BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STATE OF HAWAI'I

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF

Contested Case Hearing Re Conservation District Use Application (CDUA) HA-3568 For the Thirty Meter Telescope at the Mauna Kea Science Reserve, Ka`ohe Mauka, Hamakua, Hawai`i TMK (3) 4-4-015:009

CASE NO. BLNR-CC-16-002

Minute Order No. 23 (Order Denying Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. 78))

Certificate of Service

MINUTE ORDER NO. 23

(Order Denying Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc.78))

On August 5, 2016, a hearing on the Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary

Judgment (Doc. 78) ("Motion") was held in Hilo, Hawai'i at the YMCA, 300 W. Lanikaula

Street.

The following persons were present:

Richard N. Wurdeman, Esq., Attorney for Mauna Kea Anaina Hou, et al. for and with Kealoha Pisciotta, Clarence K. Ching, Deborah Ward, Paul Neves, Pualani Case, E. Kalani Flores and Keomailani Van Gogh Timothy Lui-Kwan, Esq.; John Manaut, Esq.; and Ian Sandison, Esq.; Attorneys for the University of Hawaii-Hilo ("UHH") J. Douglas Ing, Esq. and Ross Shinyama, Esq., Attorneys for TMT International Lincoln Ashida, Esq. and Newton Chu, Esq., Attorneys for Perpetuating Unique Educational Opportunities Inc. ("PUEO") Lanny Sinkin for Temple of Lono Harry Fergerstrom **Richard DeLeon** Mehana Kihoi C.M. Kahookahi Kanuha Joseph Camara Jennifer Leina`ala Sleightholm Maelani Lee Received

Kalikolehua Kanaele Stephanie-Malia Tabbada Tiffnie Kakalia Glen Kila Dwight Vicente Brannon Kamahana Kealoha Cindy Freitas William Freitas

In addition to the Motion, the following submissions were considered:

DOC.	TITLE	COUNSEL/PARTY
135	The University of Hawaii at Hilo's Opposition to Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. 78); Declaration of counsel; Exhibits "1" – "2"; COS	University of Hawaii at Hilo
142	TMT International Observatory, LLC's Joinder in (1) The University of Hawai`i at Hilo's Opposition to Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; and (2) The University of Hawai`i at Hilo's Opposition to Living Heir/Proper Party/Petitioner Stephanie-Malia Tabbada's Motion to Vacate Entire Process for Violation of BLNR and University of Hawai`i Fiduciary Trust, Rights, Responsibilities, Breach of Contract, Etc. Mandated by the Law of the Land; COS	TMT International Observatory, LLC
154	Perpetuating Unique Educational Opportunities, Inc.'s Joinder in the University of Hawai`i at Hilo's Opposition (Doc. 135) to Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. 78); COS	Perpetuating Unique Educational Opportunities, Inc.
176	Temple of Lono Reply to the University of Hawai`i at Hilo's Opposition to Temple of Lono's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. 78); COS	Temple of Lono

On October 5, 2016, the University of Hawai`i at Hilo filed a Proposed Minute Order No.

___ Denying the Motion ("Proposed Minute Order"; Doc. 315).¹ Three responses to the

¹ At the 5th pre-hearing conference, held on October 3, 2016, the Hearing Officer requested that prevailing parties submit proposed minute orders relative to motions for which oral rulings were rendered but written Minute Orders were still outstanding. Deadline for submission of the proposed minute orders was set for Wednesday,

Proposed Minute Order were submitted. Cindy Freitas submitted Objection to All Minute Order No. ____ That Was Filed In The Document Library On October 5, 2016 Doc 322, 321, 320, 319, 318, 317, 316, 315, 314, 312, 311, 310, 309 and 308, on October 7, 2016 ("C. Freitas Objection"; Doc. 332 and Doc. 333)². Temple of Lono submitted a Response to Proposed Minute Order No. ____ Denying Temple of Lono Motion For Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. 78) (Doc. 315); Exhibit 1; COS (Doc. 334).

Based upon the Motions, all related and oral submissions from counsels and/or parties, the Proposed Minute Order and all submissions related thereto, all applicable law, the entire record having been considered by the Hearing Officer, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED.

The Motion seeks "partial summary judgment that the summit of Mauna a Wākea is a sacred site of special significance to the traditional Hawaiian faith and to a partial summary judgment that the traditional Hawaiian faith is still practiced." Parties opposing the Motion have argued that the grounds upon which the Motion seeks relief would violate the Establishment Clause of both the U.S. and Hawai'i Constitutions because it would require the Hearing Officer to recognize a religious servitude over the summit area of Mauna Kea and because such claims are unsupported by admissible evidence. This Hearing Officer finds that summary judgment, partial or otherwise, is an improper mechanism to determine the factual issues asserted by the Temple of Lono and further finds that the positions set forth are not properly before the Hearing Officer in this contested case proceeding.

October 5, 2016, 4:30 p.m. Deadline for the submission of responses to the proposed minute orders was set for Friday, October 7, 2016, 4:30 p.m.

² It appears that C. Freitas filed two identical documents; Doc. No. 332 is file-stamped "2016 Oct 07 at 11:44 a.m." and Doc. No. 333 is file-stamped "2016 Oct 07 at 3:39".

Ms. Freitas' objections to the Proposed Minute Order are based on her belief that "There for [sic] all **DOC 322, 321, 320, 319, 318, 318, 317, 316, 315, 314, 312, 311, 310, 309 AND 308** filed in the Document Library on October 5, 2016 is premature." Citing the "14th Amendment" Ms. Freitas contends that her rights are somehow denied by the Proposed Minute Order. Her arguments are illogical. The appropriate time to ask for reconsideration of the Minute Order in question is after it is filed. It has not been filed yet. The filing of this Minute Order will trigger the process for reconsideration as set out herein.

Motion to Reconsider. A party, who believes it appropriate, may file a motion to reconsider using the procedure set out herein. Any Motion for Reconsideration shall not be used to reargue the motion or set out positions of a purely repetitious nature or to present factual or legal grounds that could or should have been presented at the original hearing. <u>AMFAC, Inc. v.</u> <u>Waikiki Beachcomber Inv. Co.,</u> 74 Haw. 85, 114 (1992).

The deadline for submission of any **motion** to reconsider this minute order and/or the Order Setting Issues, is no later than <u>5 business days</u> after the date this Minute Order are filed in the Documents Library. Any **responses to motions to reconsider**, shall be submitted no later than <u>10 business days</u> after this motion and order are filed in the Documents Library; essentially 5 business days after the deadline for motions to reconsider.

Any Motion to Reconsider shall be considered a non-hearing motion unless otherwise designated by the Hearing Officer.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai`i, <u>October 10, 2016</u>

Judge Riki May Amano (Ret.) Hearing Officer

BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STATE OF HAWAI'I

Contested Case Hearing Re Conservation District Use Application (CDUA) HA-3568 for the Thirty Meter Telescope at the Mauna Kea Science Reserve, Ka'ohe Mauka, Hāmakua, Hawai'i, TMK (3) 4-4-015:009

BLNR Contested Case HA-16-02 Document title: Minute Orders 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27

(CORRECTED) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above referenced Minute Orders were served upon the following parties by the means indicated on October 10, 2016:

Carlsmith Ball LLP isandison@carlsmith.com tluikwan@carlsmith.com jpm@carlsmith.com Imcaneeley@carlsmith.com Counsels for the applicant University of Hawai'i at Hilo

Kealoha Pisciotta and Mauna Kea Anaina Hou keomaivg@gmail.com

Clarence Kukauakahi Ching kahiwaL@cs.com

E. Kalani Flores ekflores@hawaiiantel.net

B. Pualani Case puacase@hawaiiantel.net

Deborah J. Ward cordylinecolor@gmail.com

Paul K. Neves kealiikea@yahoo.com

Kahea: The Environmental Alliance c.o. Bianca Isaki bianca@kahea.org

Watanabe Ing LLP rshinyama@wik.com douging@wik.com Counsels for TMT International Observatory, LLC

Harry Fergerstrom P.O. Box 951 Kurtistown, HI 96760

Mehana Kihoi uhiwai@live.com

C. M. Kaho'okahi Kanuha kahookahi@gmail.com

Joseph Kualii Lindsey Camara kualiic@hotmail.com

Torkildson, Katz, Moore, Hetherington & Harris lsa@torkildson.com njc@torkildson.com Counsels for Perpetuating Unique Educational Opportunities (PUEO)

J. Leina'ala Sleightholm leinaala.mauna@gmail.com

Maelani Lee maelanilee@yahoo.com

Lanny Alan Sinkin lanny.sinkin@gmail.com Representative for The Temple of Lono

Kalikolehua Kanaele akulele@yahoo.com

Stephanie-Malia:Tabbada s.tabbada@hawaiiantel.net Tiffnie Kakalia tiffniekakalia@gmail.com

Glen Kila makakila@gmail.com

Dwight J. Vicente 2608 Ainaola Drive Hilo, Hawaiian Kingdom

Brannon Kamahana Kealoha brannonk@hawaii.edu

Cindy Freitas hanahanai@hawaii.rr.com

William Freitas pohaku7@yahoo.com

Wilma H. Holi P. O. Box 368 Hanapepe, HI 96716 Witness for the Hearing Officer

Moses Kealamakia Jr. mkealama@yahoo.com Witness for the Hearing Officer

Patricia P. Ikeda peheakeanila@gmail.com Witness for the Hearing Officer

Signature: Michael Ce

Name: Michael Cain Date: October 11, 2016