


DAVID Y. IGE  
GOVERNOR OF HAWAII



HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION  
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
601 Kamokila Boulevard, Suite 555  
Kapolei, HI 96806

SUZANNE D. CASE  
CHAIRPERSON  
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ROBERT K. MASUDA  
FIRST DEPUTY

M. KALEO MANUEL  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES  
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION  
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES  
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT  
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS  
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT  
ENGINEERING  
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE  
HISTORIC PRESERVATION  
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION  
LAND  
STATE PARKS

MAUI / LĀNA'I ISLANDS BURIAL COUNCIL  
DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

**MEETING DATE:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021

**TIME:** 9:00 AM

**LOCATION:** Online Via Zoom

**ZOOM LINK:** <https://hawaii.zoom.us/j/93430367384>

**Meeting ID:** 934 3036 7384

**Passcode:** 131767

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

[9:02] *Chair Maxwell calls the meeting to order*

**II. ROLL CALL Present:**

**Recording** [9:02-9:05 AM]

Chair Dane Maxwell, Lāhainā  
Vice-Chair Kaheleonolani Dukelow, Honuaʻula  
Member Johanna Kamaunu, Wailuku  
Member Iris Peʻelua, Landowner/Developer  
Member Kyle Nakanelua, Hāna  
Member Scott Fisher, Landowner/Developer  
Member Everett Dowling, Landowner/Developer

**SHPD Staff:**

[9:03]  
Andrew Kealana Phillips, Maui Island Burial Sites Specialist  
Chris Ikaika Nakahashi, Cultural Historian  
Andrew McAllister, Maui Archaeologist  
Iolani Kauhane, Maui Archaeologist  
Tamara Luthy, Ethnographer  
Sheleigh Kaʻahiki Solis, Cultural Historian

**PRESENTERS AND TESTIFIERS:**

Janet Six, Maui County Archaeologist

Sharron Paris  
Reynaldo Nico Fuentes  
Noelani Ahia  
Michael Dega  
Kaniloa Kamaunu  
Fay McFarlane

9:04 Dane Aloha to council. Housekeeping – please remain civil and concise and direct questions to your regional representative

### III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

*A. January 15, 2020 B. June 3, 2020 C. June 17, 2020 D. July 15, 2020 E. August 26, 2020 F. September 30, 2020 – Executive Session G. October 8, 2020 – Executive Session H. October 28, 2020 – Executive Session I. February 24, 2021 J. February 24, 2021 – Executive Session K. April 21, 2021 L. May 19, 2021 M. May 19, 2021 – Executive Session N. June 30, 2021 O. June 30, 2021 – Executive Session P. July 14, 2021 Q. July 14, 2021 – Executive Session R. July 28, 2021 S. July 28, 2021 – Executive Session*

*Summary: None of these minutes are ready. E kala mai. SHPD plans to work out formatting, spell check, etc. to be ready to go before council for review and acceptance. Will work on finalizing draft so can post updated minutes on the SHPD website; Make available to public, in the meantime. Next meeting.*

[9:05] Dane - any updates on minutes

[9:05] Kealana - nothing ready right now – will go through all minutes this month – will do formatting and editing language if needed. Will notify when posted to SHPD website. Some in draft form on website will put all on website

[9:07] Dane

[9:07] Johanna - minutes aren't approved and if things aren't right will be for public before can be corrected

[9:07] Dane - others do "draft" watermark on page

[9:07] Kealana – yes, it is correct. Need something for the public. Lots of boards put draft minutes available

[9:08] Johanna – still not satisfied with that. That is her opinion. Any chance just get most recent burial council minutes up?

[9:08] Kealana - Hiki no

[9:08] Dane - put in Google docs please even if unofficial would be helpful. Do we take testimony on this?

[9:09] Ikaika – defer because not ready. Don't need to motion deference

[9:09] Dane - defer approval minutes to next meeting

### IV. BUSINESS [9:09 AM]

**A. Descendancy Claim Application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the West Maui Construction Water Line Project, Ahupua'a of Puaaiki, Lāhainā District, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015: 001.**

*Summary: SHPD determination letter is to defer, pending more info. The reason for that is applicant provided (accurate) information that connected her and her kids to an ancestor who resided in the ahupua'a of Puaanui. The iwi in question is located in the adjacent ahupua'a of Puaaiki. Because of that, SHPD recommends defer. COUNCIL CAN MAKE THE CALL WHETHER TO RECOGNIZE OR NOT. Please note that SHPD (I) use Kipukadatabase.com to verify all descendancy claim apps as it pertains to ahupua'a. If I were using kipuka in this case, both applicant's ancestor as well as iwi would be located in Paunau. And would thus get a recommendation from SHPD. Descendancy app, supporting docs and SHPD letter in google folder.*

[9:09] Dane - opens this item

[9:09] Kealana – SHPD letter of recommendation to council – available in Google drive. Individuals have submitted app and genealogical info. Did provide sufficient genealogical evidence – ahupua'a adjacent to ahupua'a in question. Waterline project

[9:12] Kahele – opens public testimony

[9:13] Keeaumoku – because of desecration of iwi and this applicant's conflict and involvement with land company in question he recommends to the MLIBC for the record that this application be denied for direct conflict and involvement to the kupuna who has been desecrated by West Maui construction with mutual relations

[9:14] Noelani – also recommend not approved because when people financial engagement with people desecrating iwi conflict of interest creates 'eha and in this instance there is money involved and brings into question motivation for stepping forward. For power over what happens. Don't feel like this is responsible or pono.

[9:15] Kahele - any other testifiers? Close public testimony 9:16

[9:16] Dane - ask applicant if want to provide comment apologize for that

[9:16] Kahi Ceridwen - asks to go into executive session

[9:16] Dane – state reasons

[9:16] Kahi – for inadvertent correct? She wants to talk about adjacent to where inadvertent was found her mo'okuauhau and how she is not involved with inadvertent at all – she is involved

[9:17] Kahi - Her kupuna is on adjacent parcel to when inadvertent was found

**[9:18 AM] Scott makes a motion** to move to executive session

**Iris seconds [9:18], all in favor, none opposed, motion carries [9:18 AM]**

[9:18] Kahi - Wants her son Andrew McClellan and Doreen Matsuoka if she wants to, Peter Martin to tell not involved in this inadvertent

[9:19] Ikaika - builds breakout room

[9:19] Kahele – Dane maybe can clarify all reasons she stated and reason agreeing to go into executive session is mo'okuauhau part

[9:19] Dane - we have discretion to only review that in executive session

**[9:25] MLIBC leaves the breakout room and returns to main session**

[9:26] Kahi Part - she actually getting paid for is not inadvertent but burial sites and research – she did a little research for inadvertent but didn't get paid for it. Can check invoices. Reason why she put in descendancy app is her kupuna is in adjacent parcel to inadvertent and no one else stepped up to do cultural descendancy. Don't know who it is and from what little bit we know the kupuna is still

open. Kupuna needs to be taken care of and be re-interred or at least covered. Ian reinforced. Not getting paid for this cultural application. Just because she gets paid for research doesn't mean land owner pays for the descendancy application.

[9:30] Andrew McClellan – reason mom put him on application is when she is gone him and brothers will take care of kupuna and he has been helping out a lot and helped kanu and prepared burials for kanu and did work pulling out weeds to make it nicer.

[9:31] Kyle – what kind of preparatory work did you do to prepare iwi for burials

[9:31] Andrew – had to prepare the dirt and sift it manually and then have more of the fine dirt

[9:30] Kyle – So Andrew – so no wrapping of iwi or anything like that

[9:31] Andrew - didn't touch it at all just left it covered it and started preparing dirt sand rocks pebbles

[9:31] Kyle – covered it with dirt that you prepared

[9:32] Andrew – started with sand

[9:32] Kyle – he said he prepared iwi for kanu –

[9:32] Kahi - Site 6473 he is talking about and Dane was there

[9:32] Kyle - is he testifying about this one?

[9:33] Dane – we did hear reasons you wanted to be a cultural descendant. Want to go back to

[9:33] Kealana - to see if he has correct letter of recommendation

[9:33] Kealana – West Maui waterline project – cultural desc. Claim - SHPD recommends defer recognition pending more information. Make mention that SHPD has always used kipuka for ahupa'a info to verify applications and according to Kipuka both inadvertent and the desc. Would be in Paunau. In this case SHPD discussed based on applicant's submitted info which was more accurate in this case. Older maps in Lahaina tend to be more accurate whereas Kipuka encompasses a lot of area. SHPD Recommendation to defer recognition pending additional information

[9:35] Dane – recommendation is to?

[9:36] Kealana – defer pending more information

[9:36] Johanna - three questions – ethics, mo'okuauhau and third is cultural. Ethics – testifiers requesting that application be denied due to rel. To developer, not an expert in ethics but for state ethics committee have to have a financial relationship and if one exists it is an ethics question. Kahi does not dispute that there is a relationship. Mo'okuauhau – question clear. Cultural issue – how we feel about the situation. Not looking at rel. With developer as much as actions with applicant has taken. We are here discussing because of these actions. Not in accordance with procedures as per 6E. Lean towards denying.

[9:38] Dane – reasons to defer?

[9:38] Kahele — support deferral so that we can research the land district issues and she wants to be consistent. In recent past denied cult. Desc. To families from adjacent ahupa'a. Wants to take time to do more research on districts mentioned in this application. In last meeting we asked for an investigation to be started regarding treatment of burials of another site and not sure if it is the one after. Some pending issues not nec. To this inadvertent but treatment of burials in this area. Suggest we defer to get clarity.

[9:40] Kyle – also support deferral because of pending issues and he is working with SHPD on investigations and staff report will be submitted to council.

[9:40] Dane – further discussion? Want to point out and give SHPD opportunity to vet and get recommendation. History on moku vs district and adjacent ahupa'a

[9:41] Johanna –thinks it is waste of time to defer but let council decide

[9:42] Scott – can make deferral motion if you like

[9:42] Dane – Kealana can we request AG to be here next meeting to discuss cultural and lineal decisions

**[9:42] Scott makes a motion:** “The Maui/Lana`i Burial Council defers the application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, MichaelMcClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the West Maui Construction Water Line Project, Ahupua`a of Puaaiki, LāhaināDistrict, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015: 001.”

**Seconded Kyle [9:43], all in favor none opposed, motion carries [9:43 AM]**

**[10:43] Scott makes a motion:**

“In light of the Maui Lāna`i Island Burial Council’s need for further clarification related to this agenda item, the MLIBC defers the application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the West Maui Construction Water Line Project, Ahupua`a of Puaaiki, Lāhainā District, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015: 001.”

**Seconded Everett [10:43], all in favor none opposed, motion carries [10:44 am]**

**B. Descendancy Claim Application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Three Previously Identified Human Skeletal Remains, SIHP #50-50-03-5239, SIHP #50-50-03-6473, and SIHP #50-50- 03- 7102, Ahupua`a(s) of Kamani, Puunau, and Puehuehuiki, District of Lāhainā, TMK(s): (2) 4-6-015: 001, (2) 4-6-034: 057, and (2) 4-6-013: 042.**

*Summary: SHPD determination letter is to defer, pending more info. The reason for that is applicant provided (accurate) information that connected her and her kids to an ancestor who resided in the ahupua`a of Puaanui. The iwi in question is located in the ahupua`a(s) of Kamani, Puuanu and Puehuehuiki, which is near Puaanui. Because of that, SHPD recommends defer. COUNCIL CAN MAKE THE CALL WHETHER TO RECOGNIZE OR NOT. Please note that SHPD (I) use Kipukadatabase.com to verify all descendancy claim apps as it pertains to ahupua`a. If I were using kipuka in this case, both applicant’s ancestor as well as iwi would be located in Paunau. And would thus get a recommendation from SHPD. Descendancy app, supporting docs and SHPD letter in google folder.*

**[9:43] Dane opens**

**[9:44] Kealana –** applicants provided sufficient genealogical evidence to show connection. Ahupua`a located adjacent to ahupua`a in question. SHPD recommends defer cultural recognition pending more info. If using Kipuka, everything would be considered Paunau.

**[9:45] Kahele –**takes public testimony

**[9:45] Kahi –** missed last burial council meeting and doesn’t know what was discussed and she feels there is an issue with how she handled these iwi kupuna for these sites. Against her professional judgement – before Dane took walk with Janet Six and Ke`eamoku on she thinks Oct. 19 she had a visitor in her home and her son woke her up and this man was in her room. This never happened to her home. This man wanted protection. Went to land company and asked to put up a wall and they wouldn’t. Wall around ilina land company didn’t want to put it up. Man didn’t leave her house. Kawaikapu Hewett aware of this situation. She was going to ask Ke`eamoku for help on FB and the house shakes and she hears an angry growl and man didn’t leave house for a week and when she pulled back fence Jan. 8 that kupuna was the one in her house. Her whole crew was in a bad accident

– car filled with 5 genealogists who got stopped in alignment with burial sites. Jan 8 wasn't expected to find an open iwi kupuna. Prepared and got dirt and pebbles and stop was with Ke'eumoku. He gave fraudulent genealogy and everyone wants to stand behind. And she was told protect kupuna from Ke'eumoku. She was given more direct order to hide kupuna. Registered map 1193. Can she share screen?

[9:49] Dane - any identified burials? Is this a public maps

**[9:50] Johanna makes a motion** to go into executive session,  
**Everett Seconds, all in favor none opposed, motions carries [9:50]**

9:51Kahi - requests Mike Dega

**[10:04] MLIBC leaves breakout room and returns to main session**  
**Dane calls for 10 minute break; MLIBC reconvenes and returns at [10:15]**

[10:15] Dane - will continue with public testimony when Kahele is back

[10:15] Kahele - opens public testimony

[10:15] Keeaumoku – mahalo for testimony. Enough is enough. Because of this applicants direct involvement to sites SIHP 50-50-036473 and 50-50-03-7102 land commission awards to Kahili and \_\_\_\_\_, and another burial reported but not impacted yet but should be held resp. Everyone should be fined who desecrated these iwi. Because of her relation to Peter Martin, who illegally impacted 3 burials and another and no AIS or BTP, no protection.

[11:19] Noelani Ahia – thank you. Appreciate everyone volunteering time. Re-iterate testimony from agenda item a. Inappropriate to approve desc. Application. Goes back to historical trauma and being trauma informed. Recognize under settler colonial system. People trying to find way back to being kanaka and understand desire to protect iwi kupuna and also hear kupuna speak to them. Must be discerning about the way we interpret. Also look at colonial influences and how we align ourselves. What to do something hawaiian but upholding colonizing force. She was rep of developer. She spoke on inadvertent and other burials. If had done AIS. Gave notice in october to say didn't know till january is confused. At this time conflict of interest too great

[10:22] Kahele closes public testimony

[10:22] Kyle - lots of controversy surrounding this issues. On one side assault on someone's genealogy and on other side intermingling of business and financial interests and a lot of corruption around the meaning of the initial intent of what we are trying to do which is malama. Gross violations and mistakes and unconscious conscious actions committed and seems to be really haumia. Can't support any of this at this time. Oe wale.

[10:23] Dane – questions but legal council not here to answer questions and must go back to previous motion to defer due to legal questions. Would defer both items based solely off of legal question of conflict of interest.

[10:25] Scott – there is the issue we need to clarify with the AG but its a slippery slope when we say the main issue is not genealogy, but we run the risk of denying all kinds of people and its a slippery slope and would hate to see people denied right to care for kuleana for kupuna because of issues. Our goal is veracity of claim did this person have kupuna living in this ahupua'a in the past and have mo-okuauhau.

[10:27] Dane – are you saying follow law and don't go above or beyond?

[10:27] Scott – yes pretty simple for this. Now he does want to hear from AG's office but essentially yes.

[10:27] Dane – yes just looking at descendancy app and we are all familiar with criteria, but he has questions he wants to ask AG and he can defer for that reason. He agrees that it is a slippery slope but needs further discussion. Need to move on in agenda. One more ten minutes and that's it. Also want to justify deferral of agenda item A which is same as B.

[10:28] Johanna – matter at hand is descendency. She acknowledges this but problem is that if we stick to the procedures verbatim she believes that criteria would not have been met in previous instance and usually can deny and would be cleaner to deny that defer. In this instance he agrees with Scott main purpose is to validate genealogy. Everyone should have ability to prove genealogy but here she finds applicant less than credible – but not criteria in descendancy claim. Should be ability to put applicant in good stead. But applicant clearly in own words identified actions outside of parameters of 6E. Clear violations. Pulled back orange fencing and covered trench. Why is there a question of a violation? She would approve this person's descendancy but huge questions of credibility.

[10:31] Kahele - reiterate what said earlier which is issue to clarify district. For herself she wants to make sure consistent in applying law when they decide – what map to use and verify maps and make decision consistently to approve adjacent ahupua'a and go back into minutes and look at decision making. Pending investigation with applicant so making a decision with pending investigation – maybe wait till that is settled whether related or not to this particular items.

[10:33] Johanna – can agree, provided no longer on agenda till clarification

[10:33] Dane – need to discuss with AG in executive session. Buck stops with land owner. And determinations of descendants stops with burial council. He wants to defer due to lack of legal council.

**[10:34] Scott makes a motion**

“At the request of the SHPD The Maui/Lana'i Burial Council defers the application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the West Maui Construction Water Line Project, Ahupua'a of Puaaiki, Lāhainā District, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015: 001.” Updated motion to say why we are deferring – first motion

[10:35] Dane – can today's questions be emailed to forward to AG – district, conflict of interest, etc?

**[10:35] Scott makes a motion:**

“At the request of the SHPD, The Maui/Lana'i Island Burial council defers the descendancy Claim Application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Three Previously Identified Human Skeletal Remains, SIHP #50-50-03-5239, SIHP #50-50-03-6473, and SIHP #50-50- 03-7102, Ahupua'a(s) of Kamani, Puunau, and Puehuehuiki, District of Lāhainā, TMK(s):(2) 4-6-015: 001, (2) 4-6-034: 057, and (2) 4-6-013: 042.”

[10:35] Kahele –wants to send email about district

[10:35] Dane – Kye will you send email about conflict of interest

[10:35] Kyle - you want me to craft an email and send through you to the AG expressing known conflict of interest?

[10:36] Dane – asking opinion of how to move forward when someone in investigation is trying to be recognized as descendant

[10:36] Kyle - ok

[10:36] Dane – same thing if any other council members want to send a question

**[10:36] Scott makes two motions; one is replacement of existing motion:**

“At the request of the SHPD The Maui/Lana'i Burial Council defers the application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the West Maui Construction Water Line Project, Ahupua'a

of Puaaiki, Lāhainā District, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015: 001.” Updated motion to say why we are deferring – first motion

[10:37] Dane – edit this

**[10:38] Scott revises motion:**

**“At the request of the SHPD, The Maui/Lana’i Island Burial council defers the descendency Claim Application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Three Previously Identified Human Skeletal Remains, SIHP #50-50-03-5239, SIHP #50-50-03-6473, and SIHP #50-50- 03-7102, Ahupua’a(s) of Kamani, Puunau, and Puehuehuiki, District of Lāhainā, TMK(s):(2) 4-6-015: 001, (2) 4-6-034: 057, and (2) 4-6-013: 042.”**

[10:38] Dane – maybe not SHPD rec. due to lack of legal council

[10:38] Johanna – remove lack of legal council – we need clarification

[10:39] “In light of MLIBC need for further clarification for the agenda item”

**[10:40] Scott makes revised motion:**

“In light of the MLIBC’s need for further clarification related to this agenda item, the MLIBC defers the application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the West Maui Construction Water Line Project, Ahupua’a of Puaaiki, Lāhainā District, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015: 001.”

**Seconded Everett [10:40], all in favor, none opposed, motion carries 10:40**

[10:40] Dane – this is for Business Item A yes? Let’s close that out

**[10:42] Scott makes a revised motion:**

“In light of the MLIBC’s need for further clarification related to this agenda item The Maui/Lana’i Island Burial council defers the descendency Claim Application of Ceridwen Kauikekahi Kamohalii McClellan, Michael McClellan, Andrew McClellan and Edwin McClellan to Three Previously Identified Human Skeletal Remains, SIHP #50-50-03-5239, SIHP #50-50-03-6473, and SIHP #50-50- 03-7102, Ahupua’a(s) of Kamani, Puunau, and Puehuehuiki, District of Lāhainā, TMK(s):(2) 4-6-015: 001, (2) 4-6-034: 057, and (2) 4-6-013: 042.”

**[Kahele seconded ]**

**Motion carries [10:44]**

**C. Descendancy Claim Application of Jennifer Noelani Ahia to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the Wailuku Civic Complex Phase 1B On-Site Improvements Project, Ahupua’a of Wailuku, District of Wailuku, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 3-4-013: 075**

*Summary: SHPD recommends cultural descendancy. Applicant was able to claim connection to great grandparent who resided in Wailuku. Descendancy app, supporting docs and SHPD letter in google folder.*

[10:44] Everett recuses himself



[10:45] Kealana

[10:46] Kahele opens testimony – mahalo. Wailuku civic center. She got for Church Street for same project but failed to get all TMKs for same project so she did another application. Wants to be recognized to all previous and future for this project.

[10:48] Ke‘eaumoku Kapu – supports Noelani. A lot of long going work done with three individuals who really care for reinternment and protection and preservation. He is CEO ‘Aha Moku Maui and supports

[10:49] Kahele – closes testimony

[10:49] Kaniloa – supports her, Mālama Ka Kanilua, she is important part of group.

[10:50] Dane - any questions about application

**[10:51] Scott makes a motion**

**The Maui/Lana‘i Burial Council recognizes the descendancy Claim Application of Jennifer Noelani Ahia to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the Wailuku Civic Complex Phase 1B On-Site Improvements Project, Ahupua‘a of Wailuku, District of Wailuku, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 3-4-013: 075.**

[10:51] Can we revise language

[10:51] Ikaika Nakahashi – have to go with the agenda

[10:52] Johanna – are we making recommendation? Or are we approving?

[10:52] Dane – we are approving

[10:53] Scott

[10:53] Dane – use recognize for now to be consistent

[10:53] Johanna – no change it

[10:53] Dane – we are only body who can recognize. We should have this conversation outside

[10:53] Scott – Scott Johanna and Dane have a conversation on recognize vs approve application

[10:55] Ikaika – does share screen on recognition – word is “recognized” not “approved”

[10:56] Dane

[10:56] Ikaika – this is what you sent to us and would have to reagendaize for future meetings

[10:57] Dane – are you aware of language of let her be recognized for future findings

[10:57] Kealana – her application does ask to be recognized for any future burials. He has never done this before recognition wise where it is a catch all. Would have to talk to Hinano about it. Can you just discuss and add to minutes?

[10:58] Dane – way it stands now can you incorporate it?

[10:58] Ikaika – it is your council’s meeting

[10:58] Scott – what is your preference Noelani

[10:59] Noelani – to be recognized to wailuku civic center complex all future and past

[10:59] Dane – Scott – this is a new practice to apply for iwi found in life span of project and SHPD punts to us so he punts back

[11:00] Scott – but we have some wiggle room

**[10:51] Scott makes a motion**

“The Maui/Lana‘i Burial Council recognizes the descendancy Claim Application of Jennifer Noelani Ahia to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the Wailuku Civic Complex Phase 1B On-Site Improvements Project, Ahupua‘a of Wailuku, District of Wailuku, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 3-4-013: 075.”

**Everett Dowling recused [10:44]; Johanna seconds [11:01], all in favor, none opposed, motion carries [11:01];**

**D. Descendancy Claim Application of Foster Among to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the Denny’s Restaurant Improvements Project at the Lahaina Cannery Property, Ahupua’a of Moali’i, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-5-011: 004.**

*Summary: SHPD recommend cultural descendancy. Applicant was able to claim to ancestor who resided in Moali’i. Additionally, applicant previously recognized as cultural descendant by council to iwi in ahupua’a in September 2020 MLIBC meeting. Descendancy app, supporting docs and SHPD letter in Google folder.*

[11:01] Dane opens

[11:02] Kealana – sufficient evidence Sept 30, 2020 MLIBC also descendant. SHPD recommends recognition

[11:03] Dane – mahalo any questions

[11:03] Kahele – opens public testimony

[11:03] Foster – has nothing to add at this time

[11:03] Kahele - no hands raised close public testimony

**[11:04] Scott makes a motion**

“The Maui/Lana’i Burial Council recognizes the descendancy Claim Application of Foster Among to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains at the Denny’s Restaurant Improvements Project at the Lahaina Cannery Property, Ahupua’a of Moali’i, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-5-011: 004.”

**Seconded Kyle [11:05], all in favor, none opposed, motion carries [11:05]**

**E. Descendancy Claim Application of Gale Flynn to Identified Human Skeletal Remains at the Jacobsen Cemetery, Ahupua’a of Paunau, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015:002.**

[11:06] Dane opens

[11:06] Kealana – SHPD recommends recognition

[11:07] Kahele – opens testimony

[11:07] Kukahi – submitted in April, a total of 9, and he just overlooked these two so he is the one representing the descendants of Henry Turtin. Gayle Lin is second cousin and to expedite following desc. Is his brother. Already approved and recognized previous 7 and all from the same lins. Jakobson’s cemetery. Trenching nearby. A bit concerned.

[11:09] Kahele – state full name

[11:09] Charles Kukahi Maikalani Tilton full name

[11:09] Kahele - closes public testimony

[11:09] Dane - testimony – SHPD recommends lineal yes?

**[11:10] Scott makes a motion:**

“The Maui/Lana’i Island Burial Council recognizes the lineal descendancy Claim Application of Gale Flynn to Identified Human Skeletal Remains at the Jacobsen Cemetery, Ahupua’a of Paunau, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK:(2) 4-6-015:002.”

**Seconded Kahele [11:10] all in favor, none opposed, [11:10] motion carries**

**F. Descendancy Claim Application of David Tilton to Identified Human Skeletal Remains at the Jacobsen Cemetery, Ahupua‘a of Paunau, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015:002.**

*Summary: SHPD recommend lineal descendancy. Applicant connected to great-great grandparent buried on property. Descendancy app, supporting docs and SHPD letter in Google folder.*

[11:11] Kealana - similar letter of recommendations – was able to identify ancestor buried on property as great great grandfather, recommends lineal desc.

[11:11] Kahele – Kukahi any more words?

[11:11] Kukahi - nothing more needed thank you

[11:12] Kahele - anyone else

[11:12] Kahi she submitted written testimony for these. Do these get mentioned?

[11:12] Kealana – reference Google doc drive it is uploaded in there in the minutes.

[11:12] Dane – if you wish to read it

[11:13] Kahi – when Uncle Chuck was up first time, she supported but since identified Mrs. Jackbson and this was the Noland Treadway Cemetery and she found in Ashdown’s book story of Lahaina and as much as she believes Henry Turtin buried there, she no longer believes that. Henry Turtin/Pioneer Mill did not own this land. Doesn’t want to harm but investigate if actually Henry Turtin buried there. But of the 20 documented to be buried there none are Henry Turtin. Written testimony has documents to prove this.

[11:14] Ke‘eumokua Kapu – supports the applicant’s recognition for lineal descendancy. In the past 20 years there was a person who malama’d the cemetery and sitting with this person his long work taking care of cemetery there is no longer anyone who malamas. Jackbson so overgrown, heavily impacted by new landowner. He knows Turtin and full support.

[11:16] Kaniloa Kamaunu – input is question wasn’t whether someone buried there it is whether family had connection and they show that connection SHPDs recommend this, and they support claim and Kahi brings up an opinion. Family actually has genealogical tie confirmed. Can only recommend factual parts.

[11:17] Charles Kukahi – thank you Kahi for your research. Very interested in seeing documentation that she has. But he would point out that Robert Warfield previous manager at pioneer mill in 1991 so he led to this site and through him he was led to believe that what his father told him that it was there. He gets his information based on that. So that is what he is going on. None of these burials are marked. Visited site several times over 20 years and he has a power point showing what it used to be and made a visit 2 weeks ago to see the situation and still inaccessible need machines to get to it. Anyway that is where he stands on this. Last 21 years he has been doing this. Trying to malama what he was led to believe is great great grandfathers burials.

[11:20] Kahele –

[11:20] Angela Neller – wants to support cousin chucks request for family very humble but very strong genealogist

[11:21] Kahele closes testimony

[11:21] Dane - is this a registered burial site

[11:22] Kealana – can look into that

**[11:22] Scott makes a motion:**

“The Maui/Lana’i Island Burial Council recognizes the lineal descendancy claim application of David Tilton to Identified Human Skeletal Remains at the Jacobsen Cemetery, Ahupua‘a of Paunau, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-015:002.”

**[11:23] Kyle seconds, all in favor, none opposed, motion carries [11:23]**

### **G. Training for Maui Lāna’i Islands Burial Council on membership, roles, and responsibilities.**

*Summary: Need to pick date for training. Pick three dates. Will relay to AG. I believe OIBC is the first scheduled to be trained (September 22). September 29 tentative date for training*

[11:23] Ikaika - no training requested today

[11:24] Kealana – no training for today but please select 2-3 days this month or month after for training you requested by deputy AG and ethics. OIBC selected Sept 22 so that is slated to be first council to be trained. Discuss 2-3 days and rely dates to deputy AG. Probably 9-12 or something

[11:25] Dane – do we pick a date and they will be there?

[11:25] Kealana - check what works for you

[11:25] Everett - Sept 22

[11:25] Kyle - Works for Kyle

[11:25] Kahele – works for Kahele

[11:26] Kyle – can we jump onto OIBC?

[11:26] Kealana – not sure if we can do that but try see

[11:27] 29th works for Kyle and Everett

[11:27] Kahele Scott Johanna all say yes. Aim for 29th?

[11:27] Kealana - Then main meeting will be on 15th

### **V. UPDATES**

#### **A. Maui Lani Subdivision Phase VI, Ahupua‘a of Wailuku, District of Wailuku, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 3-8-099: pors.**

*Summary: Notified landowner, contracted arch of agenda item.*

#### **VI. CORRESPONDENCE A. Burial Council Consultation re: The Hawai‘i State Department of Education, King Kamehameha III Elementary School Fire Alarm System Replacement Project, Paunau Ahupua‘a, Lāhainā District, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-002: 013 and 014 por.**

*Summary: Notified contracted arch (SCS) of agenda item. Documents in google folder.*


[11:28] Dane - Jump to correspondence

[11:28] Kealana –

[11:29] Sharon – nothing prepared but submitted response as requested

[11:29] Dane – we are correspondence A right now, move to Mike Daige

[11:29] SCS Mike Dega – Dane a few slides – thanks Andrew and Kamakana. Malia Malina and Mark Roy.



Maximum depth 3 feet below surface – previously excavated by Nico for electric lines 2001-2004. Whole yellow area 3 known find spots. 4984. Find 8 adult in situ burial capped on surface concrete and inscribed site number in concrete cap. Finds 13 14 side wall pits – previously fractured. Previously excavated monitored and three find spots – on in situ burial cap, two possible burials. OHA recommends AOS dig manually or small machine before trench dug. We stand here – SHPO recommends 100% data recovery.

[11:35] Dane – Pres plan 4984 very sensitive area – leads me to believe potential to find more is there. Project entails only re-excavating existing electrical trench?

[11:35] Mike – correct, Kamakana says find 3 find spots first then do trench

[11:35] Dane – entirety of trench for this project?

[11:36] Mike – yes

[11:36] Dane – so won't go beyond existing previous trench

[11:36] Mike – electrical above surface previously

[11:37] Dane – as regional rep I recommend within preservation areas and density in project – would prefer no ground disturbance or if most project can be done above ground.

[11:37] Kyle – question. As a person in construction industry – his experience with under ground utilities is developers and owners – like going underground for aesthetics and its easier to trench and bring up. Is that his experience?

[11:38] Mike – went above ground to avoid burials. Snakes between 5 school buildings, how to make non intrusive

[11:39] Dane - can be done

[11:39] Andrew McAllister – initially dept education proposed monitoring but wanted to get MLIBC comments before makes them.

[11:40] Kahele – opens public testimony

[11:41] Keeaumoku – wasn't part of Hui o Waa Kaulua – concern on multiple burials in original trench. Air conditioning ducts? Share s great concern area highly concentrated with iwi. If pres plan set in place can they go into a preservation area? If made preservation plan what is it now today can just go in and remodify pres plan? Caution council – everything should be above ground

[11:43] Foster – descendants – 1500 1400s – asking he be included in consultation process – he attended this school

[11:44] closes public testimony

[11:44] Kyle – so if a pres. plan in the area new developers' construction whatever have to follow? Anything about not going under ground any more?

[11:45] Dane – in packet – is process for developer to do construction in pres. area

[11:45] Andrew – in terms of archaeological resources different than burial site. Agency must consult under criterion e

[11:46] Dane – did archaeology branch recommend data recovery

[11:47] Andrew –proposed monitoring (data recovery)  
[11:48] Kealana – asking work in pres area or boundaries?  
[11:50] Scott – reconfigure so no more ground disturbing activity  
[11:50] Dane – are we recommending no work consultation? Are they still considered inadvertent.  
[11:51] Dane – is DOE open to above ground system  
[11:51] Mike – will talk to DOE. Not large areas preserved, individual sites. Only 1 burial preserved with cap, all other re-interred on property. 4984. What would your comment be if it has to be below ground?  
[11:52] Kahele – opportunity for us to educate govt on how to improve facilities. Dept of education sits on so much of their public trust land have an additional responsibility – please do more civilized and humane way today  
[11:54] Kyle - dept of education so should support education of world view where everyone in government is accountable to a social responsibility to preserve dignity and sacredness their facility sits on  
[11:55] Janet Six – work in Mokuula – findings Kam III were royalty and go to 16th century adjacent to Mokuula – finds very significant and put them quite a ways back.  
[11:56] Mike – Don Suzuki’s engineer for project  
[11:56] Don Suzuki – can an above ground fire system? Yes certain areas going overhead. Building with fire alarm panel to any building will have to bridge a gap somehow because disconnected bulding – could probably go overhead but completely detached portables have no way of getting to. So trying not to do any excavation – currently an existing fire alarm system and maybe possible to reuse existing conduits but a few caveats – a) may not be able to get existing wiring out of conduits, would need to be abandoned in place and something new need to be run, and largest unknown, or b) if we do it that way would disable existing fire alarm system and need to be a fire watch any time personnel on campus so that in itself creates another issue.  
[11:58] Dane – existing conduit do they run to portables?  
[11:59] Don – some new portables not sure hpw fire alarm done, otherwise last time done was 15 years ago maybe. Don’t know diameter of conduit  
[11:59] Dane – options are we disinter or get really close to burial in trench and maybe open up trench opens more ancestors or possibly explore other oppoertunities for fire alarm. Let’s exhaust before trenching. Hopefully alternative. Hopefully avoid impacting. Wants to see project before council again if trenching necessary  
[12:01] Don – to investigate you can open up things and tug on cables but no gaurantee till you pull it out and we could run into situation where things are in motion and then can’t use it and don’t have a plan. So replacing what is there is the route he prefers. He respects ancestors and not intent to dig up anything but our thought process is dig where already dug. Then other areas basically as far as documentation, no findings in other areas.  
[12:02] Dane – preference to avoid excavation  
[12:02] Johanna — can we be assured further consultation will include Ke’eamoku and Foster as requested. Evidently they weren’t included  
[12:03] Mike – open to consultation yes  
[12:03] Kyke – for Ke’eamoku and foster stay in touch with Mike and follow up  
[12:04] Mike - lines viable 3 feet below surface to avoid depths of burials  
[12:05] Dane - probably yes can be above 3 feet for bottom of trench

**B. Letter Dated June 8, 2021 from Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation re: West Maui Land Company, Inc. and its affiliates plans to lay a waterline below the surface of Mill Street in**

**Lāhainā, roughly between the Shaw Street intersection and Leoleo Street, without any permits or authorizations from the State of Hawai‘i or Maui County.**

[12:07] Dane - opens correspondence B

*Summary - Notified Ke‘eaumoku, Fay and NHLC of agenda item. Copy of letter in Google folder.*

[12:08] Kealana - reached out to Native Hawaiian Legal Corps no response no presenter

[12:09] Kahele opens public testimony

[12:09] Noelani – agenda item might be moot point because West Maui Land continued trenching of project no permit and re-routed. Kauula road – government road, already trenched and line laid. Up around homeless shelter. Still in litigation, filed counter claims, not sure about court date. Did hit a cultural site an ‘auwai. Completely destroyed in area trenched and did not know about it. Noted in AIS frederickson had done for flood control also on land commission awards.

[12:12] Dane - anyone else, closes public testimony

[12:12] Johanna – will discuss late

**INADVERTENT A. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Puamana Park, reported to the State Historic Preservation Division on June 12, 2021, Ahupua‘a of Polanui, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-6-033: 001.**

*Summary: Notified County. SHPD notification email in Google doc folder.*

[12:13] Ikaika – everything in Google drive

[12:13] Dane – cannot open

[12:14] Ikaika – reported by community member covered with sand left in place

[12:14] Darene Matsuoka –wants to add a few things to 6B but that item has passed

**B. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at the Denny’s Restaurant Improvements Project at the Lahaina Cannery Property, reported to the State Historic Preservation Division on June 12, 2021, Ahupua‘a of Moali‘i, District of Lāhainā, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 4-5-011: 004.**

*Summary: Notified contracted arch (Xamanek) of agenda item. Documents in Google doc folder.*

[12:15] Dane opens

[12:15] Ikaika –everything in google doc. Encourage Foster to reach out directly

**C. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at the Maui Lani Subdivision Phase VI, reported to the State Historic Preservation Division on July 15, 2021, Ahupua‘a of Wailuku, District of Wailuku, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 3-8-099: pors.**

*Summary: Notified contracted arch of agenda item. Inadvertent Discovery form in Google doc folder.*

[12:17] Please recuse and identify

[12:18] Iris – recuses

[12:18] Nico – discovery made on July 15 don't know if relatble to TS 111 on June 30 because that still on recovery. Ts 188 is this one, completed and found during utility excavation for a house lot. Can be dug readily. Lot 19.

[12:19] Dane – % wise how much

[12:19] Nico – one fragment scapula and unidentifiable fragment. Less than 2 feet depth

[12:19] Dane – previously disturbed

[12:19] Niceo – house lots previously disturbed from sand mining

[12:19] Johanna – this is inadvertent even from previously disturbed aea

[12:20] Dane - wasn't identified when disturbed previously

[12:20] Nico – scatter can be from mechanical disturbance or natural. Area may have eroded and may be scattered or displaced or machinery comes by and goes over. Circumstances suggests that it is a scatter.

[12:21] Dane –don't usually find iwi that shallow which aren't disturbed.

Public testimony

[12:21] Kahele - public testimony

[12:21] Noelani Ahia – little concerned very lengthy discussion last meeting about June inadvertent and discussed how even if displaced from sand mining etc doesn't make less important. Still this kupuna's home. Temporary site 187 and consultation talked about reintering in location found and lepo and one in the area. Notfication attorneys HBT continue construction in area and temp site 187. Went over there and in letter sent approx area of 187 invesigation now cleared backfill conduit installation. One had been removed and no orange fence. Not informed whether or not determination had been made or decision to move one. Concerned with site 188 as well because she feels like leo wasn't heard again. Had a very extensive discussion. These kupuna. Don't like scattered. They are part of a full perosn. Not their fault ripped apart by construction. Need to protect kupuna in perpetuity.

[12:24] Kahele - closes public testimony on this item

[12:25] Dane - current state of iwi

[12:25] Nico – for clarity, in last meeting, directive to screen area given and motion happened upheld by SHPD and in process of screening material from stockpile is no longer in ground it is above ground. Sceening removes preservation at that location. Do we move pile in entirety or screen it and we agreed to screen. HBT held meeting with consultation with descendants an Johanna and discussed pit fill. Sand adhered to bones itself. Questioned if I can make distinction of fill material and buried remains. Since sufficiently scattered through disturbed layer, no way to ascertain original fill sand. As material screened it was reincorporated into the location. You don't see stockpile anymore it is now beneath feet. Sloping edge of house, result of screening process is sifted material. Material still there but we will never know original location of material.

[12:27] Dane

[12:27] Nico –curated on site

[12:27] Nico – check with SHPD

[12:28] Kahele – “screening precludes preservation in the area?”

[12:28] Nico – screening of stockpile. If you screen area around grave, you can do that, you have an origin for grave and in situ component. But if missing, there is no place, just a stock pile with remains.

[12:28] Kahele – right but it is still the closest. Can still be put to rest in that area or closest area in context. Even though it is fill or whatever, even if we don't know original location, try keep it in area where it was found.

[12:30] Sharon Paris – believe may be distinction in terminoloy by phrase preserve in place indicates an in situ compoennt associated with that so in a detemrination of disarticulated remains in a stock



pile determination would be considered relocation because no in place preservation but relocation could be up to discussion.

[12:30] Nico – clarity – a house pad composed of fill, some fill encountered when monitor stops, investigated pile from this activity and also went down and found remains that had not been impacted not part of recent excavation in fill deposit below where we had seen them – original of person not with pile, not with that house lot, that person could be anywhere in the general vicinity.

[12:32] Kahele — assuming because in fill relocated some place else. What she is saying is even though in fill wouldn't assume relocation. Even though in fill, would still like to see the iwi returned to a place that is as close to where it was found as possible. Because in fill relocation assumed to be ok but that is not ok with the council. Treat that iwi in that particular place.

[12:33] Nico – can be returned to the same location but would never be considered preservation in place

[12:33] Kahele – had iwi in stockpile but said something about investigating in that same lot and found more iwi?

[12:33] Nico – yes below that in same circumstances. We don't know when the fill was made. Individual broken up in consistent manner – remains leached white next to remains that were not. Everything fragmented.

[12:34] Kahele – original fine from trenching then creating stockpile and screened stockpile and found some.

[12:34] Nico – hoped to find original earth not disturbed or to recover whatever remains that there were. Diffuse nature of the scatter.

[12:35] Kyle – has question for chair. Isn't it law when you hit iwi you stop work and SHPD makes determination then goes forward on that?

[12:35] Dane – did you notify SHPD and MPD?

[12:36] Nico – yes Hinano came by after investigation of unit and notified to clear area for investigation. No orange fencing cuz no longer stockpile. No in situ component.

[12:36] Kyle – SHPD said to proceed?

[12:36] Nico – yes

[12:36] Dane – this is part of public notification of burial council

[12:37] Kahele – looking at inadvertent checklist. Where is SHPD giving permission to relocate the iwi.

[12:37] Dane – is this data recovery?

[12:37] Nico – these are recovery measures

[12:37] Kahele - who makes determination to recovery? Because if SHPD would be noted in checklist

[12:38] Nico – you wanted recovery in motion and given email request from SHPD to proceed with provisions, and provisions made with SHPD archy and history and culture. Act of recovery was approved.

#### **D. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Hāmoa Beach, reported to the State Historic Preservation Division on August 7, 2021, Ahupua'a of Hāmoa, District of Hāna, Island of Maui, TMK: (2) 1-4-010: 001**

*Summary: Notified recognize descendant (Mavis). SHPD notification email in Google doc folder.*

[12:39] – Dane Ikaika any updates

[12:39] Ikaika – believes Hyatt has landowner representative

[12:40] Marni Aina –works with families in Haneoo road and on phone call with Maevis bag with iwi found somewhere in the aea and it was left on one of the areas where they are cleaning up some ahus for previous situation and whatever was found was put on package and found on rocks and reported to the polie. Thats all the info she has

She works for Hyatt who manages for land owners.

[12:41] Dane –and you are communicating with folks planning on doing reinternment

[12:40] Marni– constant communication with families down there and will support their process.

[12:42] Dane –have you talk to SHPD Ikaika Kealana

[12:42] Marni all in communication

[12:42] Kyle people said stuff to each other but conversation hasn't gone as in depth as it needs to. Community members need to gather themselves on this discovery and a more formal discussion needs ot occur between community members interested in working with property owner and Ikaika this is the iwi that you took into custody this past weekend and have kept it in custoday in SHPD facility

[12:43] Ikaika – correct being kept in SHPD office in Wailuku

[12:43] Kyle - community members in Hana need ot com forward and if requested Kyle will work with them. Would like to commend Ikaika Nakahashi for going above and beyond in his private life to secure this iwi and assuring it is cared for and protected in the appropriate fashion so mahalo to Ikaika for that.

[12:44] Dane - Mahalo Ikaika. Need storage site on property. Secure facilty on site for curation till determined what best for iwi kupuna.

[12:45] Kahele –was at hana cultural center and unable to continue having iwi in that spot

[12:46] Marni –ongoing from previous situation. Talkign to community members who own a parcel next door, all part of the same ahupuaa and conversation is open and want to finalize for the future. Will have findings over the years and something must be done to keep iwi close to home till process can be followed.

[12:47] Kahele opens public testimony; no raised hands, close public testimony 12:47

[12:48] Kyle –wants to see Maui Lani agendized for the future. Needs a bigger conversation. Do we squeeze in three meetings september or agendize maui lani in october?

[12:48] Dane – Maui Lani in october and our normal scheduled meeting september and also training Sept 25 for normal meeting and 29 for training

[12:49] Johanna –need training on cotext where iwi is found so how it applies to inadervtent, previously identified and manner in which it is found that makes a difference for how law sees how we treat it.

[12:49] Dane - Mahalo council for being here and volunteering time and mahalo to SHPD staff and county staff and mahalo to community. 12:50 meetings adjourns

## VIII. ANNOUNCEMENTS

### A. Next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, September 15, 2021

Pursuant to Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) §92-3, all interested persons shall be afforded an opportunity to present oral testimony or written testimony on any agenda item. Additionally, pursuant to a policy adopted by the Maui Lāna'i Islands Burial Council at its August 25, 2005 meeting, oral testimony for items listed on the agenda is limited to three minutes per person per agenda item. Interested persons can submit written testimony in advance of each meeting that will be distributed to Council Members prior to the meeting. Written testimony must be submitted no later than 24 hours prior to the meeting to ensure time for Council Members to review it. Late written testimony will be retained as part of the record, but we cannot assure Council Members will receive it with sufficient time for review prior to decision-making. Submit written testimony to [andrew.k.phillips@hawaii.gov](mailto:andrew.k.phillips@hawaii.gov). Pursuant to HRS §92-4, §92-5(a)(8), and §6E-43.5, and upon compliance with the procedures set forth in HRS §92-4, the Council may go into a closed meeting to consider information that involves the location or description of a burial site or to discuss confidential genealogy. A request to be placed on a

Council meeting agenda must be made in writing with the SHPD History and Culture Branch staff at least two weeks preceding the scheduled meeting date. In addition, the request must be accompanied by all related documents. Failure to comply with this procedure will delay the item to the following month's agenda. Materials related to items on the agenda are available for review at the Maui State Historic Preservation Division located at 130 Mahalani Street, Wailuku, Maui, Hawai'i 96793. INDIVIDUALS REQUIRING SPECIAL ASSISTANCE OR AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES (E.G., SIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER), PLEASE CONTACT STAFF AT LEAST 72-HOURS PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT (808) 243-1285 SO THAT ARRANGEMENTS CAN BE MADE.