler, GP50 gradient pump, and column oven, which were
204 controlled by Chromeleon software. The HPLC was operated at the
205 following conditions: mobile phase, acetonitrile/5 mM ammonium
206 acetate (20:80, v/v); injection volume, 30 μ L; flow rate, 1.5 mL/min;
207 column, Inertsil ODS-3V, 5 μ m, 4.6 \times 250 mm; column temperature,
208 30 °C; wavelength, 270 nm.

209 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

210 **Matrix Interference.** Several instrument methods (12–14)
211 and immunoassays (15–16) have been reported for the analysis
212 of imidacloprid in environmental matrices and agriculture
213 products. As it is well-known, immunochemical methods for
214 residual pesticides have many advantages. On the other hand,
215 although these methods are susceptible to matrix interference
216 from samples, especially biological samples, they can be
217 overcome by simple dilution with water or appropriate buffer
218 without troublesome cleanup steps (17). The ELISA for residual
219 imidacloprid monitoring was highly specific and sensitive (11).
220 No significant matrix interference from the water and cucumber
221 samples was observed after simple dilution of the extracts before
222 analysis in our previous studies (11). The results indicated that
223 the ELISA method could be suitable to perform residual analysis
224 for imidacloprid in the environment and biological matrices.
225 So, in this study, we applied the ELISA method to analyze
226 imidacloprid in wiliwili tree leaves.

227 An ultrasonic extraction with a mixture of methanol and
228 0.04% H₂SO₄ (4:1, v/v) was applied to wiliwili leaf samples
229 (13, 18). The extracts may contain numerous constituents such
230 as chlorophyll, carotenoids, and wax, and therefore, it is essential
231 to assess the influence of interference on the ELISA perfor-
232 mance. The optimal dilution factor with water was investigated
233 for the extract (Figure 2). Although the IC₅₀ value shifts slightly,
234 the curve of the 5-fold dilution sample is apart from the standard
235 curve, which is apparently due to the matrix interference. Little
236 position shift of the curves of 10-fold or more dilutions relative
237 to the standard curve indicates no significant matrix interference




Figure 2. Inhibition curves of imidacloprid in wiliwili leaf extracts that were diluted in different folds. The data are an average of three replicates.

238 on the assay. Therefore, it is necessary to dilute the extracts at
239 least 10-fold for ELISA to minimize the matrix effects.

240 The extracts of the plant leaves were too complicated for
241 direct analysis by HPLC. Thus, a further cleanup procedure was
242 necessary after extraction. Several methods such as liquid-liquid
243 partition (LLP) (18), supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) (19),
244 and solid-phase extraction (SPE) (20) have been successfully
245 applied to clean up the extract of imidacloprid residues from
246 environmental samples. In this study, LLP and SPE were used
247 to clean up the extracts. Methylene chloride was used to
248 eliminate polar compounds followed by a C18 column cleanup
249 to remove nonpolar interference such as lipids from the matrices.
250 Elution of imidacloprid was carried out with a different solvent
251 and its proportions to establish the best elution procedure.
252 Elution with 100% of methanol or acetonitrile provided good
253 recoveries of imidacloprid, but the eluates obtained were dirty
254 because of waxes and pigments. In contrast, elution with 100%
255 of methylene chloride gave low recoveries of imidacloprid and
256 required more solvent. In this study, different ratios of methylene
257 chloride and acetonitrile were tested. Elution with a mixture of
258 methylene chloride/acetonitrile at 85:15 (v/v) had a minimal
259 amount of co-extractives and gave satisfactory recoveries. The
260 eluates did not interfere with the accurate determination of
261 imidacloprid by HPLC (Figure 3).

262 **HPLC Separation.** The chromatographic separation of
263 imidacloprid using different mobile phases was investigated in
264 detail according to the method of Liu et al. (18). The imida-
265 cloprid peak was relatively wide and tailed using aqueous
266 acetonitrile (20%) as a mobile phase. This problem was
267 overcome by adding ammonium acetate to the mobile phase.
268 Further investigation showed that a reasonable retention time
269 for imidacloprid could be obtained at about 11.2 min by
270 adjusting the ratio of acetonitrile/5 mM ammonium acetate
271 solution at 20:80 (v/v). With this mobile phase, imidacloprid
272 could be completely separated from the matrix interferences
273 (Figure 3). The concentrations of imidacloprid were calculated
274 by calibration with the peak areas of external imidacloprid
275 standard.

276 **Comparison of Recoveries Determined on HPLC and**
277 **ELISA.** Recovery experiments were performed in control
278 samples at four fortification levels (Table 2). The average
279 recoveries of imidacloprid from the leaf samples were in a range
280 of 78–100% for ELISA and 76–114% for HPLC, respectively.




Figure 3. HPLC chromatograms of imidacloprid standard (2 μg/mL) in acetonitrile (A), extract of imidacloprid-free plant leaves (B), and extract of plant leaves fortified with imidacloprid standard (C).

Table 2. Recovery of Imidacloprid from Fortified Samples Determined by ELISA and HPLC

fortified concentration (g/g)	concentration ± standard deviation (μg/g)		recovery (% , n = 3)	
	ELISA	HPLC	ELISA	HPLC
0	ND ^a	ND		
0.1	0.09 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.02	91	84
0.5	0.39 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.04	78	76
2	1.77 ± 0.1	2.28 ± 0.22	89	114
10	9.97 ± 0.06	10.54 ± 0.19	100	105

^a ND, not detected.

281 Both the ELISA and HPLC procedures are sensitive enough to
282 detect 0.1 ppm of imidacloprid in the leaf samples.

283 To validate the ELISA, correlation studies were performed.
284 Figure 4 shows an excellent correlation ($r^2 = 0.98$) between
285 the results obtained by ELISA and those by HPLC analyses of
286 samples which contained different levels of imidacloprid.

287 The satisfactory recovery and correlation suggested that both
288 ELISA and HPLC methods were suitable for the analysis of
289 imidacloprid in the leaves. However, there are some differences
290 of pretreatment between these two methods. Compared with
291 ELISA, sample cleanup procedures are required for HPLC
292 analysis. In addition, HPLC requires more organic solvents and




Figure 4. Correlation between ELISA and HPLC results of imidacloprid concentrations in leaf samples. The error bars are standard deviations.




Figure 5. Correlation between treatments of wiliwili trees at different locations and concentrations of imidacloprid or emergence of the wasps. All leaf samples were collected from the middle canopy of trees. The error bars are standard deviations.

293 generates solvent wastes, which need proper disposal. Since
294 ELISA has by far higher sample throughput than HPLC analysis
295 and can fulfill the requirements for monitoring imidacloprid in
296 the leaves, it was used to analyze the real samples.

297 **Application to Real Samples.** There had been very limited
298 experience with imidacloprid against wasps in wiliwili trees (21).
299 Expectations were highly based on knowledge of the superior
300 performance of imidacloprid against sucking insects in various
301 crop settings (22–25). Decision-making in pest management
302 has traditionally relied upon field efficacy data related to a
303 particular activity profile for any given insecticide. Thus,
304 measuring insecticide concentrations within a plant may provide
305 information on effective doses and help us improve wasp
306 management. In the present study, imidacloprid was injected
307 into trees in three different ways including Wedge, Sidewinder,
308 and Mauget. Imidacloprid was detected in all the samples
309 collected from treated trees and low emergence of the wasps
310 was observed for treated trees compared with untreated trees
311 (Figure 5). Actually, no imidacloprid was detected in untreated
312 trees. More wasps emerged from untreated trees at Waikoloa
313 than those at Pu'u Wa'awa'a as shown in Figure 5. It is clear
314 the infestation of wasps was different at two locations. Maybe
315 that is a reason why a more significant decrease of wasps was
316 observed at Waikoloa than at Pu'u Wa'awa'a under the same




Figure 6. Correlation between concentration of imidacloprid and emergence of the wasps and infestation severity rating of samples. The error bars are standard deviations.

317 treatment. The concentrations of imidacloprid in the leaf samples
 318 correlated inversely with the emergence of the wasps and
 319 infestation severity rating (Figure 6). Trees that were treated
 320 with the Mauget Imicide microinjection capsules at 0.15 mL
 321 a.i./inch diameter were sampled approximately 3 weeks after
 322 treatment and contained the highest concentration of imidacloprid
 323 in the leaves (Figure 5) and consequently had the best
 324 control efficacy among the three treatment methods (Figure 6).
 325 Wedgle (applied at 0.026 mL a.i./inch diameter) and Sidewinder
 326 (applied at 0.15–0.2 mL a.i./inch diameter) treatments were
 327 applied during approximately the same period and were sampled
 328 between 4 and 5 months after treatment. The Wedgle system is
 329 purported by the manufacturer to provide greater efficiency of
 330 imidacloprid utilization due to the targeted nature of the injection
 331 method. The results of this study may indicate greater utilization
 332 despite lower concentration values for the Wedgle treatment.
 333 The Wedgle treatment had 1.5 and 5.6 times less imidacloprid
 334 than those treated by Sidewinder which applied 5.8–7.7 times
 335 more imidacloprid. This study focused on imidacloprid extraction
 336 and measurement for *Erythrina*. The analysis results indicate
 337 that the analytical method could be used to determine efficiency
 338 differences among injection equipment and method, efficacy
 339 thresholds, and control periods. Imidacloprid distribution in
 340 wiliwili trees was obtained to relate to injection techniques and
 341 control efficacy. The tests were carried out by analyzing the
 342 leaves collected from lower, middle, and upper canopies of the
 343 trees treated via the Sidewinder technique and the galling or
 344 non-galling leaves collected from the middle canopy. It is
 345 interesting that the concentration of imidacloprid in the leaves
 346 decreased gradually from the low canopy to the top canopy.
 347 The imidacloprid levels in the non-galling leaves from two of
 348 the three trees were much higher than those in the galling leaves
 349 (Table 3). The imidacloprid level in the non-galling leaves from
 350 tree-2 was slightly lower than that in the galling leaves. The
 351 data suggest field control variations. After imidacloprid was
 352 injected into trunks or main limbs, it was slowly taken up into
 353 different parts of trees.

354 ELISA is an effective method to quantify and monitor
 355 imidacloprid in wiliwili trees. We will continue to use this assay
 356 in our further work on gathering more basic knowledge of
 357 imidacloprid in wiliwili trees such as the nature of the exposure
 358 to wasps, its spatial and temporal dynamics, and the intrinsic
 359 susceptibility of the wasps to imidacloprid.

Table 3. Spatial Distribution of Imidacloprid in Trees with Sidewinder Treatment

source of leaf samples	average concentration of imidacloprid \pm standard deviation determined by ELISA ($\mu\text{g/g}$, $n = 3$)		
	Tree 1	Tree 2	Tree 3
upper canopy	1.15 \pm 0.05	0.78 \pm 0.05	0.59 \pm 0.02
middle canopy	1.32 \pm 0.13	1.34 \pm 0.06	1.1 \pm 0.14
lower canopy	2.18 \pm 0.29	2.15 \pm 0.05	1.31 \pm 0.05
galling absent	1.50 \pm 0.06	0.72 \pm 0.01	1.03 \pm 0.03
galling present	0.73 \pm 0.03	1.03 \pm 0.03	0.59 \pm 0.03

360 **Conclusion.** A monoclonal antibody-based ELISA was used
 361 to measure concentrations of imidacloprid in wiliwili leaf
 362 samples for control of the gall wasp, *Quadrastichus erythrinae*.
 363 The satisfactory recovery of imidacloprid by ELISA and the
 364 good correlation between ELISA and HPLC results suggest
 365 that ELISA is a highly sensitive and relatively simple method
 366 to quantify imidacloprid in wiliwili tree leaves. Imidacloprid
 367 was distributed into different parts of the trees after treatment.
 368 The inverse relationship between the imidacloprid concentration
 369 and the infestation severity rating suggests imidacloprid
 370 work effectively against the wasps. The ELISA is a useful tool
 371 to measure imidacloprid for management and control of the
 372 wasps.

LITERATURE CITED

- 374 Wagner, W. L.; Darrel, R. H.; Sohmer, S. H. In *Manual of the*
 375 *flowering plants of Hawai'i*, Bishop Museum special publication
 376 33; University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press:
 377 Honolulu, HI, 1990; Vol. 2, pp 671–672.
- 378 Rauch, F. D.; David, H. *Wiliwili, Ornamentals and Flowers, OF-*
 379 *10*; Hawaii cooperative extension service, College of Tropical
 380 Agriculture and Human Resources, University of Hawaii at
 381 Manoa: Honolulu, HI, 1997.
- 382 Heu, R. A.; Tsuda, D. M.; Nagamine, W. T.; Yalem, J. A.;
 383 Suh, T. H. *Erythrina* gall wasp *Quadrastichus erythrinae* Kim
 384 (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *New Pest Advisory*. Department
 385 of Agriculture, State of Hawaii, 2006, No. 05–03.
- 386 Yang, M. M.; Tung, G. S.; Salle, J. L.; Wu, M. L. Outbreak of
 387 *erythrina* gall wasp on *Erythrina* spp. (Fabaceae) in Taiwan.
 388 *Plant Prot. Bull.* 2004, 46, 391–396.
- 389 Kim, I. K.; Delvare, G.; Salle, J. L. A new species of
 390 *Quadrastichus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae): A gall-inducing
 391 pest on *Erythrina* spp. (Fabaceae). *J. Hym. Res.* 2004, 13, 243–
 392 249.
- 393 Moriya, K.; Shibuya, K.; Hattori, J.; Tsuboi, S.; Shiokawa, K.;
 394 Kagabu, S. 1-(6-Chloronicotiny)-2-nitroimino-imidazolines and
 395 related compounds as potential new insecticides. *Biosci. Bio-*
 396 *technol. Biochem.* 1992, 56, 364–365.
- 397 Bai, D.; Lumms, S. C. R.; Leicht, W.; Breer, H.; Sattelle, D. B.
 398 Actions of imidacloprid and a related nitromethylene on cho-
 399 linergic receptors of an identified insect motor neuron. *Pestic.*
 400 *Sci.* 1991, 33, 197–204.
- 401 Liu, M. Y.; Casida, J. E. Relevance of [^3H] imidacloprid binding
 402 site in house fly head acetylcholine receptor to insecticidal
 403 activity of 2-nitromethylene- and 2-nitroimino-imidazolines.
 404 *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 1993, 46, 40–46.
- 405 Yamamoto, I.; Yabuta, G.; Tomizawa, M.; Saito, T.; Miyamoto,
 406 T.; Kagabu, S. Molecular mechanism for selective toxicity of
 407 nicotinoids and neonicotinoids. *J. Pestic. Sci.* 1995, 20, 33–40.
- 408 Buckingham, S. D.; Lapied, B.; Le Corrone, H.; Grolleau, F.;
 409 Sattelle, D. B. Imidacloprid action on insect neuronal acetyl-
 410 choline receptors. *J. Exp. Biol.* 1997, 200, 2685–2692.
- 411 Kim, H. J.; Shelver, W. L.; Li, Q. X. Monoclonal antibody-
 412 based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the insecticide
 413 imidacloprid. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2004, 509, 111–118.

- 414 (12) MacDonald, L. M.; Meyer, T. R. Determination of imidacloprid and triadimefon in white pine by gas chromatography/mass
415 spectrometry. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*, 3133–3138.
- 416 (13) Bonmatin, J. M.; Moineau, I.; Charvet, R.; Fleche, C.; Colin, M.
417 E.; Bengsch, E. R. A LC/APCI-MS/MS method for analysis
418 of imidacloprid in soils, in plants, and in pollens. *Anal. Chem.*
419 **2003**, *75*, 2027–2033.
- 420 (14) Fidente, P.; Seccia, S.; Vanni, F.; Morrica, P. Analysis of
421 nicotinoid insecticides residues in honey by solid matrix partition
422 clean-up and liquid chromatography–electrospray mass spec-
423 trometry. *J. Chromatogr., A* **2005**, *1094*, 175–178.
- 424 (15) Lee, J. K.; Ahn, K. C.; Park, O. S.; Kang, S. Y.; Hammock, B.
425 D. Development of an ELISA for the detection of the residues
426 of the insecticide imidacloprid in agricultural and environmental
427 samples. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2001**, *49*, 2159–2167.
- 428 (16) Wanatabe, S.; Ito, S.; Kamata, Y.; Omoda, N.; Yamazaki, T.;
429 Munakata, H.; Kaneko, T.; Yuasa, Y. Development of competi-
430 tive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) based on
431 monoclonal antibodies for chloronicotinoid insecticides imida-
432 cloprid and acetamiprid. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *427*, 211–219.
- 433 (17) Watanabe, E.; Eun, H.; Baba, K.; Arai, T.; Ishii, Y.; Endo, S.;
434 Ueji, M. Rapid and simple screening analysis for residual
435 imidacloprid in agricultural products with commercially available
436 ELISA. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *521*, 45–51.
- 437 (18) Liu, H.; Song, J.; Zhang, S.; Qu, L.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, Y.; Liu, H.
438 Analysis of residues of imidacloprid in tobacco by high-
439 performance liquid chromatography with liquid–liquid partition
440 cleanup. *Pest Manage. Sci.* **2005**, *61*, 511–514.
- 441 (19) Eskilsson, C. S.; Hartonen, K.; Mathiasson, L.; Riekkola,
442 M.-L. Pressurized hot water extraction of insecticides from
443 process dust – Comparison with supercritical fluid extraction.
444 *J. Sep. Sci.* **2004**, *27*, 59–64.
- 445 (20) Baskaran, S.; Kookana, R. S.; Naidu, R. Determination of the
446 insecticide imidacloprid in water and soil using high-performance
447 liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr.* **1997**, *787*, 271–275.
- 448 (21) Faizal, M. H.; Prathapan, K. D.; Anthi, K. N.; Mary, C. A.;
449 Lekha, M.; Rini, C. R. *Erythrina* gall wasp *Quadrastichus*
450 *erythrinae*, yet another invasive pest new to India. *Curr. Sci.* **2006**,
451 *90*, 1061–1062.
- 452 (22) Bi, J. L.; Toscano, N. C.; Ballmer, G. R. Greenhouse and field
453 evaluation of six novel insecticides against the greenhouse
454 whitefly *Trioletodes vaporariorum* on strawberries. *Crop Prot.*
455 **2002**, *21*, 49–55.
- 456 (23) Bi, J. L.; Toscano, N. C.; Ballmer, G. R. Field evaluation of
457 novel chloronicotinyls and insect growth regulators against the
458 greenhouse whitefly on strawberry. *Hortscience* **2002**, *37*, 914–
459 918.
- 460 (24) Young, L. C. The efficacy of micro-injected imidacloprid and
461 oxydemeton-methyl on red gum eucalyptus trees (*Eucalyptus*
462 *camaldulensis*) infested with red gum leop psyllid (*Glycaspis*
463 *brimblecombei*). *J. Arboric.* **2002**, *29*, 144–147.
- 464 (25) Castle, S. J.; Byrne, F. J.; Bi, J. L.; Toscano, N. C. Spatial and
465 temporal distribution of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam in citrus
466 and impact on *Homalodisca coagulata* populations. *Pest Manage.*
467 *Sci.* **2005**, *61*, 75–84.

Received for review July 17, 2006. Revised manuscript received August
26, 2006. Accepted August 29, 2006. This work was supported, in part,
by the State of Hawaii Department of Agriculture (Pesticides Branch),
and the State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources
(Division of Forestry and Wildlife). T.X. was a scholarship recipient
from China Scholarship Council.

JF062004E

(G)

Wiliwili: *Erythrina variegata*

**EFFICACY OF IMIDACLOPRID APPLIED AS TRUNK INJECTIONS AND
SOIL DRENCHES FOR CONTROL OF ERYTHRINA GALL WASP 2006-2007.**

C.M. Jacobsen, A.H. Hara, T. XU, and Q.X. Li

University of Hawaii at Manoa, Beaumont Agri. Res. Center

875 Komohana St., Building B, Room 101

Hilo, Hawaii 96720

Phone: (808) 981-2823

Fax: (808) 981-5190

Email: A.H. Hara [arnold@hawaii.edu]

Erythrina gall wasp: *Quadrastichus erythrinae* Kim

This experiment was conducted using upright or windbreak *Erythrina variegata* trees approx 25-35 ft tall growing near Hilo, Hawaii. The study was arranged along a row of the trees in a 4 replicate CRD with a replicate consisting of a single tree. Trees were heavily infested and nearly defoliated at the time of treatment on 23 June 2006. Five treatments (4 trunk injections and 1 soil drench) and the untreated control were applied according to labeled rates and were: 1 untreated control; 2 Imicide via Mauget ready to use 3ml capsules (diameter/2= number of capsules); 3 Pointer via ArborSystems Wedgle (1ml injection every 6 inches around trunk circumference); 4 Merit 200 SL via Arbor Jet Tree IV (4.7ml/ inch diameter); 5 IMA-jet via Arbor Jet Tree IV (8ml/ inch

diameter); 6 Merit 2F soil drench (6ml/ inch diameter). Diameters were measured at breast height and multiple trunks were measured individually and then summed to get a total diameter for dose calculation. Efficacy data consisted of collecting leaf samples mid canopy in at least 4 different locations. Samples were then returned to the lab and evaluated for severity of galling and rating of wasp emergence holes within the samples. Both were evaluated on a scale to five. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while 3 represented samples with heavy galling but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity or stunting. For emergence density 0 represented no emergence from galls while 3 represented 30-45 emergence holes/galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented > 60 emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. In addition to ratings, actual wasp emergence/ g of galled tissue was determined by excising galls from leaf samples, holding them in paper bowls covered with silkscreen for 3 weeks and with the aid of a dissecting microscope counting the number of wasps emerged from the excised galls. Following ratings and excising of galls for wasp emergence data, samples were frozen and shipped to Honolulu, HI for analyses of imidacloprid concentrations within leaf tissue. Concentrations were determined by both HPLC and ELISA methodologies.

Prior to study initiation all trees were severely infested and exhibited heavily deformed/stunted leaves and petioles; trees were largely defoliated. The first evaluation, 3WAT, revealed detectable levels of imidacloprid in all treatments (Table 1). IMA-jet had the greatest concentration of imidacloprid (179ppm) and was the only treatment in which trees physically displayed a response to treatment; leaves showed slightly less incidence of galling. At 5 WAT reduction in emergence from galls was displayed by all treatments except the soil drench of Merit 2F, which was not efficacious in this study. The sparse spread out root system of these windbreak trees appears to have prevented

sufficient uptake of the drenches. By 10 WAT trees had begun to regain and retain leaves within the canopies. Wasps/g gall tissue was approx 21 wasps for untreated trees and ranged from <1 - 9 among injection treatments (Table 3). IMA-jet with the greatest concentration of imidacloprid showed the least wasp emergence. Merit 200SL had very high levels of imidacloprid (38.7 ppm) but displayed greater than expected wasp emergence from tissue. It is possible that the low injection volume of this treatment slowed dispersal throughout the canopy and created areas of different concentrations within leaves and galls formed in those areas of lower concentration. By 15 WAT wasp emergence from Merit 200SL showed a reduction to 2.7 wasps/g which correlates much better with the imidacloprid concentration levels found (Table 4.). All injections showed reduced emergence of wasps and trees treated with Merit 200SL and IMA-jet had greatly reduced galling of leaves. At 20 WAT all trunk injection treatments were still efficacious. IMA-jet was superior among treatments and displayed practically no galling of leaves (0.3 galling severity rating) (Table 5). After 20 WAT treatment, trees began to naturally drop their leaves for the winter months and evaluations were discontinued until the spring flush (10 months after treatment). Ten months after treatment with a whole new canopy of leaves imidacloprid was still measurable in all trunk injections. Untreated trees were almost completely dead and were no longer rated or quantified for wasp emergence. Imicide, Pointer and IMA-jet treatments had moderate infestation severity ratings (2-3.3) while Merit 200SL still showed low infestation (<1) (Table 6.). One year following treatment, Merit 200SL and IMA-jet treatments had concentrations of 28.6 and 46.8 ppm, respectively, which are levels great enough to control gall wasp; emergence ratings were lower than efficacious levels the remaining treatments (<1) (Table 7.).

In summary all treatments except the soil applied drench were effective against the erythrina gall wasp throughout the growing season and remained detectable the

following year. It may be possible to refrain from treating the following year with IMA-jet and Merit 200SL treatments but Imicide and Pointer applied at study dosages require yearly reapplication. IMA-jet treatment resulted in the greatest concentration of imidacloprid within the leaves and the greatest reduction in galling. Merit 200SL was applied at the highest rate AI but did not result in the greatest concentration within leaf tissue. It may be that the limited volume of carrier contributed to reduced movement into the leaf tissue. Imicide and Pointer treatments were applied at much lower rates AI as compared with Merit 200 SL and IMA-jet. It is likely greater efficacy with those treatments would result from increases in dose.

Materials Tested

C.M. Jacobsen, 875 Komohana St., Building B, Room 101 Hilo, HI 96720

Phone: (808) 981-2823 Fax: (808) 981-5190 Email: A.H. Hara [arnold@hawaii.edu]

Efficacy of imidacloprid applied as trunk injections and soil drenches for control of erythrina gall wasp 2006-2007.

Product Name: Imicide

Manufacturer's Name: J.J. Mauget Company

Address: Arcadia, CA 91006

Active Ingredient: 10% Imidacloprid

Product Name: Pointer

Manufacturer's Name: ArborSystems

Address: Omaha, NE 68134

Active Ingredient: 5% Imidacloprid

Product Name: Merit 200 SL

Manufacturer's Name: Bayer Environmental Science

Address: Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Active Ingredient: 17.1% Imidacloprid

Product Name: IMA-jet

Manufacturer's Name: Arborjet Inc.

Address: Winchester, MA 01890

Active Ingredient: 10% Imidacloprid

Product Name: Merit 2F

Manufacturer's Name: Bayer Environmental Science

Address: Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Active Ingredient: 21.4% Imidacloprid

Table 1. 3 WAT

Treatment Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P=0.003	Emergence Rating* P=0.006	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P=0.337	Imidacloprid Concentration $\mu\text{g/g}$ P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	4.5a \pm 0.29	3.0a \pm 0.0	7.2a \pm 1.31	0.0a \pm 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10% AI	0.15 ml	4.3ab \pm 0.25	2.8a \pm 0.25	6.1a \pm 2.05	2.9a \pm 0.33
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5% AI	0.026 ml	4.0ab \pm 0.41	2.8a \pm 0.25	4.1a \pm 0.50	3.8a \pm 0.04
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1% AI	0.77 ml	4.0ab \pm 0.0	1.8ab \pm 0.48	4.9a \pm 0.60	70.0b \pm 1.73
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	3.3b \pm 0.25	1.3b \pm 0.25	2.4a \pm 1.80	178.6c \pm 2.72
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4% AI	1.28 ml	5.0a \pm 0.0	1.8ab \pm 0.48	5.2a \pm 1.97	0.4a \pm 0.02

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Table 2. 5 WAT

Treatment Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P=0.06	Emergence Rating* P=0.02	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P=0.004	Imidacloprid Concentration $\mu\text{g/g}$ P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	4.8a \pm 0.25	3.5a \pm 0.29	15.9a \pm 2.64	0.0a \pm 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10% AI	0.15 ml	3.5a \pm 0.29	1.8ab \pm 0.48	8.1ab \pm 2.50	6.4a \pm 0.09
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5% AI	0.026 ml	4.0a \pm 0.4	2.3ab \pm 0.63	7.4ab \pm 2.34	2.4a \pm 0.03
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1% AI	0.77 ml	3.8a \pm 0.48	1.5b \pm 0.29	9.5ab \pm 2.66	28.3b \pm 1.20
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	3.5a \pm 0.65	1.8ab \pm 0.48	3.0b \pm 0.84	98.8c \pm 4.34
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4% AI	1.28 ml	5.0a \pm 0.0	3.3ab \pm 0.48	16.1a \pm 1.88	0.1a \pm 0.0

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Table 3. 10 WAT

Treatment Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P=0.005	Emergence Rating* P<0.0005	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P<0.0005	Imidacloprid Concentration $\mu\text{g/g}$ $\mu\text{g/g}$ P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	4.8a \pm 0.25	3.8a \pm 0.25	21.4a \pm 2.04	0.0a \pm 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10%AI	0.15 ml	3.3ab \pm 0.48	1.5bc \pm 0.29	8.9bc \pm 2.88	2.9a \pm 0.06
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5% AI	0.026 ml	3.3ab \pm 0.48	1.8bc \pm 0.25	4.8c \pm 0.87	7.3ab \pm 0.12
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1% AI	0.77 ml	3.5ab \pm 0.29	1.3bc \pm 0.25	8.7bc \pm 3.87	38.7b \pm 1.45
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	2.0b \pm 0.71	0.8c \pm 0.25	0.7c \pm 0.51	320.7c \pm 17.30
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4% AI	1.28 ml	4.5a \pm 0.29	2.3b \pm 0.25	15.8ab \pm 2.56	0.2a \pm 0.0

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Table 4. 15 WAT

Treatment: Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating P<0.0005	Emergence Rating P<0.0005	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P=0.243	Imidacloprid Concentration µg/g P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	4.8a ± 0.25	4.0a ± 0.29	8.9a ± 3.23	0.0a ± 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10 % AI	0.15 ml	4.0a ± 0.29	2.3b ± 0.48	5.0a ± 1.97	6.2a ± 0.21
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5 % AI	0.026 ml	3.8a ± 0.4	2.0bc ± 0.63	9.6a ± 4.57	5.8a ± 0.20
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1 % AI	0.77 ml	1.8b ± 0.48	0.8bc ± 0.29	2.7a ± 2.40	60.0b ± 1.73
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	1.5b ± 0.65	0.5c ± 0.48	0.48a ± 0.48	357.3c ± 25.6
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4 % AI	1.28 ml	4.5a ± 0.0	3.0a ± 0.48	5.5a ± 2.74	2.0a ± 0.12

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Table 5. 20 WAT

Treatment: Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating P<0.0005	Emergence Rating P=0.001	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P<0.0005	Imidacloprid Concentration µg/g P<0.0005
Untreated	-----	5.0a ± 0.0	3.5a ± 0.50	15.2a ± 2.66	0.0a ± 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10 % AI	0.15 ml	3.3ab ± 0.25	1.8ab ± 0.48	3.2b ± 1.46	5.4a ± 0.47
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5 % AI	0.026 ml	3.3ab ± 0.25	1.5abc ± 0.29	3.0b ± 1.57	3.0a ± 0.27
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1 % AI	0.77 ml	1.5bc ± 0.87	0.5bc ± 0.50	0.4b ± 0.24	36.3b ± 2.03
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	0.3c ± 0.25	0.0c ± 0.0	0.07b ± 0.07	234.7c ± 12.4
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4 % AI	1.28 ml				

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Table 6. 10 Months After Treatment

Treatment: Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P<0.0005	Emergence Rating* P=0.001	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P<0.0005	Imidacloprid Concentration µg/g P<0.0005
Untreated	-----				0.0a ± 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10 % AI	0.15 ml	3.3a ± 0.25	0.8a ± 0.25	8.2a ± 1.95	2.2 a ± 0.07
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5 % AI	0.026 ml	3.3a ± 0.48	0.3ab ± 0.25	4.3ab ± 1.70	1.1a ± 0.06
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1 % AI	0.77 ml	0.8b ± 0.48	0.0b ± 0.0	0.3b ± 0.28	28.6b ± 0.91
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	2.0ab ± 0.91	0.0b ± 0.0	0.6b ± 0.36	46.8c ± 2.31
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4 % AI	1.28 ml				

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.

Table 7. 12 Months After Treatment

Treatment: Formulation/Equipment	Rate AI/ Inch Diameter	Galling Severity Rating* P=0.206	Emergence Rating* P=0.001	Emerged Wasps/g Tissue P=0.071	Imidacloprid Concentration µg/g
Untreated	-----				0.0 ± 0.0
Imicide/ Mauget Capsules 10 % AI	0.15 ml	3.0a ± 0.58	2.3a ± 0.33	6.3a ± 1.23	1.7 ± 0.25
Pointer/ ArborSystems Wedgle 5 % AI	0.026 ml	3.8a ± 0.25	3.3a ± 0.25	6.2a ± 1.57	0.7 ± 0.15
Merit 200 SL/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 17.1 % AI	0.77 ml	1.3a ± 0.88	0.3b ± 0.33	2.5a ± 0.80	21.0 ± 0.58
IMA-jet/ Arbor Jet Tree IV 5% AI	0.40 ml	2.3a ± 1.03	0.8b ± 0.48	1.5a ± 1.27	41.0 ± 4.0
Merit 2/ Root Drench 21.4 % AI	1.28 ml				

*Ratings were on a scale to 5. For galling severity, 0 represented no symptoms while a rating of 3 represented samples with heavy galling of leaves but minimal leaf deformity. Samples with ratings of 5 exhibited extreme galling and deformity. For emergence density, 0 represented no emergence from galls within the sample while 3 represented 30-45 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample. A rating of 5 represented >60 existing emergence holes/ galled leaf sample.