#### **BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

## STATE OF HAWAI'I

IN THE MATTER OF	)	CASE NO. BLNR-CC-16-002
	)	
Contested Case Hearing Re Conservation District	)	Minute Order No. 26
Use Application (CDUA) HA-3568 For the	)	(Order Denying Maelani Lee's
Thirty Meter Telescope at the Mauna Kea Science	)	Motion to Intervene (Doc. 84))
Reserve, Ka`ohe Mauka, Hamakua, Hawai`i	)	
TMK (3) 4-4-015:009	)	
	)	
	)	Certificate of Service

### MINUTE ORDER NO. 26

(Order Denying Maelani Lee's Motion to Intervene (Doc. 84))

On August 5, 2016, a hearing on Maelani Lee's Motion to Intervene (Doc. 84) ("Motion") was held in Hilo, Hawai'i at the YMCA, 300 W. Lanikaula Street.

The following persons were present:

Richard N. Wurdeman, Esq., Attorney for Mauna Kea Anaina Hou, et al. for and with Kealoha Pisciotta, Clarence K. Ching, Deborah Ward, Paul Neves, Pualani Case, E. Kalani Flores and Keomailani Van Gogh

Timothy Lui-Kwan, Esq.; John Manaut, Esq.; and Ian Sandison, Esq.; Attorneys for the University of Hawaii-Hilo ("UHH")

J. Douglas Ing, Esq. and Ross Shinyama, Esq., Attorneys for TMT International Lincoln Ashida, Esq. and Newton Chu, Esq., Attorneys for Perpetuating Unique Educational Opportunities Inc. ("PUEO")

Lanny Sinkin for Temple of Lono

Harry Fergerstrom

Richard DeLeon

Mehana Kihoi

C.M. Kahookahi Kanuha

Joseph Camara

Jennifer Leina`ala Sleightholm

Maelani Lee

Kalikolehua Kanaele

Stephanie-Malia Tabbada

Tiffnie Kakalia

Received
Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands
2016 Oct 10 5:18 pm
Depatement of Land and Natural Resources
State of Hawaii

Glen Kila Dwight Vicente Brannon Kamahana Kealoha Cindy Freitas William Freitas

In addition to the Requests, the following submissions were considered:

DOC.	TITLE	COUNSEL/PARTY
136	The University of Hawaii at Hilo's memorandum in opposition to Maelani Lee's Motion to intervene filed July 13, 2016 [Doc. 84]; Declaration of counsel; Exhibit "1"; COS	University of Hawaii at Hilo
146	TMT International Observatory, LLC's opposition to Maelani Lee's motion to intervene [Doc. 84]; COS	TMT International Observatory, LLC

On October 5, 2016, the University of Hawai`i at Hilo filed a Proposed Minute Order No.

\_\_ Denying the Motion ("Proposed Minute Order"; Doc. 322).\(^1\) Cindy Freitas submitted

Objection to All Minute Order No. \_\_\_ That Was Filed In The Document Library On October 5,

2016 Doc 322, 321, 320, 319, 318, 317, 316, 315, 314, 312, 311, 310, 309 and 308, on October

7, 2016 ("C. Freitas Objection"; Doc. 332 and Doc. 333)\(^2\).

Based upon the Motions, all related and oral submissions from counsels and/or parties, the Proposed Minute Order and all submissions related thereto, all applicable law, the entire record having been considered by the Hearing Officer,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At the 5<sup>th</sup> pre-hearing conference, held on October 3, 2016, the Hearing Officer requested that prevailing parties submit proposed minute orders relative to motions for which oral rulings were rendered but written Minute Orders were still outstanding. Deadline for submission of the proposed minute orders was set for Wednesday, October 5, 2016, 4:30 p.m. Deadline for the submission of responses to the proposed minute orders was set for Friday, October 7, 2016, 4:30 p.m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It appears that C. Freitas filed two identical documents; Doc. No. 332 is file-stamped "2016 Oct 07 at 11:44 a.m." and Doc. No. 333 is file-stamped "2016 Oct 07 at 3:39".

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED. The Motion, entitled a motion to intervene, seeks judicial notice of certain "congressional facts" that relate to issues involving the sovereignty of the Hawaiian Islands. The Motion also asks the Hearing Officer to order the State to provide clear title to the lands within the State of Hawai'i and for proof that Mauna Kea is within the State of Hawai'i. At the hearing on August 5, 2016, the Hearing Officer heard arguments opposing the Motion on the grounds that Ms. Lee's claims raise issues that are not within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board of Land and Natural Resources ("Board") and contradict controlling legal precedent.

This Hearing Officer finds that Ms. Lee's claims are not properly before the Hearing Office in this contested case proceeding as issues related to the legality of the overthrow, the status of the State of Hawai'i and the State of Hawai'i's jurisdiction over the land within the State, are nonjusticiable political questions which the Board and the Hearing Office lack subject matter jurisdiction to hear. *See Sai v. Clinton*, 778 F.Supp.2d 1 (D. D.C. 2011), *aff'd sub. nom.*, *Sai v. Obama*, 2011 WL 4917030, at \*3 (D.C. Cir. Sept. 26, 2011) ("Since its annexation in 1898 and admission to the Union as a State in 1959, Hawaii has been firmly established as part of the United States. The passage of time and the significance of the issue of sovereignty present an unusual need for unquestioning adherence to a political decision already made.") (citations omitted); *see also Baker v. Carr*, 369 U.S. 186, 212 (1962); *Wang v. Masaitis*, 416 F.3d 992 (9th Cir. 2005). Additionally, judicial notice of the "congressional facts" that Ms. Lee seeks are not appropriate because Hawai'i courts have never taken judicial notice of an existing or continued sovereign governing Hawaiian Kingdom entity. *See State v. French*, 77 Hawai'i 222, 228, 883 P.2d 644, 650 (App. 1994).

Ms. Freitas' objections to the Proposed Minute Order are based on her belief that "There for [sic] all **DOC 322**, **321**, **320**, **319**, **318**, **318**, **317**, **316**, **315**, **314**, **312**, **311**, **310**, **309 AND 308** filed in the Document Library on October 5, 2016 is premature." Citing the "14<sup>th</sup> Amendment" Ms. Freitas contends that her rights are somehow denied by the Proposed Minute Order. Her arguments are illogical. The appropriate time to ask for reconsideration of the Minute Order in question is after it is filed. It has not been filed yet. The filing of this Minute Order will trigger the process for reconsideration as set out herein.

**Motion to Reconsider.** A party, who believes it appropriate, may file a motion to reconsider using the procedure set out herein. Any Motion for Reconsideration shall not be used to reargue the motion or set out positions of a purely repetitious nature or to present factual or legal grounds that could or should have been presented at the original hearing. <u>AMFAC, Inc. v. Waikiki Beachcomber Inv. Co.</u>, 74 Haw. 85, 114 (1992).

The deadline for submission of any <u>motion</u> to reconsider this minute order and/or the Order Setting Issues, is no later than <u>5 business days</u> after the date this Minute Order are filed in the Documents Library. Any <u>responses to motions to reconsider</u>, shall be submitted no later than <u>10 business days</u> after this motion and order are filed in the Documents Library; essentially 5 business days after the deadline for motions to reconsider.

Any Motion to Reconsider shall be considered a non-hearing motion unless otherwise designated by the Hearing Officer.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, \_\_\_October 10, 2016\_\_\_

Judge Riki May Amano (Ret.) Hearing Officer

#### **BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

#### STATE OF HAWAI'I

Contested Case Hearing Re Conservation District Use Application (CDUA) HA-3568 for the Thirty Meter Telescope at the Mauna Kea Science Reserve, Ka'ohe Mauka, Hāmakua, Hawai'i, TMK (3) 4-4-015:009 BLNR Contested Case HA-16-02 Document title: Minute Orders 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27

# (CORRECTED) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above referenced Minute Orders were served upon the following parties by the means indicated on October 10, 2016:

Carlsmith Ball LLP
isandison@carlsmith.com
tluikwan@carlsmith.com
jpm@carlsmith.com
lmcaneeley@carlsmith.com
Counsels for the applicant University
of Hawai'i at Hilo

Kealoha Pisciotta and Mauna Kea Anaina Hou keomaivg@gmail.com

Clarence Kukauakahi Ching kahiwaL@cs.com

E. Kalani Flores ekflores@hawaiiantel.net

B. Pualani Case puacase@hawaiiantel.net

Deborah J. Ward cordylinecolor@gmail.com

Paul K. Neves kealiikea@yahoo.com

Kahea: The Environmental Alliance c.o. Bianca Isaki bianca@kahea.org

Watanabe Ing LLP
rshinyama@wik.com
douging@wik.com
Counsels for TMT International
Observatory, LLC

Harry Fergerstrom P.O. Box 951 Kurtistown, HI 96760

Mehana Kihoi uhiwai@live.com

C. M. Kaho'okahi Kanuha kahookahi@gmail.com

Joseph Kualii Lindsey Camara kualiic@hotmail.com

Torkildson, Katz, Moore, Hetherington & Harris

<u>lsa@torkildson.com</u>

<u>njc@torkildson.com</u>

Counsels for Perpetuating Unique

Educational Opportunities (PUEO)

J. Leina'ala Sleightholm <u>leinaala.mauna@gmail.com</u>

Maelani Lee maelanilee@yahoo.com

Lanny Alan Sinkin <u>lanny.sinkin@gmail.com</u> Representative for The Temple of Lono

Kalikolehua Kanaele akulele@yahoo.com

Stephanie-Malia:Tabbada s.tabbada@hawaiiantel.net

Tiffnie Kakalia tiffniekakalia@gmail.com

Glen Kila makakila@gmail.com

Dwight J. Vicente 2608 Ainaola Drive Hilo, Hawaiian Kingdom

Brannon Kamahana Kealoha brannonk@hawaii.edu

Cindy Freitas hanahanai@hawaii.rr.com

William Freitas pohaku7@yahoo.com

Wilma H. Holi P. O. Box 368 Hanapepe, HI 96716 Witness for the Hearing Officer

Moses Kealamakia Jr. <u>mkealama@yahoo.com</u> Witness for the Hearing Officer

Patricia P. Ikeda <u>peheakeanila@gmail.com</u> Witness for the Hearing Officer

Signature: Michael Cein
Name: Michael Cain

Date: October 11, 2016