APPROVED MINUTES
O‘AHU ISLAND BURIAL COUNCIL MEETING

DATE: Wednesday, December 9th, 2015
TIME: 10:15 AM
PLACE: Department of Land and Natural Resources
        Board Room
        Kalanimoku Building, 1st Floor
        1151 Punchbowl Street
        Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

ATTENDANCE:
Members: Hinaleimoana Wong-Kalu
        Dana Holck
        Thomas Shirai
        Kali Fermantez
        Charles Ehrhorn

Staff: Regina Hilo, Oahu Burial Sites Specialist
        Susan Lebo, Interim Archaeology Branch Chief

Guests: Harold Mau, City and County of Honolulu, DDC
        Aulii Mitchell, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
        Matt McDermott, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
        Kala Kaleikini, Oiwi Cultural Resources
        Kaanohi Kaleikini, Oiwi Cultural Resources
        Calvin Mann, Howard Hughes
        Mishalla Spearing, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
        Cory Simon, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
        Kurt Tsue, Hawaiian Electric
        Mike K. Lee, Cultural Descendant
        Hal Hammatt, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
        Chris Monahan, TCP Hawaii
        Jayson Shibata, Hawaiian Electric
        Alani Api, Hawaiian electric consultant
        David Shideler, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
        Kawika Farm, Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation
        Xianping Li, City and County of Honolulu
        Lani Maa Lapilio, Aukahi

I. CALL TO ORDER
   Hinaleimoana Wong-Kalu, OIBC Chair, called the meeting to order at 10h15 (in Hawaiian)

II. ROLL CALL/PULE
OIBC members introduced themselves: Hina, Dana, Tom, Kali, Chuck
Hina opened with oli

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Minutes from 3/11/2015
B. Minutes from 6/10/2015
C. Minutes from 09/09/2015

Hina: (in Hawaiian) postpone approval of minutes to the end of the meeting
[Approval of the above minutes were deferred to the January 9th, 2016 meeting]

IV. BUSINESS


Discussion/Determination: Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

Hina: This reading will serve as the official reading for all applicants coming further today
  • Applies to everyone proceeding forward
The council may elect to go into executive session pursuant to HAR §13-300-25 (d). The council may close a meeting whenever location or description of a Native Hawaiian burial site is under consideration. The chairperson, by concurrence of a majority of members present at the meeting, shall be authorized to require the public to leave the meeting while the confidential matter is being discussed and reopen the meeting once the confidential matter is no longer being considered.

Hina: Anyone wanting to exercise their right to confidentiality should do so upon being called forward.
(Hina reads SHPD correspondence onto record, DOC NO: 2015.TBA, LOG NO: 1512RKH02)
Hina: Is there a representative of the family that would like to approach the council at this time?
Kaanohi:
• Recognized for other areas for Howard Hughes corporation, Victoria Ward Limited projects
• In accordance to state laws to be recognized to TMK areas
  o Doesn’t make any sense at all
• Recognize my family, especially the moopuna
  o Learning the kuleana
  o Visits to the preserve sites
  o Understand the kuleana that the ‘ohana has
  o Carry the kuleana into the future
   ▪ After Howard Hughes and Ward Villages is gone in another 20 years
   ▪ Moopuna will be around to ensure that nothing goes on after that
   ▪ They have knowledge of the burial preserves and practices

Hina: (in Hawaiian) questions for Kaanohi?
Kali: Same family members as those on Item B?
Kaanohi: Yes.
  o Son, Kala Waahila Kaleikini, is attending the meeting with her
    o Cultural monitor at Waiea Project
    o Took off today to attend the meeting

Hina: Any thoughts?
Kala: ‘A‘ole.
Hina: Council members? (in Hawaiian) Commentary?
(no response)
Hina: I do. I would like to know, at this current point in time, Kaanohi, you have been very active in not only being recognized but participating in the process that comes with recognition. If you would speak, to afford, the opportunities to engage these projects; what is the most beneficial thing for you?
   Learning process for the council, and for everyone sitting here
   From the Hawaiian perspective, palapala is just ‘opala sometimes
   o What really matters is the spoken word
   o Providing palapala to go through exercises
   o Even the idea of a government body recognizing you, when you have already recognized for yourself a commitment to your kupuna and are making it known
Kaanohi: It has allowed me to be at consultation tables with developers and government divisions as well. As a recognized descendent to the iwi, ‘ili, ahupua’a allows me to be there, for them to consult with, before their project has started. Which is where the discussion should take place, not after, not when they encounter our iwi kupuna, but before the project starts. Before the discussions begin [about] what they are doing. This has afforded me now, and other descendants that are learning the process. We need to be at the discussion table before the project is up, before they encounter as much as our iwi kupuna as possible. So coming forward and being recognized as descendants has gotten us to that point. There are a lot of projects going on, a lot of iwi being encountered, every week, every month, this puts us in the position where we can malama our iwi kupuna.
   This kuleana is passed on to ‘ohana, extended ‘ohana, and other descendants.
Hina: learned a great deal about tenacity from you and your commitment; it’s not only you, there are others that have come forward to seek the recognition. Sometimes you agree with them, sometimes they agree with you, and sometimes, neither. That is good, because we understand in our culture when you ho‘opa‘apa‘a, you battle and duke it out, bring it on. Because that’s how it is. So I thank you, and all the others……Mike, thank you to you as well, for also stepping forward. Without this kind of engage, our culture isn’t healthy. Putting yourself out in this manner is letting our community know that our culture is still alive. And our iwi live through us.
   I’m making a point so that we can take advantage of these learning opportunities
   o Time as chair is limited
   o If reappointed, great; if not, then hopefully this will contribute to institutional knowledge for those of you who are present in the room
[In Hawaiian] Thoughts?
[no response]
Hina: Chair will entertain a motion to offer recognition to Kaanohi and her ‘ohana.

Motion: To accept the department’s recommendation dated December 3rd, 2015, to recognize Ka’anohi Kaleikini and ‘ohana as cultural descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at the above project parcel
Motioned by: Vice-chair Ehrhorn
2nd: Kali
Vote: all in favor

Kali: Having said what you said about the palapala, items A thru I are all recognitions; we know the individuals, and do we need to read through each one? We haven’t met for a while and the agenda is full today.
Hina: To expedite, I will read through the names, because mana ka leo. When you kahea with your voice, you are invoking the mana of the names for that project; questioning we can expedite judiciously on.
Tom: I agree with Kali; some of us cannot stay, I have to be at work at 6:30 this evening. Priority in my head is to get the action items done. Time is of the essence.
Hina: Mahalo.
B. **Department’s Recommendation to Recognize Paulette Kaanohi Kaleikini and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains Encountered at the Honolulu Board of Water Supply project, City and County of Honolulu, Waianae Ahupua’a, Waianae District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 8-5-002:016**

**Discussion/Determination:** Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

(Hina read the above item on the public record)
(Hina reads the ‘ohana names onto public record, and the correspondence; DOC NO: 2015.03323; LOG NO: 1512RKH02)

*Hina:* Department recommends recognition. Chair will entertain a motion.

*Alani:* Hina, may I?

*Hina:* Yes.

*Alani:* This does not change anything at all, I just wanted to make a correction on how the project has been communicated.

- Kamaile Wells
- AIS – did not encounter a burial: no physical confirmation of a burial
- Project will not engage in any ground disturbance in areas that have not been disturbed already

*Hina:* Chair will entertain a motion.

**Motion:** To accept the SHPD’s recommendation to recognize Kaanohi Kaleikini and ‘ohana as cultural descendants to the above unidentified human skeletal remains at the above project area.

Motioned by: Vice-chair Ehrhorn
2nd: Dana Holck
Vote: all in favor

C. **Department’s Recommendation to Recognize Michael Kumukauoha Lee as Cultural Descendant to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains Encountered at Howard Hughes Corporation Block I Project, Kaka‘ako, Honolulu Ahupua‘a, Honolulu (Kona) District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 2-3-002:001 (por.)**

**Discussion/Determination:** Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual as Cultural Descendant to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

*RKH:* Michael Lee is already recognized to Block I. Please kapai.

(Correspondence is DOC NO: 2015.TBA; LOG NO: 1512RKH03)


**Discussion/Determination:** Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual as Cultural Descendant to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

(Hina read SHPD recommendation onto public record, DOC NO: 2015.TBA; LOG NO: 1512RKH04)

*Hina:* Mana‘o?

*Mike Lee:* Mahalo.

- Kanikapuwai – sacred space of iwi kupuna has been disturbed
- Our time to take care of them
  - Our task, a living heiau, besieged by construction
  - Kapu
Hui na iwi ola
- We’ve become living pohaku for progress to go around us
- Hawaiian cultural values
  - Compared with Roman catacombs
    - Water poured over bones became holy water, our concept of unihi pili
  - Look forward to advocating for our iwi

Hina: reconciliation with Western ways
- Engage, create that process, ho'oponopono

Hina: [in Hawaiian] Chair will entertain a motion:

Motion: To accept the SHPD’s recommendation to recognize Mike Lee as cultural descendant to the above unidentified human skeletal remains at the above project parcel.
Motioned by: Vice-Chair Ehrhorn
2nd: Tom Shirai
Vote: all in favor


Discussion/Determination: Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

(Hina read correspondence onto public record, DOC NO: 2015.03322; LOG NO: 1512RKH05)

Hina: SHPD recommends recognition. [in Hawaiian, asks if there is a representative in the audience for the family; Kaanohi Kaleikini steps forward]
Kaanohi: Representing the ‘ohana, who are already recognized.
Chuck: This ‘ohana is well-known here.
Mike Lee: Stands in support.

Motion: To accept the SHPD’s recommendation to recognize the above named individual and her ‘ohana as cultural descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at the above project parcel.
Motioned by: Vice-chair Ehrhorn
2nd: Kali Fermantez
Vote: all in favor

Department’s Recommendation to Recognize Brandy Kamohalii Caceres and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains Encountered at Howard Hugues Corporation Block B West Project, ‘Ili of Kaʻākaukukui, Kaka‘ako Ahupua‘a, Kona District, O‘ahu TMK: [1] 2-3-001:005 (por.)

Discussion/Determination: Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

(Hina read correspondence onto public record, DOC NO: 2015.TBA; LOG NO: 1512RKH06)

Motion: to accept the SHPD’s recommendation to recognize the above named individual and her ‘ohana as cultural descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project
Motioned by: Kali Fermantez
2nd: Dana Holck
Vote: all in favor

Discussion/Determination: Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual and ‘ohana as Cultural Descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

(Hina summarized correspondence and read onto public record, DOC NO: 2015.03321; LOG NO: 1512RKH07; Hina reads names onto public record)

Motion: to accept the SHPD’s recommendation to recognize the above named individual and her ‘ohana as cultural descendants to unidentified human skeletal remains at the above project
Motioned by: Kali Fermantez
2nd: Vice-chair Ehrhorn
Vote: all in favor


Discussion/Determination: Discussion and Determination to recognize the above named individual as Cultural Descendant to unidentified human skeletal remains at above project.

(Hina summarized correspondence and read onto public record, DOC NO: 2015.TBA; LOG NO: 1512RKH08)

Motion: to accept the SHPD’s recommendation to recognize the above named individual as cultural descendant to unidentified human skeletal remains at the above project
Motioned by: Kali Fermantez
2nd: Tom Shirai
Vote: all in favor


Discussion/Determination: Discussion and determination whether to preserve in place or relocate human skeletal remains at the above location.

Project presented by Matt McDermott, Cultural Surveys Hawaii; Calvin Mann, Howard Hughes; and Todd Apo, Howard Hughes

Matt introduces himself and Calvin.
Hina: Please introduce yourself.
Todd: Todd Apo, I’ve been with Howard Hughes about a month.
Matt: We’ve presented here numerous times. (PowerPoint presentation)

Presentation expedited
• slides previously presented are revisited to assist members in making a determination
• AIS complete

Hina: Chair acknowledges there was previously a conflict of interest, but chair is no longer consulting with Howard Hughes, so there is no conflict.
Matt: data recovery, burial treatment for areas with circles around red triangles
  - Concentration of flex, small fragments in disturbed context
Kali: Coincidence that Halekauila Street realignment is close to but does not impact burial cluster
Matt: Combination of preservation-in-place and relocation
  - SHPD supportive of moving forward with the BTP
  - BTP can and will be modified as more specifics are developed
  - Use of sonotube
  - Surface treatment to be decided
Chuck: What’s going to happen between HART and Halekauila realignment? Seems that it would be beneficial to bring the cluster within the project itself. The remaining property is pretty narrow, and I don’t see the potential for developing it. By moving the road up, you might get some efficiencies from your land. But I’ll leave that to your designers.
Kali: That’s what I was talking about earlier, modern construction is balanced with respect to iwi kupuna.
Calvin: Looking at the twists in the road, it’ll be difficult to go further mauka.
Chuck: Would be nice to not have the remains under the driveway.
Matt: BTP written to have options and consult first with the descendants
Tom: lessons learned – WalMart.
Hina: [in Hawaiian] Questions?
Matt: That’s it; we ask for your manaʻo.
Kaanohi: Halekauila extension is close to the burial cluster; design can be taken closer to HART; who is doing the roadway?
Calvin: Howard Hughes.
Todd: Need to discuss with the city.
Hina: Can think about it.
Kaanohi: We did discuss this at the descendants meeting; rather the road be closer to the rail than the cluster
Kali: modern construction is balanced with culture
Todd: Looking at distance; will look at and talk with the City
Hina: leadership of this council will stand in support
  - Descendants concur with sentiments of the council
  - moving forward with BTP
  - give deference to descendants’ mitigation concerns
Chuck: I got one more roadway question. Is the alignment (on the PowerPoint handout) up here a private road or a public road?
Calvin: Private road.
Kaanohi: Halekauila extension continues that way……
Calvin: It connects up to Kamakee.
Kaanohi: Can you get rid of the private road?
Todd: I hear the question, and will check with the city.
Chuck: I asked the question and I’m glad you picked up on it. That being a private road though could make the alignment at Halekauila further mauka of the cluster; maybe if yo
Calvin: As it connects about midway up on the right side, where Halekauila currently meets with Ward will be tricky.
Todd: Because those are existing roads that we’ll need to connect with.
Chuck: Well, just take a good look at it. Thanks.
Hina: We’ve seen the preservation methods used before, sonotubes with caps. Are there any thoughts about what was presented? (directed at the council members) Preservation measures and mitigation measures. Questions?
[no response] Anything else?
[no comment]
Hina: Buffer zones are primarily determined by……
Matt: By design. Addendum to BTP as necessary and presentation at the OIBC for any change in the current BTP.

Hina: Any dissent from council? Community? Descendants?

Mike Lee: There’s just one caveat. If it’s by statute, that the Halekauila extension cannot be moved on top, is it possible to move the bundles in a more safe and secure place away from the road? Plan B alternative?

Matt: That would be a definite departure from the plan here, this BTP. It can be done, but we don’t really have the design specifics to say at this point. What we’re looking for is approval of what we think we can do, with the understanding that once details become available, we can make the decision about how it will be implemented. But the overall decision is that we like the idea of the outliers being placed here, and having preservation in place for the others. Relocation for the outliers. That’s what we’re looking for.

Kali: That was option 3, right?

Matt: Yes.

Mike Lee: We can revisit it as details become available, right?

Hina: Mike, we leave that for your discussion tables with them. Given the actions of this council, we will first and foremost advocate for preservation in place, thus is has been indicated to us that we have that. And it’s in the presentation we’ve seen.

Kali: Are we entertaining a motion?

Hina: Not yet.

Kaanohi: Take a vote on the motion, but wait until you get a response back from Victoria Ward Limited about what was suggested moving the Halekauila extension farther mauka.

Hina: For the record, Kaanohi Kaleikini is requesting that this council not vote on the BTP today until such time that the level of commitment can be ascertained as to what can be done towards this.

Mike Lee: I would second that.

Kali: You were the only listed descendants.

Matt: That’s correct.

Kali: That’s two of the four. And the Caceres ‘ohana.

Matt: Right.

Kali: Well, that would make sense then.

Kaanohi: Another reason to wait until they can further discuss this is because the burial cluster has in situ burials and I would not agree to move those. I would prefer not to move it. If anything needs to be moved, it should be the road.

Chuck: How close is the in situ burial to the alignment? I see 5 triangles, where is the in situ burial in those triangles and how far is it from the alignment?

Matt: 10 to 12, 12 to 15 feet.

Chuck: Can you get that specific for us? Give us info about where it is, give us information about the roadway design. Moving the alignment mauka would give more buffer to the burial. Give us some info about how close it is to the roadway. I hate to stall your project, but it’s already been delayed by lack of quorum, and as I understand it, you’re already into design on the building. So you have some time.

Dana: How long would it take to design that alignment?

Matt: I’m not sure what the schedule would be for that.

Kali: And that intersection doesn’t currently exist, is that right?

Matt: That’s correct.

Kali: It’s all still open; and the trenching around that area was pretty extensive, right?

Matt: That’s correct.

Calvin: The private driveway which connects north that extends off of Queen Street, that extension or that part of the driveway is part of Block M development. And the alignment and location is set far back in the project; it is currently going through the permit approvals. So that is one of the constraints as well.

Hina: Therefore what you’re saying is that because of the nature of how the projects are taking place as we are reading through, especially as evidenced in our recognitions like Block I, Block N, these blocks are being handled clearly and distinctly. It will be up to your team, in its
extensive and inclusive branching to make sure it’s all together. But project wise, you’re saying that this project here before us, that the road will go through there, as required of you, however the alignment you’ll need to check on. However, the other things that we are seeing because they are all connected together though part of a different project, will be some of the issues that you are encountering, correct?

Calvin: Correct.

Hina: Ok. What this council has seen today and what we have heard from our descendants; we have seen a road alignment that is not the most ideal and therefore, the descendants are requesting of this council to defer approval of the BTP. However, this council is, if I’m correct, is also supportive of preservation in place as indicated by the presentation. There are two options that are quite viable and have been used, and aside from the alignment of the road, which is key, because it will inform everyone that the iwi kupuna are properly protected. Did I sum that up pretty good? We trust that this will not unduly impact the proceedings of the project, and also trust that you’ll take back to the team to organize another meeting ASAP with descendants to discuss their concerns. On behalf of your project, do you have anything else you’d like to say?

Todd: With the thought of trying to keep the project moving along, and knowing your concerns and the descendants’ concerns, would the board be willing to approve our plan with our commitment to consult with the descendants?

- Need to plan with all the other entities
- Will come before the council if changes occur

Kaanohi: Will that help move it along with the City?

Todd: Yes.

Matt: The BTP addresses the broader conditions of preservation in place. SHPD still needs to approve the BSC, as do the cultural descendants regarding overall treatment.

Chuck: -7429 and -7655 be approved to preserve in place.

Susan: BTP on behalf of Cultural Surveys Hawaii and Howard Hughes, all iwi in yellow area to be preserved in place, and all iwi in blue will be relocated to the yellow area.

Hina: regardless of the alignment of the road.

Mike Lee: in support

Kaanohi: in support

Hina: Chair will entertain a motion

Motion: to approve the BTP for Block I Kakaako where Figure 6 of the BTP shows that the areas of yellow remain preserved in place, and the areas of blue are relocated to the yellow.

Susan: with the addition that final details will be in the BSCDRP or BSCPP

Motioned by: Vice-chair Ehrhorn

2nd: Kali

Vote: all in favor

[Item M taken next]
J. Burial Treatment Plan for Human Skeletal Remains Designated SIHP # 50-80-09-7753 Features 1, 2, and 3 and SIHP # 50-80-09-7752 Feature 9 Encountered in the Reconstructed Wastewater Systems and Bathhouse Replacement Project Archaeological Inventory Survey and Data Recovery at Kualoa Regional Park, Kualoa Ahupua‘a, Ko‘olaupoko District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 4-9-004:001 por.

Discussion/Determination: Discussion and determination whether to preserve in place or relocate human skeletal remains at the above location.

Updates provided by David Shideler, Cultural Surveys Hawaii; Lani Maa Lapilio, Aukahi

David Shideler: Aloha mai kakou, David Shideler with Cultural Surveys Hawaii.

- Addressing 4 bones, all discovered in previously disturbed context
  - Construction of runway in 1930s
- BTP requesting relocation
  - All are small bone fragments, iwi are curated in trenches where they were found
- Consultation
  - Reached out to 16 parties, burial notification published twice

Hina: How many opportunities to engage?
Lani: 6 meetings; Cal Hoe couldn’t be here, he usually leads the reinterments.
Mike Lee: mandible determination? Rocker?
David: most likely Native Hawaiian

Hina: any engage with the cultural liaison at Kualoa Ranch?

- C&C jurisdiction?
- May have some challenges with upkeep
- Would be good to involve Kualoa Ranch since they are always on site

Lani: Can you get me the Kualoa Ranch person’s email?
Hina: yes.

Chuck: Where will the iwi be placed?
David: on site burial platform at the Park.

Hina: Burial platform appearance is not acceptable.

Chuck: Did the individuals you contacted understand that the iwi were being reinterred here [at the burial platform]?
David: Ahi Logan was one of the people.
Chuck: No he wasn’t. Twenty-five years ago, 6 people came forward to steward this.
Kali: This falls to the next generation.

- Talking with Ahi’s youngest son, Nainoa.
- Kuleana and who’s kuleana it is, not expecting kupuna to take care of it.

Chuck: These 6 are within short walking distance of the site.
Kaanohi: Who has jurisdiction? If it’s the City, the City should be taking care of it.
Kali: It’s also about kuleana.
Chuck: Twenty-five years ago……
Kaanohi: Are the iwi in the ground or curated?
David: The iwi are currently in the ground.

Kaanohi: Preservation in place.
Hina: If site is not maintained, then why move them? What is the potential impact to them with the current project?
David: All trenching for wastewater upgrades have been completed. Pattern has been to relocate the iwi to burial memorial.
Lani: Yes, as is the sentiment of all consulted individuals.
Susan: What is the location proximity of iwi to the wastewater pipe?
David: It’s within 3 meters.
Hina: Within 3 meters of wastewater treatment?
Kaanohi: Can put sheet metal separating wastewater and iwi.
Chuck: But it’s a pressurized pipe.
Xianping: Force main is pressurized, yes.
Chuck: What are you asking of the OIBC?
David: Relocation and acceptance of the BTP, or not.
Kaanohi: Recognized to this ahupua'a but not the project.
Tom: Who built that?
Hina: The burial platform?
David: To the best of my knowledge, the City in consultation with descendants.
Kali: The logic of how we do things based on past practices.
   • Let the next generation take care of the burial platform
   • Relocate to the burial vault to start the conversation
Kaanohi: I can come forward and be recognized. Maybe the rest of the consultants are not aware of the site’s condition?
Xianping: in with the DDC.
Dana: Who takes care of it?
Xianping: It’s the Parks.
Alani: Consider asking the C&C what their interpretation of taking care of the site is. Perhaps someone else wanted stewardship.
Hina: If we were to walk cautiously and defer, too much time may pass. Council members, thoughts?
Kali: move forward, use approval to ask questions of stewardship
Chuck: Two options: (1) leave it in place because the existing burial platform is insufficient; or (2) approve the BTP and keep the C&C responsible for upkeep
Hina: What would be a logical step?
Dana: I would disagree with Kali; we don’t want to move forward because there is no responsibility. Maybe some of the responsible community members will come forward.
Chuck: Deferring would be great.
Hina: Chair will entertain a motion.

Motion: to defer decision to approve BTP until we have someone who will take care of the burial platform.
Motioned by: Dana Holck
2nd: Kali Fermantez

Chuck: 25 years ago, I went out there with the OIBC. It makes me emotional to see the platform in this condition.

Vote: all in favor

Item deferred to future meeting(s)

[Related inadvertent, V.C taken next]

[Loss of quorum at 12:45 PM with the departure of council member Tom Shirai]

K. Ainahau Vista II Project, Waikīkī Ahupuaʻa, Honolulu (Kona) District, Oʻahu, TMKs: [1] 2-6-024: 070, 071, and 089
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

Updates provided by Matt McDermott, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
Matt: Burial Treatment Plan, Data Recovery, post-Archaeological Inventory Survey; SHPD reviewing Archaeological Monitoring Plan and Preservation Plan; Burial Site Component of Data Recovery Plan is being prepared

L. Hauʻula Community Park Wastewater System Reconstruction Project, Hauʻula Ahupuaʻa, Koʻoʻalauloa District, Oʻahu, TMK: (1) 5-4-009: 007 por.
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.
Updates provided by Matt McDermott, Cultural Surveys Hawaii

Matt: This has been presented at the OIBC since 2007.
  • Project was bathhouse upgrades to leachfield system
  • Relocation of burials to Hau‘ula Community Cemetery

Kali: I was part of the reinterment, this project was passed on to me by Uncle Cy Bridges; families who would have been involved didn’t come forward

Hina: Mahalo for the updates.

M. Howard Hughes Corporation Block N East Project, Kaka‘ako, Honolulu (Kona) District, O‘ahu, TMKs: [1] 2-3-002:001 (por.), 067, 086, and 087
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

Updates provided by Matt McDermott, Cultural Surveys Hawaii (PowerPoint)
Matt: AISP accepted by SHPD.
  • AIS fieldwork completed between May 2014 and October 2015, OCR on-site cultural monitoring
  • identified iwi kupuna and historic properties
  • moving forward with generating the AIS for submittal to SHPD
  • will need BTP and AMP

Matt: Any questions?
Hina: Questions?
Chuck: What is being done on this block?
Matt: That’s in flux.
Chuck: Would like to see alignment of Rail and the Halekauila realignment at future meetings.
Hina: [in Hawaiian] Questions?
[no comments]
Hina: I look forward to updates, and continued engagement with descendants.

[Item J taken next]

Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

Updates provided by Kawika Farm, HART; Matt McDermott, Cultural Surveys Hawaii
Kawika: all reinterments completed (handouts distributed)
Matt: Royal Construction did a great job.

O. Request for Consultation regarding the Waialae Country Club Project, Kāhala, Waikīkī Ahupua‘a, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 3-5-023:003 and 038
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

[Removed from agenda]

P. Request for Consultation regarding an Archaeological Inventory Survey of an Approximately 1.6-acre Parcel at 920 Prospect St. (lower slopes of Pūowaina or Punchbowl), Kona (Honolulu) District, Makiki Ahupua‘a, TMK (1) 2-2-004: 009, 034, 040-042, 044, 045 & 074-078
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

Updates provided by Chris Monahan, TCP Hawaii; and another individual (unnamed)
Chris: came to OIBC to get in touch with descendants and interested parties
• Low to no potential for encountering iwi
  o Angled cut into basalt face
  o No sand fill onsite, onsite fill is clay
• Good idea to inform public because there are parties that would like to be apprised of projects in this area

_Hina_: Mahalo.
• Great appreciation for this desire to consult
• Commendable that you do come and make this effort, that way the public can engage

_______: 12 separate TMKs
• Oldest house built in 1920’s; newer ones built in ‘50’s/60’s
• Commissioned historic architecture study
• Chris did archaeo survey and will be preparing the report
• Proposed condominium
• Punchbowl special district permit
  o Consultation
  o Archaeological survey
• Duplex house in the back will remain, therefore one of the 12 structures will not be demo’d; the other 11 houses will be demo’d for the condo
• Condo is 40 ft, stepped to match surrounding topography
• Building is not designed as luxury
  o Will be at market rates
  o $500k to $750k
• Not all people in the neighborhood are supportive of this
  o 3 appearances at Neighborhood Board meeting

_Susan_: SHPD did review this project and concurred with the architectural work
• Project has a long history, started in 2007
• SHPD have community input and consultation with Punchbowl
• When this project came to SHPD, SHPD didn’t feel that there was adequate consultation, so TCP got involved
• SHPD requested consultation with community
• SHPD happy that TCP is working on it

_Hina_: Looking forward to updates

_______: Did receive a challenge on this project, and will go to zoning board of appeals

_Kaanohi_: I was in contact with Chris Monahan already.

Q. Thomas Lee Estate at 61-29 Kamehameha Highway, Waimea Ahupua’a, Ko’olau District, O’ahu, TMK: [1] 6-1-002:005
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

[No individuals present to provide updates and/or information about the project and parcel, RKH to contact and ask if they are available to present at the January 13, 2016 OIBC]

R. Ala Wai 46 kV Underground Cables Project, Waikīkī Ahupua’a, Honolulu (Kona) District, O’ahu, TMKs [1] 2-7-013 and 014, 2-9-017, and 3-6-000 multiple C&C ROWs
Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

Updates presented by Alani Apio, HECO community liaison; Jason Shibata, HECO; Hal Hammatt, Cultural Surveys Hawaii

_Alanii_: cultural descendants had directives
• 10th mauka area had more historical information than first thought
• Soil boring done for initial line cross
• Met with Susan at SHPD
  o Suggested shovel test pits alongside the Ala Wai
  o Descendants agreed on revised AIS strategy
Jason: Quick run-through of project (PowerPoint)

Hal: AISP
- 5 backhoe trenches, 5 shovel test pits
- Location of boring units for original line
- Fairly extensive research of previous land use
- Testing is to verify soil type
- Possibility to encounter iwi is fairly low
- 2nd meeting with descendants, suggestion for cultural monitoring
  - Approved by HECO

Hina: Looking forward to updates.


Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

Hina: Anyone here to provide an update?
David: Yes, I have an update.

Hina: (in Hawaiian, directed to David) what’s the latest on this project?
David: David Shideler, Cultural Surveys Hawaii, speaking to Item S, Kawaiahaʻo Church Multi-Purpose Building Renovation Project

Mr. William Haole sends his apologies, he wanted to attend today but an issue arose, a medical emergency, and he was not able to be here today.
I’d like to read a brief letter to the council.
  - Subject of the letter is Agenda Item S, Kawaiahaʻo Church Multi-Purpose Building
  - Reads the correspondence from Kawaiahaʻo Church onto the public record

David: Letter is address to Chair Hina, with an attachment. Aloha.
I’m sorry that you can’t ask questions, so……...
Hina: So whatever you chose to divulge.

(laughter from the audience and council members)

Hina: (in Hawaiian)
David: (in Hawaiian)
(laughter from the audience and council members)
David: I have every confidence we’ll be back before you when you have quorum.
Hina: I certainly look forward to this.
David: Mahalo.
Hina: Mahalo.

I’m not asking a question. I’m saying, just in case they forgot, any small or big meeting, let me know, so I can plan. I’m not employed right now, so any small or big meeting, I’ll do my best to be there, with bells if needed.
Kaanohi: With a pu.
Hina: With a pu if needed. Yeah, I have one of those.
David: I will relay [that].
Hina: Yes, it’s important. Kaanohi?
Kaanohi: (directed to David) Do you have a copy of the approved AISP?
David: It’s public information, I can certainly request of the church to get you a copy.
Kaanohi: Great.
Hina: Make it ‘elua [two].
David: ‘Elua nō [two indeed].
Hina: I hope that we will have some sort of definitive pointer arrows in regard to the project while I’m still on this council.
Kaanohi: Hina, so now that we have the approved AISP, they’re now working on the AIS? That should move us closer.

Hina: Yes. Well, let’s see what they come up with.

And thus, as was requested, just keep me posted.

- Saddest part is even the Hauula [project], the longer standing [ones] that are back and forth, they’re done now
- But this is not yet done

David: Pololei.

T. Section 106 Consultation, National Memorial Cemetery of the Pacific at Puowaina (Punchbowl): Expansion and Improvement Project, Pauoa and Makiki Ahupua‘a, Honolulu District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 2-2-005:001 (por.), 002 (por.) and 005 (por.)

Information/Discussion: Update on the above project.

VA will be present to provide updates at the January 13, 2016 OIBC meeting

V. INADVERTENTS

A. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at International Market Place Redevelopment Project, Waikīkī Ahupua‘a, Honolulu (Kona) District, O‘ahu, TMKs: [1] 2-6-022:010 (por.), 036-039, and 043

Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.

David: iwi finds on October 1st and December 1st.

- Disarticulated remains in a previously disturbed context
- Dec. 1st case was a po‘o and mandible located 15 ft Leahi side of the burial preserve
- Mahalo to OCR and local descendants and to SHPD for expediting the process
- Still significant ground disturbance to be done, but the vast majority is completed already

B. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Kailua Central Pacific Bank Project, Kailua Ahupua‘a, Koʻolaupoko District, Oahu, TMK: [1] 4-3-057:073

Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.

Regina: finds dated to 9/30/15 and 10/08/15

- 9/30/15
  - Single isolated find in situ in disturbed context
  - Very shallow
  - Temporarily curated on site; project proponent is committed to onsite permanent location in the established burial preserve from the AIS
- 10/08/15
  - Very small iwi fragment within close proximity to 9/30/15 find
  - Temporarily curated on site; project proponent is committed to onsite permanent location in the established burial preserve from the AIS

Alani: Descendants were aware of the find, we consulted with them to get their mana‘o. Their request was for temporary on-site curation, requested that of SHPD, for anticipated reburial on site. Currently looking at April given the construction plan to reinter. Descendants have been working on this all along.

SHPD has agreed to the descendants’ wishes to curate on site, and rebury on site.

C. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Kualoa Regional Park, Kualoa Ahupua‘a, Koʻolaupoko District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 4-9-004:001

Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.
Information provided by David Shideler, Cultural Surveys Hawaii

David: Remains found on October 23, 2015 during excavations for wastewater system upgrades at Kualoa Regional Park

Hina: Questions?

Chuck: These two inadvertents would be recommended to be in burial mound?

David: Yes.

Kaanohi: Where are they now?

Lani and David: At the curation facility.

Hina: Council member Tom Shirai needs to depart shortly, therefore consider potential approval of the minutes.

[move to section III, Items A, B, and C]

D. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at the Hope Lodge Hawaii Construction Project, Honolulu Distict, Honolulu Ahupua’a, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 2-1-018:009 and 010 (por.)

Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.

Regina: Find occurred on the 4th of November. Hinano and I were across the street at a Hawaii Board of Geographic Names meeting. Got a call 15 minutes after walking past the project area. Prone burial, upper half of the individual had been taken out by an excavator. Requested screening of the backdirt pile and recovery of as many skeletal elements as possible. Recovered skeletal elements were friable and extremely fragile. All recovered elements and the portion of the individual still intact in the sidewall were preserved in place. Work was completed on Friday of last week. (December 4, 2015)

E. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at the Kalakaua Gardens project, SIHP #50-80-14-7773, Waikīkī Ahupua’a, Honolulu (Kona) District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 2-3-022

Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.

Regina: Find on 2/18/15
• Encountered during utility excavations
• Found is disturbed context in a large utility trench within the Kapiolani Blvd and Kalauokalani Way intersection
• Temporary curation within secured area of the onsite construction office adjacent to project site
• Project proponent is committed to relocate the iwi to an onsite preservation area which has preliminary landscaping plans
• Meeting will be scheduled early next year with descendants who come forward after the OIBC meeting

F. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Kamehameha Schools Punalu‘u Beach Lot 29 Project, 53-065 Kamehameha Highway, Punalu‘u Ahupua‘a, Ko‘olauloa District, O‘ahu, TMK: [1] 5-3-001:029

Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.

Regina: Finds on 11/12/15, 11/17/15, and 11/18/15
• Cultural Surveys Hawaii is working with SHPD and KS
• Currently working on hand excavation of the leach field and septic tank areas
• In November, three burial finds with an MNI of 4 were encountered in the leach field
  o Burial Find #3 and Burial Find #4 are in situ and articulated
  o Burial Find #5a and #5b are very disturbed
• Burial #5a is infant with one skeletal element present
• Burial #5b is mainly disturbed with 1 articulated foot

• Project proponent is committed to onsite preservation area, relocating iwi out of the leach field and septic tank area.


Information/Discussion: Discussion about the above find.

Regina: Finds on 11/13/15, 11/16/15, and 11/18/15
• 11/13/15
  o Cultural Surveys Hawaii archaeological monitor identified two isolated bone fragments within a combined back dirt pile associated with removal of the cesspool and installation of the preloader tank, within the southwest portion of the project area
• 11/16/15
  o CSH identified two additional bone fragments as human
• 11/18/15
  o Fragments found during completion of the backdirt examinations
  o Fragmented iwi were found in sediments previously disturbed area of the original beach park activity

Archaeological monitoring is ongoing
Iwi are curated in a secured trailer onsite

VI. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Next meeting date is scheduled for Wednesday, January 13th, 2015 at 10 AM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:01 PM

Approved and ratified at the Oahu Island Burial Council’s meeting on April 13, 2016

Motion to approve the minutes from 12/09/2015
Motioned by: B. Amaral
2nd: K. Fermantez
VOTE: 5 AYES, none opposed

Respectfully submitted by Regina K. Hilo, Burial Sites Specialist, History and Culture Branch, on 4/14/2016