



## CONSERVATION DISTRICT USE APPLICATION (CDUA)

All permit applications shall be prepared pursuant to HAR 13-5-31

File No.:

Acceptance Date:

180-Day Expiration Date:

Assigned Planner:

for DLNR Use

**PROJECT NAME:** Waterfront Improvements at 45-221 Ka Hanahou Circle, Kāneʻohe, Hawaii

Conservation District Subzone: Resource

Identified Land Use: R-5, Marine Construction

(See *Hawaiʻi Administrative Rules (HAR) §13-5-22 through §13-5-25*)

Project Address: 45-221 Ka Hanahou Circle, Kāneʻohe, Hawaii, 96744

Ahupuaʻa, District, Island: Kāneʻohe, Koʻolaupoko, Oʻahu

Tax Map Key(s): 1-4-5-047:051

Proposed Commencement Date: June 2025

Proposed Completion Date: July 2025

Estimated Project Cost: \$177,500

Type of permit sought  Board or  Departmental

### ATTACHMENTS

\$ 2500 Application fee. 2.5% of project cost for Board Permits, but no less than \$250, up to a maximum of \$2500; \$250 for Departmental Permits (*ref §13-5-32 through 34*).

\$ N/A Public hearing fee if required (*\$250 plus publication costs; ref §13-5-40*)

- 6 copies of CDUA (*5 hard + 1 digital copy*) (*disc or cloud share; no flash drives*)
- Draft / Final Environmental Assessment (EA) or Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or Statement of Exemption
- State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) HRS 6E submittal form or Determination letter ([dlnr.hawaii.gov/shpd/review-compliance/forms](http://dlnr.hawaii.gov/shpd/review-compliance/forms))
- Management plan or Comprehensive management plan (*ref §13-5-39*) if required
- Special Management Area determination (*ref Hawaiʻi Revised Statutes 205A*)
- Shoreline certification (*ref §13-5-31(a)(8)*) if land use is subject to coastal hazards.
- Kuleana documentation (*ref §13-5-31(f)*) if applying for a non-conforming kuleana use.
- Boundary determination (*ref §13-5-17*) if land use lies within 50 feet of a subzone boundary.

**REQUIRED SIGNATURES**

**Applicant**

Name: Michael Elhoff Living Trust  
Title; Agency: Property Owner  
Mailing Address: 45-221 Ka Hanahou Circle, Kāneʻohe, Hawaii 96744  
Contact Person & Title: Mike Elhoff, Trustee  
Phone: 808-781-3000  
Email: namastealoha@gmail.com  
Interest in Property: Current Owner

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Signed by an authorized officer if for a Corporation, Partnership, Agency or Organization*

---

**Landowner (if different than the applicant)**

Name: Department of Land and Natural Resources  
Title; Agency: Chairperson, Board of Land and Natural Resources  
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 621, Honolulu, HI 96809-0621  
Phone: (808) 587-0400  
Email: dlnr@hawaii.gov

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*For public lands, the government entity with management control shall sign as landowner.*

---

**Agent or Consultant**

Agency: Integral Consulting Inc.  
Contact Person & Title: Robert Walker, Principal  
Mailing Address: 66-590 Kamehameha Hwy. Suite 2A, Haleʻiwa, HI 96712  
Phone: 808-202-1920  
Email: rwalker@integral-corp.com

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**For DLNR Managed Lands**

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

---

## PROPOSED USE

Total area of proposed use (indicate in acres or sq. ft.): 96 ft<sup>2</sup>

Please provide a detailed description of the proposed land use(s) in its entirety. Information should describe what the proposed use is; the need and purpose for the proposed use; the size of the proposed use (provide dimensions and quantities of materials); and how the work for the proposed use will be done (methodology). If there are multiple components to a project, please answer the above for each component. Also include information regarding secondary improvements including, but not limited to, grading and grubbing, placement of accessory equipment, installation of utilities, roads, driveways, fences, landscaping, etc.

Attach all associated plans such as a location map, site plan, floor plan, elevations, and landscaping plans drawn to scale (*ref §13-5-31*).

*Proposed use, need and purpose:* The proposed use is construction of a new, private gangway and dock for recreational use at the 45-221 Ka Hanahou Circle residence for the purposes of berthing a sailboat. The proposed use is needed because the existing site is currently inadequate to berth a sailboat.

*Size of proposed use:* The proposed rectangular fixed dock would be 30 ft long by 10 ft wide and be constructed of aluminum with an open grating deck. The furthest limit of the structure would be situated approximately 25 ft makai of the mean high-water mark (at the base of the existing seawall) along the 5-ft bathymetric contour line (see Attachment 2). Six precast concrete footings measuring 4 ft square would be secured to the ocean floor at evenly spaced intervals beneath the dock. Vertical concrete piers would also be pre-cast into the center of each footing to support the dock. The top of the dock would be installed at approximately 5 ft above mean sea level. Access to the fixed dock would be provided by a 14.9-ft-long, 4-ft wide aluminum gangway extending at a right angle from the existing seawall to the dock.

*Methodology:* Mobilization of construction activities would include the installation of best management practices (BMPs) and implementation of required avoidance and minimization measures (AMMs). These controls include, but are not limited to, providing awareness training to the construction crew, establishing the physical limits of disturbance, and deploying turbidity curtains. Only once these controls are in place would any disturbance of the seafloor occur.

Construction of the dock and gangway would be completed from the water using a barge or similar vessel. The vessel would be outfitted with an excavator or small crane, which would be used to lower the precast concrete footings, dock structure, and gangway into place. The concrete footings will be anchored to the seafloor using ground anchors (i.e., helical anchors screw anchors, or similar). The deck grating and various accessories would be fixed to the dock with appropriate marine-grade hardware.

To support the gangway and provide access to the fixed dock, a gangway landing will be constructed makai of the certified shoreline, tying into the existing seawall. Upon the dock's completion, the construction crew will demobilize, ensuring that all construction material and BMPs have been removed.

## **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Please describe the following, and attach maps, site plans, topo maps, colored photos, and biological or archaeological surveys as appropriate:

### **Prior Conservation District Use Permits or Site Plan Approvals (if applicable):**

No prior permits or site plans have been awarded for this Site

### **Existing access to site:**

The Site can be accessed from the ocean or land. The nearshore bathymetry fronting the Site was dredged during the late 1940s, allowing deep-water access suitable for a vessel with a draft of up to 5 ft. From the land, the Site can be accessed from Ka Hanahou Circle via the Elhoff residence private driveway, which is wide enough to accommodate a standard passenger vehicle. The driveway extends up to the certified shoreline.

### **Existing buildings/structures:**

Adjacent to the proposed project area there are three existing structures: (1) a concrete boat ramp approximately 147 square feet in size; (2) a submerged concrete wall that runs along the shoreline, approximately 169 square feet in area; and, (3) a portion of a seawall that juts into the Conservation District with an approximate area of 3 square feet. In addition to the submerged and partially submerged features, there is a 3 bedroom, 2.5 bath single-family residence with a total living area of 2,150 square feet located just mauka of the certified shoreline.

### **Existing utilities (electrical, communication, gas, drainage, water & wastewater):**

No utilities are known to exist within the proposed project Site area.

### **Physiography (geology, topography, & soils):**

Dredging and filling activities have drastically altered the geography and ecology of Kāneʻohe Bay over the years. Prior to 1939, dredging was limited to small areas around boat landings and piers. During construction of what was then known as the Kāneʻohe Bay Naval Air Station on Mōkapu peninsula (1939-1945) extensive dredging took place throughout the bay. These dredging activities resulted in alterations to 88 percent of the shoreline in the south bay. In addition, nine fishponds were filled for land development between 1946 and 1948. The project property at 45-221 Ka Hanahou Circle is built on one of these historical fishponds. The Kalokohanahou fishpond was filled during the above period and the Ka Hanahou residential development was built in its place in 1952.

Nearshore areas of the southeast inner portions of Kāneʻohe Bay, where the Site is located, are known to have larger concentrations of volcanic soils from land and have higher concentrations of terrigenous material deposited from upstream agriculture and urban influence.

Depth at the Site ranges from 0 to 9 ft MLLW. The nearshore benthic floor is characterized primarily by rubble covered in turf and sediment, which transitions to fine sand and silt in the deeper parts of the project area. The seafloor is interrupted by several isolated coral colonies present intermittently along the seafloor with cover density ranging from 0.7 to 17.3 percent.

**Hydrology** (surface water, groundwater, coastal waters, & wetlands):

All Kāneʻohe Bay waters are classified as AA by the Hawaiʻi Department of Health, indicating that these waters are to remain in “*pristine state as nearly as possible with an absolute minimum of pollution or alteration of water quality from any human-caused source or actions*” (HAR 11-54). Each portion of Kāneʻohe Bay assessed within the 2024 State of Hawaiʻi Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report has been denoted as impaired for multiple water quality parameters and each has a “Low” priority.

The project site lies within what is considered the Southeast (SE) Inner Bay. This portion of Kāneʻohe Bay has lower salinities than other portions of the bay, due to its semi-enclosed geography, which restricts circulation and the influx of marine waters.

**Flora & fauna** (indicate if rare or endangered plants and/or animals are present):

Conditions at the Site were evaluated during a biological survey, which was conducted along transects of varying lengths within and around the construction area and included an evaluation of coral colonies, including size, color, species, health, benthic cover types, and the number of fish species and rare or invasive species observed. No rare, endangered, or protected species were observed during the survey. Coral colonies were mostly healthy with no signs of mass paling or bleaching observed.

The hawksbill sea turtle, humpback whale and monk seal are rarely sighted within waters of the Kāneʻohe Bay, and while these species have the potential to occur within or near the Site, it is unlikely. The green sea turtle is frequently observed at the Site and the Project ***may affect but not likely to adversely affect*** this species.

**Natural hazards** (erosion, flooding, tsunami, seismic, etc.):

The proposed project is within the tsunami inundation area and within the 3.2 ft planning benchmark for sea level rise. The proposed dock has been engineered by a qualified coastal engineer that is licensed in the state of Hawaii to withstand extreme weather events. The dock has also been designed such that it can be modified/raised to adapt to future sea level rise.

Flooding and seismic impacts are not anticipated at the site.

**Historic & cultural resources:**

No impacts to historic, archeological or cultural resources are anticipated.

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Department or Board will evaluate the merits of a proposed land use based upon the following eight criteria (*ref §13-5-30(c)*)

1. **The purpose of the Conservation District is to conserve, protect, and preserve the important natural and cultural resources of the State through appropriate management and use to promote their long-term sustainability and the public health, safety, and welfare. How is the proposed land use consistent with the purpose of the conservation district?** (*ref §13-5-1*)

The proposed project will have only minor effects on natural resources within the Conservation District, which will be isolated to the area immediately beneath the footprint of the proposed dock where two coral colonies currently exist as identified in the Biological Survey conducted for the project. Impacts to coral will be offset through implementation of the Coral Transplantation Plan whereby the existing colonies will be relocated and monitored for successful reattachment and regeneration. A Special Activity Permit will be obtained from the Division of Aquatic Resources authorizing coral transplantation activities.

Over time, it is anticipated that the pier foundations will serve as substrate for the attachment of new coral colonies as is seen in the adjacent boat slip and boat ramp and ultimately support the long-term health of the Bay.

The proposed project has no discernible impact on beach and public recreational resources and does not act as a detriment to public access.

2. **How is the proposed use consistent with the objectives of the subzone of the land on which the land use will occur?** (*ref §13-5-11 through §13-5-15*)

The objective of the Resource subzone is to develop, with proper management, areas to ensure sustained use of the natural resources of those areas. The construction of a recreational pier enhances access to Kaneohe Bay for activities like boating and fishing, both of which maximize the use and enjoyment of the natural resources of the Bay. Recreational boating has been a tradition in Kaneohe for kanaka maoli, kamaaina, and malihini people throughout the years. The proposed project is consistent with this historical use and supports the sustained use of the recreational resources of Kaneohe Bay. By obtaining the appropriate permits and by engaging in appropriate consultation with regulating agencies and other interested parties, the proposed project can be constructed such that direct impacts to natural resources within the footprint of the project can be minimized and offset. Mitigative measures such as the PacSLOPES measures, required by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Special Activity Permit/Coral Transplantation Plan issued by the DLNR Division of Aquatic Resources, among others, will be implemented to ensure any potential impacts to natural resources are properly managed.

3. **Describe how the proposed land use complies with the provisions and guidelines contained in chapter 205A, HRS, entitled "Coastal Zone Management"** (*see 205A objectives on p. 9*).

The proposed action is consistent with the objectives and policies of the State CZM:

**Recreational Resources:** The proposed action will improve existing recreational resources located in the area, by improving recreational boating access. **Historical Resources:** The proposed action will not affect historical resources. **Scenic and Open Space Resources:** The proposed action will result in minor impacts to scenic and open space resources. **Coastal Ecosystems:** Impacts to coastal ecosystems, including threatened and endangered species, essential fish habitat, coral, and live rock, are expected to be minor. Temporary impacts to coastal ecosystems are anticipated during construction and will be mitigated by implementing BMPs and AMMs. Long-term, permanent impacts are not expected. **Economic Uses:** Implementation of the Proposed Project will not result in any change to economic uses of Kāneʻohe Bay and surrounding area. **Coastal Hazards:** Resilience of the Proposed Project to coastal hazards was considered during selection of the preferred alternative and conceptual design of the dock. **Managing Development:** The proposed action is consistent with the objective of improving the development review process, communication, and public participation by undertaking the ongoing environmental assessment process. **Public Participation:** Consultation with federal, state, and county agencies is ongoing. A Pre-Consultation review process was undertaken during the preparation of the Draft EA and included input from government agencies and the owners and residents of neighboring properties, who have been routinely updated and have provided input to the applicant, Mr. Michael Elhoff. **Beach Protection:** The Proposed Project is not in proximity to sandy beaches and will have no impact on this resource. **Marine Resources:** Water quality may be temporarily impacted at low levels during disturbance of the seafloor (setting and anchoring foundations).

4. **Describe how the proposed land use will not cause substantial adverse impact to existing natural resources within the surrounding area, community or region.**

All activities will be limited to the aquatic environment; therefore no impacts are anticipated for terrestrial resources. Aside from the acute square footage impacted by the installation of the footings, long-term adverse impacts to sediment and geology, groundwater, air quality, or noise of the project area and greater site vicinity are not anticipated. Temporary impacts will primarily stem from minor amounts of turbidity, which would be controlled by the use of turbidity curtains. Additionally, temporary and minor impacts to air quality and noise are anticipated during the 1-month construction period. Sources of air emissions during implementation of the Proposed Project include construction equipment powered by gasoline and diesel engines. Temporary air quality impacts would be comparable to what might result from a household renovation project. Proper employment of BMPs and AMMs would limit temporary impacts from project activities. The following federally protected species have potential to exist near the project area: humpback whale, hawksbill sea turtle, and Hawaiʻian monk seal, though are unlikely to be observed at or near project activities. Green sea turtles are known to travel through the project area and may be adversely affected; however, effects to green sea turtles, in addition to other federally protected species that could exist within the vicinity, will be mitigated and avoided via proper implementation of project BMPs and AMMs. These include but are not limited to stopping work if these species are observed nearby, utilizing soft starts when initiating work that directly impacts the sea floor, and employing best practices to avoid species entanglement with mooring systems. The project area is within critical habitat for Hawaiʻian monk seal;

however, this species is not known to utilize this area, nor does the project area provide ideal birthing habitat for the species. Temporary adverse effects to essential fish habitat are anticipated during transplantation of three coral colonies currently located within the footprint of the proposed dock and gangway.

- 5. Describe how the proposed land use, including buildings, structures and facilities, is compatible with the locality and surrounding areas, appropriate to the physical conditions and capabilities of the specific parcel or parcels.**

The proposed land use is appropriate for the physical conditions and capabilities of this parcel. This parcel has a unique feature in that the makai edge of the fringing reef is located less than 100 ft makai of the parcel's seawall. The fringing reef directly fronting the parcel is also relatively deep, with depths exceeding 5 ft below sea level. This bathymetry makes the area makai of the parcel, where the land use is proposed, particularly suited to host a dock and be utilized by boats.

- 6. Describe how the existing physical and environmental aspects of the land, such as natural beauty and open space characteristics, will be preserved or improved upon.**

Any impacts to scenic and aesthetic resources are expected to be temporary, limited to the construction period when work vessels are staged in the project area. The completed dock structure, considering its low proposed elevation, will partially restrict scenic views of Kāne'ohe Bay for adjacent landowners only. The aesthetics of the proposed dock structure are expected to be consistent with what is typically seen along the shoreline of other properties in the bay.

- 7. If applicable, describe how subdivision of land will not be utilized to increase the intensity of land uses in the Conservation District.**

Not Applicable. Subdivision of land is not proposed.

- 8. Describe how the proposed land use will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.**

The Proposed Project maintains the general character of the Ka Hanahou residential community, which commonly promotes ocean access in the form of slips, docks, and boat ramps along ocean front properties. Improvements to ocean access along the shoreline, as proposed herein, enhance recreational use of the Kāne'ohe Bay, and provide alternate means of travel by boat. Impacts to noise, air, water quality, and marine biological resources are anticipated to be minor and temporary (one month). These impacts will be mitigated by use of BMPs and AMMs.

## **CULTURAL IMPACTS**

Articles IX and XII of the State Constitution, other state laws, and the courts of the State, require government agencies to promote and preserve cultural beliefs, practices, and resources of Native Hawaiian and other ethnic groups.

**Please provide the identity and scope of cultural, historical, and natural resources in which traditional and customary native Hawaiian rights are exercised in the area.**

The area immediately inland from the project site was historically a Hawaiian fishpond called Kalokohanahou, reportedly in use until at least 1939. The pond was eventually filled and developed in the late 1940s during development of the Kāneʻohe Bay Naval Air Station. Remnants of the original fishpond walls are not known to exist within or near the project area and may have been removed or buried when the area was filled. Shallow placement for footings in the submerged areas below the proposed dock are not expected to encounter any historic or archaeological resources. There are no other historic, archaeological, or cultural resources known to exist within the project vicinity.

**Identify the extent to which those resources, including traditional and customary Native Hawaiian rights, will be affected or impaired by the proposed action.**

The proposed action does not anticipate affecting nor impairing traditional or customary Native Hawaiian rights and resources.

**What feasible action, if any, could be taken by the Board of Land and Natural Resources regarding your application to reasonably protect Native Hawai'i rights?**

None

## OTHER IMPACTS

### **Does the proposed land use have an effect (positive/negative) on public access to and along the shoreline or along any public trail?**

There is no public shoreline access in proximity to the Site and no public paths along the shoreline in this area. Swimmers and divers may approach the Action Area from the ocean; however, without a dedicated public access point nearby, recreational uses of this nature are likely limited to residents of neighboring properties.

### **Does the proposed use have an effect (positive/negative) on beach processes?**

The Proposed Project is not in proximity to sandy beaches and impacts to beach transport mechanisms will be minor in nature. A fixed dock allows water to flow freely underneath, and results in minimal disruption to the beach transport mechanisms of waves, tides, and currents. Water continues to move unrestricted underneath the fixed dock with little to no blockage or stagnant pockets. The concrete piles upon which the dock is situated cause only minor pile shadowing as sand grains flow around them. As a result, the proposed project is not expected to have any effect on beach processes.

### **Will the proposed use cause increased sedimentation?**

Because a fixed dock is built above the water surface, the water and sediment flow underneath with almost no interference. Other than minor pile shadowing (as described above) increased sedimentation is not anticipated.

### **Will the proposed use cause any visual impact on any individual or community?**

The dock, piles, and boat (when docked) may have low visual impacts to properties immediately adjacent to the project site. However, given that the dock is offset from the neighboring properties' view plane (it is immediately in front of the project owner's property) any obstruction would be partial.

### **Please describe any sustainable design elements that will be incorporated into the proposed land use (e.g. the use of efficient ventilation and cooling systems; renewable energy generation; sustainable building materials; permeable paving materials; efficient energy and water systems; efficient waste management systems; etc.).**

Although the proposed project does not incorporate conventional building-related sustainable design elements (e.g., energy systems, renewable energy generation, HVAC efficiencies, or building materials), we do intend to integrate sustainability principles appropriate to a small, water-dependent structure. Specifically, the project will incorporate:

- **Minimized in-water footprint:** The dock has been designed to be as compact as practicable, reducing seabed shading and limiting impacts to marine resources.
- **Use of durable, long-lasting materials:** Materials have been selected for longevity in the marine environment, reducing the frequency of maintenance, replacement, and associated environmental disturbance over the project's lifespan.
- **Best management practices (BMPs):** Construction will follow strict BMPs to minimize turbidity, prevent debris, and avoid harm to marine life—supporting long-term water quality

and ecosystem health.

- **Avoidance of unnecessary energy or water use:** The dock does not include utilities or other systems that consume high amounts of energy or water, aligning with a low-impact design philosophy.
- **Support for continued non-motorized recreational access:** By maintaining access for low-impact ocean uses, the project indirectly promotes sustainable, wind-powered recreation.

While there are no traditional “building sustainability” features given the nature of the structure, we are committed to minimizing long-term environmental impacts and maintaining a small, efficient footprint consistent with sustainable coastal design principles.

**If the project involves landscaping, please describe how the landscaping is appropriate to the Conservation District** (*e.g. use of indigenous and endemic species; xeriscaping in dry areas; minimizing ground disturbance; maintenance or restoration of the canopy; removal of invasive species; habitat preservation and restoration; etc.*)

Not Applicable, no modifications to landscaping are planned.

**Please describe Best Management Practices that will be used during construction and implementation of the proposed land use.**

In the Pacific Standard Local Operating Procedures for Endangered Species (PacSLOPES), USACE and NMFS set forth standard guidelines and conditions (and BMPS) that projects must follow to ensure their projects avoid or minimize negative effects on threatened and endangered marine species. These BMPs, general construction BMPs, and specific BMPs requested by DAR during the Draft EA Pre-consultation process will be adhered to throughout implementation of the proposed project.

**Please describe the measures that will be taken to mitigate the proposed land use’s environmental and cultural impacts.**

Mitigation measures for potential environmental impacts are discussed at length in the Draft EA. In addition to the PacSLOPES BMPs described previously, avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented to control potential impacts related to water quality, air quality, terrestrial and marine organisms, noise, light, coastal hazards, recreation, and scenic and aesthetic resources.

No impacts to historic, archeological or cultural resources are anticipated.

**SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL STANDARDS**

Single Family Residences must comply with the standards outlined in HAR Chapter 13-5, Exhibit 4. Please provide preliminary architectural renderings (e.g. building foot print, exterior plan view, elevation drawings; floor plan, etc.) drawn to scale.

SIZE OF LOT

	Existing	Proposed	Total
Proposed building footprint			
Paved areas/ impermeable surfaces			
Landscaped areas			
Unimproved areas			

SETBACKS      Front:                      Side:                      Back:

SHORELINE PROPERTIES

Average Lot Depth (ALD):                      Average annual coastal erosion rate:

Minimum shoreline setback based on Exhibit 4:

Actual shoreline setback or proposed structure:

MAXIMUM DEVELOPABLE AREA

The Maximum Developable Area includes all floor areas under roof, including first, second, and third stories, decks, pools, saunas, garage or carport, and other above ground structures.

Maximum Developable Area based on Exhibit 4:

Actual Developable Area of proposed residence:

Actual height of the proposed building envelope as defined in Exhibit 4:

COMPATIBILITY

Provide justification for any proposed deviation from the established residential standards.

Click or tap here to enter text.

How is the design of the residence compatible with the surrounding area?

Click or tap here to enter text.

If grading is proposed, include a grading plan which provides the amount of cut and fill. Has grading or contouring been kept to a minimum?

Click or tap here to enter text.

## CHAPTER 205A – COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT

Land uses are required to comply with the provisions and guidelines contained in Chapter 205A, Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS), entitled "Coastal Zone Management," as described below:

- **Recreational resources:** Provide coastal recreational opportunities accessible to the public.
- **Historic resources:** Protect, preserve, and, where desirable, restore those natural and manmade historic and prehistoric resources in the coastal zone management area that are significant in Hawaiian and American history and culture.
- **Scenic and open space resources:** Protect, preserve, and, where desirable, restore or improve the quality of coastal scenic and open space resources.
- **Coastal ecosystems:** Protect valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, from disruption and minimize adverse impacts on all coastal ecosystems.
- **Economic uses:** Provide public or private facilities and improvements important to the State's economy in suitable locations.
- **Coastal hazards:** Reduce hazard to life and property from tsunami, storm waves, stream flooding, erosion, subsidence, and pollution.
- **Managing development:** Improve the development review process, communication, and public participation in the management of coastal resources and hazards.
- **Public participation:** Stimulate public awareness, education, and participation in coastal management.
- **Beach protection:** Protect beaches for public use and recreation.
- **Marine resources:** Promote the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources to assure their sustainability.

## CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have read this completed application and that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this application and all attachments and exhibits is complete and correct. I understand that the failure to provide any requested information or misstatements submitted in support of the application shall be grounds for either refusing to accept this application, for denying the permit, or for suspending or revoking a permit issued based on such misrepresentations, or for seeking of such further relief as may seem proper to the Land Board.

I hereby authorize representatives of the Department of Land and Natural Resources to conduct site inspections on my property. Unless arranged otherwise, these site inspections shall take place between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

---

*Signature of authorized agent(s) or if no agent, signature of applicant*

### AUTHORIZATION OF AGENT

I hereby authorize Robert Walker to act as my representative and to bind me in all matters concerning this application.

---

---

*Signature of applicant(s)*

