

Joseph K. L. Camara
kualiic@hotmail.com

BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STATE OF HAWAII

Contested Case Hearing Re Conservation
District Use Application (CDUA) HA-3568
for the Thirty Meter Telescope at the Mauna
Kea Science Reserve, Ka'ohē Mauka,
Hāmakua, Hawai'i, TMK (3) 4-4-015:009

BLNR Contested Case HA-16-02

**JOSEPH K. L. CAMARA'S RESPONSE TO AMENDED
NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE HEARING; COS**

JOSEPH KUALII LINDSEY CAMARA'S RESPONSE TO AMENDED NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE HEARING

Here Comes Joseph Kualii Lindsey Camara's Response to Amended notice of contested case hearing. I received this document by email on October 6. Prior to this I have had no communication from the court regarding my motion to reconsider Doc 19 (Doc 291) granting PUEO's motion to set the issues. I do not understand if this Amended notice serves as an official denial of my motion to reconsider. The proposed deadline of the Hearing Officer of October 11 for filing testimony, Exhibits and Opening Statements would only give me 1 business day to submit testimony after receipt of the Amended Notice of Contested Case Hearing which I am guessing is her final statement of what issues will be considered in this case. This is an unacceptable, unfair, and unrealistic timeline for a pro-se party.

I once again reiterate that continuing with this process without allowing full disclosure off Human rights issues and claims of desecration is ill advised. Ruling on a CDUP without addressing these issues sets the stage for civil unrest that could foreseeably result in continued Health and Human safety issues on Mauna Kea Access Road.

Hawaii Administrative Rules §13-1-31 regarding participation in a contested case states: (1) Requestor has a property interest in the lands of Mauna Kea through the exercise of Native Hawaiian traditional and customary practices; (2) Requestor will be affected by the proposed Thirty Meter Telescope project and has an interest in the proceedings that are clearly distinguishable from the general public; (3) Requestor has a substantial interest in the proceedings; (4) Requestor's participation will substantially assist the board in its decision making; (5) Requestor's position is not substantially the same as any existing parties to the proceedings; and (6) Requestor's participation will add substantially new relevant information and will not make the proceedings inefficient and unmanageable.

What good is accepting parties that will broaden the perspective of the Hearing officer if the issued allowed to for discussion are limited to 3 issues with a very narrow scope?

I have also filed a joinder (Doc 181) to Richard Wurdeman's Motion to Strike (Doc 94) in which I outlined shortcoming of the EIS process that should be rectified. The Hearing Officer took these motions on advisement.

The original Motion to Strike (Doc 94) was received in the documents library on July 18. I anxiously await the ruling on this motion, for if granted it would end this process, saving all of us pro-se party's valuable time, money and resources. Based on the proceedings of this court, I am assuming the Hearings Officer has decided long ago to rule against these motions, but has not officially done so as of yet. How can I be expected to submit testimony before such rulings have been made?

Received
Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands
2016 Oct 08 1:41 pm
Department of Land and Natural Resources
State of Hawaii

As a pro-se party I require 10 business days after a the official denial of my motion to reconsider minute order 19 (Doc 291) or a ruling is made on Richard Wurdemans Motion to strike (Doc 94), whichever is made later, to file my final witness list, party and witness testimonies, exhibits and opening statements.

This will allow me to take the above rulings into consideration for my testimony.

I do not feel that this is an unreasonable request. As I understand, the contested case hearings process is supposed to be designed for pro-se parties to have input on natural resources issues. Pro-se parties are already at considerable disadvantage because of the allowance of parties with highly paid legal teams as parties in this case. This disadvantage is compounded by the Hearings Officer's allowance of parties with professional counsel to set the issues for this case and to draft minute orders that will dictate the proceedings of this case.

Pro-se parties have responsibilities, work, family and life to deal with, and must do all contested case filings in our spare time. We need more time. I will send in what I have by the proposed deadline of October 11 to prevent the possibility of being fully excluded from this process, but reserve the right to send in a final amended version of my witness testimony, exhibits and opening statement within 10 business days of the above mentioned rulings.

Me ke aloha aina,

Joseph K. L. Camara_____

Hilo, Hawaii_____

BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STATE OF HAWAII

Contested Case Hearing Re Conservation
District Use Application (CDUA) HA-3568
for the Thirty Meter Telescope at the Mauna
Kea Science Reserve, Ka'ohē Mauka,
Hāmākua, Hawai'i, TMK (3) 4-4-015:009

BLNR Contested Case HA-16-02
Document title:

I.

II. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above referenced document was served upon the following parties by the means indicated:

(1)

Michael Cain
Office of Conservation and Coastal
Lands
1151 Punchbowl, Room 131
Honolulu, HI 96813
DLNR.
*Custodian of the Records
(original + digital copy)*

Judge Riki May Amano (Ret.)
rma3cc@yahoo.com
Hearing Officer

III. Julie China, Deputy Attorney
General
julie.h.china@hawaii.gov
*Counsel for the Board of Land and
Natural Resources*

Carlsmith Ball LLP
isandison@carlsmith.com
*Counsel for the applicant University of
Hawaii at Hilo*

Richard N. Wurdeman
RNWurdeman@RNWLaw.com
*Counsel for the petitioners Mauna Kea
Anaina Hou, Clarence Kukauakahi
Ching, Flores-Case 'Ohana, Deborah J.
Ward, Paul K. Neves, and Kahea: The
Environmental Alliance*

Watanabe Ing LLP
rshinyama@wik.com
douging@wik.com
*Counsel for TMT International
Observatory, LLC*

Harry Fergerstrom
hankhawaiian@yahoo.com

Richard L DeLeon
kekaukike@msn.com

Mehana Kihoi
uhiwai@live.com

C. M. Kaho'okahi Kanuha
kahookahi@gmail.com

Joseph Kualii Lindsey Camara
kualiic@hotmail.com

Torkildson, Katz, Moore, Hetherington
& Harris
lsa@torkildson.com
njc@torkildson.com
*Counsel for Perpetuating Unique
Educational Opportunities (PUEO)*

J. Leina'ala Sleightholm
leina.ala.s808@gmail.com

Maelani Lee
maelanilee@yahoo.com

Lanny Alan Sinkin
lanny.sinkin@gmail.com
Representative for The Temple of Lono

Kalikolehua Kanaele
akulele@yahoo.com

Stephanie-Malia:Tabbada
s.tabbada@hawaiiantel.net

Tiffnie Kakalia
tiffniekakalia@gmail.com

Glen Kila
makakila@gmail.com

Dwight J. Vicente
2608 Ainaola Drive
Hilo, Hawaiian Kingdom

Brannon Kamahana Kealoha
brannonk@hawaii.edu

Cindy Freitas
hanahanai@gmail.com

William Freitas
pohaku7@yahoo.com

Joseph K. L. Camara _____ Hilo, Hawaii _____