State of Hawai‘i  
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division of Aquatic Resources  
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96813

August 11, 2017

Board of Land and Natural Resources  
Honolulu, Hawai‘i

Request for Authorization and Approval to Issue a Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Research Permit to Anke Kuegler, University of Hawai‘i, for Access to State Waters to Characterize Humpback Whale Use and Population Status

The Division of Aquatic Resources (DAR) hereby submits a request for your authorization and approval for issuance of a Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument research permit to Applicant Anke Kuegler, University of Hawai‘i, pursuant to § 187A-6, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes (HRS), Chapter 13-60.5, Hawai‘i Administrative Rules (HAR), and all other applicable laws and regulations.

The research permit, as described below, would allow entry and management activities to occur in Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument, including the NWHI State Marine Refuge and the waters (0-3 nautical miles) surrounding the following site:

- Nihoa Island  
- Mokumanamana Island  
- French Frigate Shoals  
- Gardner Pinnacles  
- Maro Reef  
- Laysan Island  
- Lisianski Island  
- Pearl and Hermes Atoll  
- Midway Atoll  
- Kure Atoll

The activities covered under this permit would occur between September 6, 2017 thru September 5, 2018.

INTENDED ACTIVITIES
The applicant proposes to deploy and retrieve up to four deep water Ecological Acoustic Recorders (EARs) to depths ranging from 100 m to 500 m that would be used to record humpback whale song. Up to four personnel would be authorized to conduct deployments. The applicant would not access PMNM. However, personnel conducting separately permitted research activities during the 2017 Northwestern Hawaiian Islands Reef Assessment Monitoring Program (dates from September 6 – 30, 2017) would assist with deploying EARs for the
applicant. The applicant requests to deploy EARs at all islands/atoll in PMNM, but actual sites would be dependent on cruise logistics and which island/atoll area is visited.

The activity would help to understand humpback whale population-specific habitat use and explore the population status of humpback whales wintering within the Monument. The applicant aims to collect data to compare humpback whale song occurring within the Monument to song from other breeding grounds such as the Main Hawaiian Islands. This research would help establish whether whales wintering within the Monument are part of a discrete stock.

To safeguard Monument resources the applicant would abide by the following PMNM Best Management Practices (BMPs) while conducting the aforementioned activities within the PMNM: Best Management Practices for Boat Operations and Diving Activities (BMP #004); Marine Wildlife Viewing Guidelines (BMP #010); Disease and Introduced Species Prevention Protocol for Permitted Activities in the Marine Environment (BMP #011), and Maritime Heritage BMP (BMP #017).


The activities described above may require the following regulated activities to occur in State waters:

- Discharging or depositing any material or matter into the Monument

**REVIEW PROCESS**

The permit application was sent out for review and comment to the following scientific and cultural entities: Hawai‘i Division of Aquatic Resources, Hawai‘i Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (NOAA/NOS), NOAA Pacific Islands Regional Office (NOAA-PIRO), United States Fish and Wildlife Service Hawaiian and Pacific Islands National Wildlife Refuge Complex Office, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA). In addition, the permit application has been posted on the Monument Web site since March 28, 2017, giving the public an opportunity to comment. The application was posted within 40 days of its receipt, in accordance with the Monument’s Public Notification Policy.

**Comments received from the scientific community are summarized as follows:**

**QUESTIONS:**

1. In response to question 7a and 7d, it’s not entirely clear on the retrieval process. The weights will be left. Is there any metal such as rebar or metal casings in the ‘concrete garage post (i.e., metals are natural, but possibly synthesized to create an alloy)? If so how much, size, and what compounds/metals? How are the concrete blocks and sand bags and EAR joined?

   *The concrete block, sand bags and acoustic releases/EARs are joined with 6’ galvanized steel cables (1/8”) with 3/8” galvanized anchor shackles on either end. The concrete*
block has a flat, most likely galvanized steel strap protruding about 4’ x 2” out of the block, pictured below (http://www.homedepot.com/p/Tileco-12-in-x-12-in-x-12-in-Concrete-Garage-Pier-Block-with-Strap-127GPS/202535528?keyword=concrete+garage+pier+block).

No further metal, such as rebar or casings, will be used.

COMMENTS / RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Applicant probably should clarify the number of days required in the Monument (i.e. 365 days is currently mentioned).

   Deployment and retrieval of the instruments are limited and set by NOAA cruises going into the Monument, currently once a year. We do not expect another NOAA cruise before August/September 2018 and therefore estimated 365 days required in the Monument.

2. The applicant fails to mention the best management practices and how they will apply them to their work in the Monument.

   All relevant PMNM Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be strictly followed. These BMPs include the following:

   1. Disease and Introduced Species Prevention Protocols (BMP 011)
   2. Marine Wildlife Viewing Guidelines (BMP 010)
   3. Boat Operation Protocols (BMP 004)
   4. Maritime Heritage BMP (BMP 017)

3. Anke Kuegler’s major advisor, Dr. Marc Lammers, has many years of experience in successfully carrying this type of data collection through to peer-reviewed publication.

   Agree and noted.

4. Student’s professor Dr. Marc Lammers has successfully completed this as a permitted activity in the Monument, and other locations, in the past. No damage to resources from
the activity. This project has urgent need and should be completed on some regular interval until climate change and coral bleaching is better understood or no longer occurs. Keep up the good work!

Noted and thank you for the comment.

5. The information from this study could be useful for management, therefore we would like to request a brief status update on how the retrieval went (e.g. how many acoustic recorders they were retrieved and any special notes, like if any were vandalized, dysfunctional, or if any cultural or biological issues arose), and followed by brief quarterly updates outlining their progress on sample analysis, data analysis, any significant findings relevant to management, and ETA for write-up and publication of information.

Agree and noted. Information on retrieval will be provided immediately as available and quarterly updates on analysis progress and any important findings will be made. ETA for complete data analysis, write-up and submission for publication is within one year after instrument recovery.

6. Leaving gear behind (concrete block anchors, in this case) is never ideal. However, there is no other reasonable alternative, and the anchoring gear is chemically and biologically inert. Little harm done, and the information gained will likely be significant, thus the cost-benefit analysis seems to favor approval.

Agree and noted.

7. The anchor method is great. Thank you for not using metal for the anchor, this eliminates the introduction of metal into the marine environment.

Noted and thank you for the comment.

8. I’m glad to see this work done. The question of where the remaining humpback population spends its winters is one that we have long wondered about and could add increased value to the area if found to be the wintering habitat. The selection of sandy bottoms to deploy the EARS makes me comfortable with their locations.

Noted and thank you for the comment.

9. The one thing I wonder about is whether or not they will partner with anyone or make an effort to track any vessel or other acoustic signatures that could be helpful for management purposes. They mention that this has been done in the past and proved valuable but don’t mention whether or not an effort will be made to process any other sounds besides humpback acoustics.

No partnerships are planned at this point, but tracking vessel activity and other animals, including other baleen and toothed whales, can be included in the analysis and reported.
10. By partnering with other researchers who will be in the Monument for other projects, the applicants themselves do not even have to visit PMNM, thus minimizing the human footprint of this research.

*Agree and noted.*

11. EARs have been successfully deployed and recovered in PMNM. Earlier deployments lacked a clearly defined question or hypothesis, and were focused on a proof-of-concept notion that passive acoustics could be used to monitor ecological conditions on coral reefs.

*Noted.*

12. The research question is conceptually simple, and the time frame (1 year deployment) is adequate to capture one complete migratory season for the whales in question.

*Agree and noted.*

13. NMFS has a mandate to effect the recovery of this species (humpback whales). Thus, the proposed research directly benefits the mission of the federal government.

*Agree and noted.*

14. EARs are a proven low-impact mean of acquiring valuable information on the presence and behavior of marine mammals in PMNM.

*Agree and noted.*

15. Pelagic species (including migratory cetaceans) are one of the most under-studied groups of organisms in PMNM. Any information gained on these protected species will be valuable to natural resource managers.

*Agree and noted.*

16. Until a few years ago, we didn’t even know humpback whales visited the NWHL. Knowledge of whether they are a part of the same stock that winters in the MHI, or a novel stock, seems to have great “demonstrable benefits to the conservation of the NWHL.”

*Agree and noted.*

All equipment and instruments that are to be deployed should be checked prior to deployment to make sure they are in good working condition and that there are no contaminant leaks.

*Agree and noted.*
Comments received from the Native Hawaiian community are summarized as follows:

Cultural reviews support the acceptance of this application. No concerns were raised.

Comments received from the public are summarized as follows:

No comments were received from the public on this application.

Additional reviews and permit history:
Are there other relevant/necessary permits or environmental reviews that have or will be issued with regard to this project? (e.g., MMPA, ESA, EA)  Yes ❑ No ❑
If so, please list or explain:

• The proposed activities are in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act.
• The proposed activities are in compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act.
• A request is currently underway to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to cover all proposed activities under PMNM’s programmatic ESA Section 7 informal consultation. The outcome of this consultation may require the applicant to adhere to other NMFS-prescribed conditions. Such conditions would be reflected in the PMNM permit, prior to issuance.
• An informal review of all aforementioned activities following section 305(b) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA; 16 U.S.C. 1855(b)) was completed on July 5, 2017 by NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Pacific Islands Regional Office (PIRO). NMFS PIRO concurred proposed activities would have no more than minimal impact to Essential Fish Habitat.
• The Department has made an exemption determination for this permit in accordance chapter 343, HRS, and Chapter 11-200, HAR. See Attachment (“DECLARATION OF EXEMPTION FROM THE PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CHAPTER 343, HRS AND CHAPTER 11-200 HAR, FOR PAPAHÄNAUMOKUĀKEA MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENT RESEARCH PERMIT TO ANKE KUEGLER, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAIʻI FOR ACCESS TO STATE WATERS FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES INTENDED TO CHARACTERIZE HUMPBACK WHALE HABITAT USE AND POPULATION STATUS UNDER PERMIT PMNM-2017-014.”

Has Applicant been granted a permit from the State in the past? Yes ❑ No ❑
If so, please summarize past permits:

Have there been any  a) violations:

b) Late/incomplete post-activity reports:

Are there any other relevant concerns from previous permits? Yes ❑ No ❑
STAFF OPINION
PMNM staff is of the opinion that the Applicant has properly demonstrated valid justification for their application and should be allowed to enter the NWHI State waters and conduct the activities therein as specified in the application with certain special instructions and conditions, which are in addition to the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Research Permit General Conditions. All suggested special conditions have been vetted through the legal counsel of the Co-Trustee agencies (see Recommendation section).

MONUMENT MANAGEMENT BOARD OPINION
The MMB is of the opinion that the Applicant has met the findings of Presidential Proclamation 8031 and this activity may be conducted subject to completion of all compliance requirements. The MMB concurs with the special conditions recommended by PMNM staff.
RECOMMENDATION:
That the Board authorize and approve a Research Permit to Anke Kuegler, University of Hawai‘i, with the following special conditions:

1. This permit is not to be used for nor does it authorize the sale of collected organisms. Under this permit, the authorized activities must be for noncommercial purposes not involving the use or sale of any organism, by-products, or materials collected within the Monument for obtaining patent or intellectual property rights.

2. The permittee may not convey, transfer, or distribute, in any fashion (including, but not limited to, selling, trading, giving, or loaning) any coral, live rock, or organism collected under this permit without the express written permission of the Co-Trustees.

3. To prevent introduction of disease or the unintended transport of live organisms, the permittee must comply with the disease and transport protocols attached to this permit.

4. Tenders and small vessels must be equipped with engines that meet EPA emissions requirements.

5. Refueling of tenders and all small vessels must be done at the support ships and outside the confines of lagoons or near-shore waters in the State NWHI Marine Refuge.

6. No fishing is allowed in State Waters except as authorized under State law for subsistence, traditional and customary practices by Native Hawaiians.

Respectfully submitted,

Maria Carnevale
State Co-Manager
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument

APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL

Suzanne Case
Chairperson
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
RESEARCH Permit Application

NOTE: This Permit Application (and associated Instructions) are to propose activities to be conducted in the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument. The Co-Trustees are required to determine that issuing the requested permit is compatible with the findings of Presidential Proclamation 8031. Within this Application, provide all information that you believe will assist the Co-Trustees in determining how your proposed activities are compatible with the conservation and management of the natural, historic, and cultural resources of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (Monument).

ADDITIONAL IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

• Any or all of the information within this application may be posted to the Monument website informing the public on projects proposed to occur in the Monument.

• In addition to the permit application, the Applicant must either download the Monument Compliance Information Sheet from the Monument website OR request a hard copy from the Monument Permit Coordinator (contact information below). The Monument Compliance Information Sheet must be submitted to the Monument Permit Coordinator after initial application consultation.

• Issuance of a Monument permit is dependent upon the completion and review of the application and Compliance Information Sheet.

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED
Send Permit Applications to:
NOAA/Inouye Regional Center
NOS/ONMS/PMNM/Attn: Permit Coordinator
1845 Wasp Blvd, Building 176
Honolulu, HI 96818
nwhippermit@noaa.gov
PHONE: (808) 725-5800 FAX: (808) 455-3093

SUBMITTAL VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL IS PREFERRED BUT NOT REQUIRED. FOR ADDITIONAL SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS, SEE THE LAST PAGE.
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
Permit Application - Research
OMB Control # 0648-0548
Page 2 of 15

Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
Permit Application Cover Sheet

This Permit Application Cover Sheet is intended to provide summary information and status to
the public on permit applications for activities proposed to be conducted in the
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument. While a permit application has been received,
it has not been fully reviewed nor approved by the Monument Management Board to date. The
Monument permit process also ensures that all environmental reviews are conducted prior to the
issuance of a Monument permit.

Summary Information
Applicant Name: Anke Kuegler
Affiliation: Marine Biology Graduate Program, University of Hawaii at Manoa

Permit Category: Research
Proposed Activity Dates: July-September 2017 and July-September 2018
Proposed Method of Entry (Vessel/Plane): Vessel
Proposed Locations: pending on where the cruise goes and instrument availability, we
plan to deploy between 2-4 instruments in 2-4 of the following locations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kure (Mokupāpapa)</td>
<td>28.42°N</td>
<td>178.33°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midway (Pihemanu)</td>
<td>28.20°N</td>
<td>177.35°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl/Hermes (Holoikauaua)</td>
<td>27.93°N</td>
<td>175.74°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisianski (Papaāpoho)</td>
<td>26.06°N</td>
<td>173.97°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laysan (Kauō)</td>
<td>25.77°N</td>
<td>171.73°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maro Reef (Nalukākala)</td>
<td>25.42°N</td>
<td>170.59°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner Pinnacles (Pūhāhonu)</td>
<td>25.02°N</td>
<td>167.98°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Frigate Schools(Kānemiloha‘i)</td>
<td>23.75°N</td>
<td>166.15°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necker (Mokumanamana)</td>
<td>23.57°N</td>
<td>164.70°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nihoa (Moku Manu)</td>
<td>23.06°N</td>
<td>161.92°W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated number of individuals (including Applicant) to be covered under this permit: 4

Estimated number of days in the Monument: 365

Description of proposed activities: (complete these sentences):

a.) The proposed activity would...

Involve deploying and retrieving two to four deep water Ecological Acoustic Recorders
(EARs) to depths ranging from 100 m to 500 m that will be used to record humpback
whale song. The items used with each EAR will be a syntactic foam collar on the EAR,
an acoustic release, a garage post concrete block and two to three sandbags.
Deployments will last for about one year at each site.
b.) To accomplish this activity we would .... We will first survey candidate locations with the ship's echosounder for relatively flat, sandy sites. We will then use the ship's J-frame or A-frame to lift the mooring anchor (cement block and sandbag), the acoustic release and deep EAR package along with flotation foam over the side of the ship and then release the entire package and let it drop to the bottom.

c.) This activity would help the Monument by ...
Helping to understand humpback whale population-specific habitat use and explore the population status of humpback whales wintering within the Monument. We aim to collect data to compare humpback whale song occurring within the Monument to song from other breeding grounds such as the Main Hawaiian Islands. This way, we aim to establish whether whales wintering within the Monument are part of a discrete stock with the Monument being a distinct breeding ground. We aim to establish a non-invasive tool to study humpback whale habitat use and behaviors in the North Pacific.

It is intended that this information will be used in aiding management decisions regarding protection of valuable marine resources such as the Monument.

Other information or background:
Successful conservation of a species relies on understanding how that species interacts with its environment. Humpback whales have been seriously depleted through whaling, and while most populations recovered and are increasing, some populations remain small and are classified as 'endangered'. Breeding assemblages (stocks) in the North Pacific are estimated to consist of up to 10,000 whales, but can be as small as only a few hundred animals in other ocean basins. With the ongoing gas and oil development in the Arctic in addition to ocean noise, entanglement, and ship strike risks, it is important to identify distinct breeding stocks within populations, especially if they are small, as well as their critical habitats to assess potential anthropogenic impacts and necessary management actions.

At a given breeding ground, all humpback whale males sing the same complex songs. These songs have a hierarchical and repeating series of units, phrases and themes. Songs differ between ocean basins, but there is debate about whether songs are similar between breeding assemblages within one ocean basin or different. In the North Pacific, humpback whales spend the summers in arctic regions such as Alaska and the Bering Sea and migrate to their tropical breeding grounds including Hawaii, Mexico, southern Japan and the Philippines. However, an extensive population study (SPLASH) has revealed that many of the whales feeding in the Aleutian Islands and the Bering Sea are not observed in known breeding areas in the North Pacific. The Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI), 1,800 km northwest of the main Hawaiian Islands (MHI), have been proposed as a previously undocumented wintering area and recent preliminary findings
suggest that this wintering area may be distinct from the MHI breeding ground. If songs from different breeding groups are sufficiently different from one another, we can use acoustic comparisons to identify whether whales in the NWHI and MHI are part of the same breeding group.

Passive acoustic monitoring allows us to autonomously collect acoustic data over long timeframes. We will use this method to collect song recordings from different major breeding locations including the NWHI during one breeding season to analyze and compare their structures within and among breeding assemblages. Our study aims to improve the knowledge of variation in humpback whale song at the winter breeding grounds, provide a non-invasive tool to study population-specific humpback whale habitat use and behavior in the Monument, and further explore the population status of humpback whales in the NWHI.

EARs have been used to acoustically monitor Monument waters since 2006. Over the past several years, acoustic analyses have focused on documenting marine mammal occurrence and natural ambient sounds in order to establish baselines of activity for long-term comparisons. Considerable attention has been focused on the sounds produced by snapping shrimp, which are the most ubiquitous source of sound on coral reefs. Data collected in the Monument have so far yielded a wealth of information regarding temporal patterns of activity over periods of days, weeks and seasons.

Finally, deep water EAR deployments made in the past have been successful at recording vessel traffic at several sites. Both shipping and non-shipping traffic has been found in many recordings.
Section A - Applicant Information

1. Applicant

Name (last, first, middle initial): Kuegler, Anke

Title: PhD student, Marine Biology Graduate Program

1a. Intended field Principal Investigator (See instructions for more information):
TBD

2. Mailing address (street/P.O. box, city, state, country, zip):

3. Affiliation (institution/agency/organization directly related to the proposed project):
Marine Biology Graduate Program

4. Additional persons to be covered by permit. List all personnel roles and names (if known at time of application) here (e.g. John Doe, Research Diver; Jane Doe, Field Technician):
Marc Lammers
Carl Meyer
TBD
Section B: Project Information

5a. Project location(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Land-based</th>
<th>Ocean Based</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nihoa Island</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necker Island (Mokumanamana)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Frigate Shoals</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner Pinnacles</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maro Reef</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laysan Island</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisianski Island, Neva Shoal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl and Hermes Atoll</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midway Atoll</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kure Atoll</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow water  Deep water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Remaining ashore on any island or atoll (with the exception of Midway & Kure Atolls and Field Camp staff on other islands/atolls) between sunset and sunrise.

NOTE: There is a fee schedule for people visiting Midway Atoll National Wildlife Refuge via vessel and aircraft.

Location Description:
Although exact locations of acoustic instrument deployment are cruise dependent, the preferred locations we intend to target are:

- Lisianski (Papaāpoho): 26.06°N 173.97°W
- Maro Reef (Nalukākala): 25.42°N 170.59°W
- French Frigate Shoals (Kānemiloa'i): 23.75°N 166.15°W

with the following locations being considered as alternatives if the preferred locations are not approached:

- Kure (Moku'papa): 28.42°N 178.33°W
- Midway (Pihemanu): 28.20°N 177.35°W
- Pearl/Hermes (Holoikauaua): 27.93°N 175.74°W
- Laysan (Kauō): 25.77°N 171.73°W
- Gardner Pinnacles (Pūhāhonu): 25.02°N 167.98°W
- Necker (Mokumanamana): 23.57°N 164.70°W
- Nihoa (Moku Manu): 23.06°N 161.92°W
Attached is a map showing the tentative locations for EAR mooring deployments. The final locations will be determined by the cruise's itinerary, which will be set by other priorities, logistics and weather.

5b. Check all applicable regulated activities proposed to be conducted in the Monument:

- Removing, moving, taking, harvesting, possessing, injuring, disturbing, or damaging any living or nonliving Monument resource
- Drilling into, dredging, or otherwise altering the submerged lands other than by anchoring a vessel; or constructing, placing, or abandoning any structure, material, or other matter on the submerged lands
- Anchoring a vessel
- Deserting a vessel aground, at anchor, or adrift
- Discharging or depositing any material or matter into the Monument
- Touching coral, living or dead
- Possessing fishing gear except when stowed and not available for immediate use during passage without interruption through the Monument
- Attracting any living Monument resource
- Sustenance fishing (Federal waters only, outside of Special Preservation Areas, Ecological Reserves and Special Management Areas)
- Subsistence fishing (State waters only)
- Swimming, snorkeling, or closed or open circuit SCUBA diving within any Special Preservation Area or Midway Atoll Special Management Area
6. Purpose/Need/Scope State purpose of proposed activities:
To determine humpback whale wintering activities at different sites within the Monument. To characterize the structure and geographic variation of humpback whale song within the Monument by deploying up to four deep EARs at depths ranging from 100 m to 500 m in order to record humpback whale song for one year, encompassing the complete breeding season. To determine the similarity or difference from song recorded within the Monument to song found on other major breeding grounds and assess population-specificity.

*Considering the purpose of the proposed activities, do you intend to film/photograph federally protected species? Yes ☐ No ☒

For a list of terrestrial species protected under the Endangered Species Act visit: http://www.fws.gov/endangered/
For a list of marine species protected under the Endangered Species Act visit: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/esa/
For information about species protected under the Marine Mammal Protection Act visit: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/laws/mmpa/

7. Answer the Findings below by providing information that you believe will assist the Co-Trustees in determining how your proposed activities are compatible with the conservation and management of the natural, historic, and cultural resources of the Monument:

The Findings are as follows:

a. How can the activity be conducted with adequate safeguards for the cultural, natural and historic resources and ecological integrity of the Monument?
We will be deploying and retrieving up to four EARs and they will be removed afterwards. The deep EARs that will be deployed are entirely passive and do no emit any sounds themselves. EAR moorings have been used to monitor marine mammals and other marine biota around the world and within the Monument for more than 10 years and are safe to the environment. They do not interfere with the behavior of nearby animals as they are entirely passive and no different fundamentally from any other moored instrument, such as a temperature sensor. In addition, their presence in the environment is temporary, as they will be removed the following year. Therefore, no cultural, natural and historic resources will be jeopardized by our activities and the ecological integrity of the Monument will be left undisturbed.

The four deep EARs that were deployed in the Monument in 2010 were recovered in 2011 from the following locations:
Kure Atoll          28° 20.052'  178° 15.195' depth = 123 m  
Nihoa              23° 04.474'  162° 04.967' depth = 405 m  
French Frigate Shoals 23° 44.373'  166° 23.473' depth = 372 m  
Lisianski Island   25° 54.207'  174° 01.373' depth = 374 m

Recovery of the instruments required that the anchoring weights be left on the ocean floor. Each mooring anchor was composed of a 75 lbs concrete garage post anchor and three burlap bags filled with sand weighing approximately 25 lbs each. No plastic bags were used. Although the exact substrate on which deployments were made could not be visually verified, deployment locations were selected based on the relative rugosity observed on the ship’s echosounder. To maximise the likelihood of landing the moorings on sand, the locations selected were the flattest that could be found in the area. The same deployment procedure and materials will be used for this year’s proposed deployments.

b. How will the activity be conducted in a manner compatible with the management direction of this proclamation, considering the extent to which the conduct of the activity may diminish or enhance Monument cultural, natural and historic resources, qualities, and ecological integrity, any indirect, secondary, or cumulative effects of the activity, and the duration of such effects?

We believe the deployment and recovery of the hydrophones is completely compatible with the management direction and will not jeopardize any of the Monument's cultural, natural, and historic resources, qualities and ecological integrity. We are very aware of the cultural and spiritual importance of the NWHI to the Native Hawaiian community. We understand that for many Native Hawaiians the NWHI represent a genealogical origin and that they are considered a return path for their spirits after death. We also understand and agree that it is important that all resources in the Monument be treated with both a high degree of respect and reverence. Therefore, we assure that deployment and recovery of the hydrophones will take Native Hawaiian cultural aspects into full consideration. All activities related to the hydrophones will be conducted in a way so as to have the smallest and shortest impact possible and no activities will be knowingly engaged in that are somehow disrespectful of Native Hawaiian cultural and spiritual practices.

EARs have been used to acoustically monitor Monument waters since 2006. Important findings obtained by deploying EARs in the Monument have involved documenting the occurrence of marine mammals in Monument waters. In 2011, a paper was published describing the occurrence of humpback whales in the NWHI. It demonstrated that the NWHI are an important wintering area for the north Pacific population, probably on par with the main Hawaiian Islands. Recent analyses suggest that the abundance of humpback whales in the NWHI have been rising over the past several years. In addition, fin whales and minke whales are being documented in Monument waters and subsequent analyses will focus on documenting trends associated with their occurrence.
Over the past several years, other acoustic analyses have focused on documenting natural ambient sounds in order to establish baselines of activity for long-term comparisons. Considerable attention has been focused on the sounds produced by snapping shrimp, which are the most ubiquitous source of sound on coral reefs. Data collected in the Monument have so far yielded a wealth of information regarding temporal patterns of activity over periods of days, weeks and seasons.

Finally, deep water EAR deployments made in the past have been successful at recording vessel traffic at several sites. Both shipping and non-shipping traffic has been found in many recordings. Analyses related to vessel traffic patterns are ongoing but have been temporarily suspended due to a lack of funding. They will be resumed as soon as new sources of funding have been secured.

c. Is there a practicable alternative to conducting the activity within the Monument? If not, explain why your activities must be conducted in the Monument.

The Monument has been proposed as a previously undocumented breeding ground of North Pacific humpback whales instead of whales just migrating through on their way to the major breeding ground in the Main Hawaiian Islands. Humpback whale song structure has been found to differ between distinct major breedings ground within the North Pacific. To explore humpback whale occurrence and to characterize the structure of song, hydrophones must be placed within the Monument and record during the breeding season. Acoustic data collection from within the Monument is a critical component of our study as we aim to draw comparisions of song structure within the Monument and those from known breedings grounds within the MHI.

The deep EAR deployments proposed for this year will help build on the data sets obtained nine years ago. An additional year of data collection with appropriate and adjusted sampling rates and duty cycles as well as concurrent sampling in the MHI will help to answer the question whether previously preliminary observed differences in song are a sampling artifact or caused by population-specificity. In addition, identifying this potential difference will give us a non-invasive tool to study behaviors of whales within the Monument and to identify population-affiliation of whales recorded outside the Monument and identify their migration patterns.

d. How does the end value of the activity outweigh its adverse impacts on Monument cultural, natural and historic resources, qualities, and ecological integrity? There will not be any adverse impact on the Monument so our activities should be considered as harmless. The anchoring materials that will be used do not contain any plastic of synthetic components and will therefore naturally decompose with time. We believe that the benefits of better understanding the importance of the Monument as critical humpback whale habitat as well as the population status of whales that might exclusively be using the Monument outweigh any temporary negative impacts from the abandonment of anchoring materials. In light of the recent delisting of North Pacific
humpback whales from the endangered species list, documenting the degree of difference in humpback whale wintering in the NWHI from whales in the MHI and potentially identifying them as a small and more vulnerable stock will bring positive media and public attention to the Monument, which will in turn help strengthen support for management goals. It will also help guide management decisions regarding required enforcement levels and will help gauge the effectiveness of current management efforts within and outside the Monument.

e. Explain how the duration of the activity is no longer than necessary to achieve its stated purpose.

The deep EARs that will be deployed will be deployed for a one-year period. This length of time is meant to maximize the monitoring period for humpback whales and ensures that song predominantly occurring during the breeding season (November - March) is captured as well as to conform to deployment and recovery logistics. Once deployed, we do not expect to be able to return to recover the instruments until the following year.

f. Provide information demonstrating that you are qualified to conduct and complete the activity and mitigate any potential impacts resulting from its conduct. Anke Kuegler has been working with acoustic EAR data since 2014 and was involved in multiple field efforts, including preparation, deployment, and retrieval of instruments, in Hawaii and San Diego. She is familiar with all the equipment and protocols involved.

Marc Lammers led the design of the EAR and has been deploying EARs in the NWHI and many other parts of the world since 2006. He has been involved in bioacoustic research for the past 22 years.

g. Provide information demonstrating that you have adequate financial resources available to conduct and complete the activity and mitigate any potential impacts resulting from its conduct. The field-based component of this project is supported by an allocation of ship time (July-September period, dates TBD) on the NOAA research vessel Hiialakai, from a line item in the budget of the Monument. EARs are donated by Oceanwide Science Institute. Subsequent data analysis is part of a dissertation in the Marine Biology Graduate Program at the University of Hawaii at Manoa.

h. Explain how your methods and procedures are appropriate to achieve the proposed activity's goals in relation to their impacts to Monument cultural, natural and historic resources, qualities, and ecological integrity.

The method we are applying, that is to record humpback whale song throughout the Monument, will allow us to acoustically investigate the relative population status of humpback whales wintering in the Monument and compare these findings to data collected in the Main Hawaiian Islands.

This type of information provides insight into the cultural, natural and historical resources of the Monument. These observational techniques will not affect the quality and ecological integrity of the Monument.
Each deep EAR mooring anchor will be composed of a 75 lbs concrete garage post anchor and three burlap bags filled with sand weighing approximately 25 lbs each. No plastic bags will be used. Although the exact substrate on which the deployments will be made cannot be visually verified, deployment locations will be selected based on the relative rugosity observed on the ship's echosounder. To maximize the likelihood of landing the moorings on sand and away from any deep-water coral, the locations selected will be the flattest that can be found in the area.

i. Has your vessel been outfitted with a mobile transceiver unit approved by OLE and complies with the requirements of Presidential Proclamation 8031?
   Yes

j. Demonstrate that there are no other factors that would make the issuance of a permit for the activity inappropriate.
   These acoustic recordings are a continuation of research efforts that have been conducted for many years and throughout the history of the Monument by Marc Lammers and Whitlow Au at HMB. During these previous efforts, there have been no problems with permit violations by our research team, no safety issues, and no complaints of offensive behavior. Under these circumstances there are no other factors that would make the issuance of the permit inappropriate.

8. Procedures/Methods:
The four deep EARs will be deployed directly off the ship by dropping them overboard at sites ranging from 100-500 m in depth. EARs work on a duty cycle and collect data through out the year on a daily basis. The data will be analyzed with computer-based algorithms that will allow us to determine the occurrence of humpback whales and the structure of song within the Monument and characterize the degree of difference in song from song recorded at other breeding grounds.

NOTE: If land or marine archeological activities are involved, contact the Monument Permit Coordinator at the address on the general application form before proceeding, as a customized application will be needed. For more information, contact the Monument office on the first page of this application.

9a. Collection of specimens - collecting activities (would apply to any activity): organisms or objects (List of species, if applicable, attach additional sheets if necessary):

Common name:
N/A

Scientific name:
N/A
# & size of specimens:
N/A

Collection location:
N/A

☐ Whole Organism ☐ Partial Organism

9b. What will be done with the specimens after the project has ended?
N/A

9c. Will the organisms be kept alive after collection? ☐ Yes ☐ No
N/A

- General site/location for collections:
N/A

- Is it an open or closed system? ☐ Open ☐ Closed
N/A

- Is there an outfall? ☐ Yes ☐ No
N/A

- Will these organisms be housed with other organisms? If so, what are the other organisms?
N/A

- Will organisms be released?
N/A

10. If applicable, how will the collected samples or specimens be transported out of the Monument?
N/A

11. Describe collaborative activities to share samples, reduce duplicative sampling, or duplicative research:
N/A

12a. List all specialized gear and materials to be used in this activity:

12b. List all Hazardous Materials you propose to take to and use within the Monument:
N/A

13. Describe any fixed installations and instrumentation proposed to be set in the Monument:
We plan to deploy four deep EARs. These are temporary items and will be recovered the following year. EARs are moored with a concrete block and additional sandbags and attached to an acoustical release.

14. Provide a time line for sample analysis, data analysis, write-up and publication of information:
The EAR data will only become available when EARs are recovered, sometime in the summer of 2018. We expect the data from the EARs to be analyzed by the end of 2018. Write-ups usually take no more than an additional year, although the turn-around time for some journals can exceed 300 days, so time to publication can still be considerable post-submission of the study.

Results from these studies will be made available to the Monument, FWS, and state managers as quickly as possible. Brown-bag luncheons at HIMB allow researchers to highlight important or interesting new results and discuss them with the management personnel. In addition, HIMB holds an annual symposium during which researchers present the most current findings from their ongoing research in the Monument. These efforts ensure that research results are provided to the Monument co-trustees as quickly as they become available.

15. List all Applicants' publications directly related to the proposed project:


With knowledge of the penalties for false or incomplete statements, as provided by 18 U.S.C. 1001, and for perjury, as provided by 18 U.S.C. 1621, I hereby certify to the best of my abilities under penalty of perjury of that the information I have provided on this application form is true and correct. I agree that the Co-Trustees may post this application in its entirety on the Internet. I understand that the Co-Trustees will consider deleting all information that I have identified as "confidential" prior to posting the application.

Signature

Date

SEND ONE SIGNED APPLICATION VIA MAIL TO THE MONUMENT OFFICE BELOW:

NOAA/Inouye Regional Center
NOS/ONMS/PMNM/Attn: Permit Coordinator
1845 Wasp Blvd, Building 176
Honolulu, HI 96818
FAX: (808) 455-3093

DID YOU INCLUDE THESE?

☒ Applicant CV/Resume/Biography
☐ Intended field Principal Investigator CV/Resume/Biography
☐ Electronic and Hard Copy of Application with Signature
☐ Statement of information you wish to be kept confidential
☐ Material Safety Data Sheets for Hazardous Materials
Figure 1. Tentative locations of EAR deployments in the Monument. Red shows preferred deployment sites, yellow shows alternative deployment sites. Final deployment locations will be determined by the cruise's itinerary.
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
Compliance Information Sheet

1. Updated list of personnel to be covered by permit. List all personnel names and their roles here (e.g. John Doe, Diver; Jane Doe, Field Technician, Jerry Doe, Medical Assistant):
Anke Kuegler – Principal Investigator
Marine Biology Graduate Program, University of Hawaii at Manoa
(808) 339-1676
akuegler@hawaii.edu

Dr. Marc Lammers – Principal Investigator’s Professor
Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, University of Hawaii at Manoa
(808) 375-0010
lammers@hawaii.edu

Dr. Carl Meyer – Principal Field Investigator
Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, University of Hawaii at Manoa
(808) 428-4819
carlm@hawaii.edu

Dr. Mark Deakos – Field Technician
Hawaii Association for Marine Education Research
(808) 280-6448
contact@hamerinhawaii.org

2. Specific Site Location(s): (Attach copies of specific collection locations):
Lisianski (Papaāpoho): 26.06°N 173.97°W
French Frigate Schools (Kānemilohai‘i): 23.75°N 166.15°W

Deployment locations will be flat, sandy bottom areas at 100 m to 500 m depth. The exact locations will be determined on-site with the help of the ship’s echo sounder.

3. Other permits (list and attach documentation of all other related Federal or State permits): N/A

3a. For each of the permits listed, identify any permit violations or any permit that was suspended, amended, modified or revoked for cause. Explain the circumstances surrounding the violation or permit suspension, amendment, modification or revocation. N/A
4. Funding sources (Attach copies of your budget, specific to proposed activities under this permit and include funding sources. See instructions for more information):

All instrumentation is supplied in-kind by Oceanwide Science Institute.

5. Time frame:
Activity start: 09/06/2017
Activity completion: approx. 09/2018

Dates actively inside the Monument:
From: 09/06/2017
To: 09/30/2017

Dates in 2018 to be determined.

Describe any limiting factors in declaring specific dates of the proposed activity at the time of application:
The dates in the Monument are defined by NOAA cruises going into the Monument and the cruise schedule to visit the specific locations, which may be influenced by other factors such as weather. To date, information for a NOAA cruise to go into the Monument in 2018 are not available. Personnel will only be in the Monument during the cruises; instruments will remain into the Monument until they can be picked up during the 2018 cruise.

Personnel schedule in the Monument:
Dr. Mark Deakos
Complete NOAA cruise
09/06/2017-09/30/2017; 2018 to be determined

6. Indicate (with attached documentation) what insurance policies, bonding coverage, and/or financial resources are in place to pay for or reimburse the Monument trustees for the necessary search and rescue, evacuation, and/or removal of any or all persons covered by the permit from the Monument:

Coverage through the University of Hawaii

7. Check the appropriate box to indicate how personnel will enter the Monument:

Compliance Information Sheet 2
Provide Vessel and Aircraft information: NOAA vessel Hiialakai

8. The certifications/inspections (below) must be completed prior to departure for vessels (and associated tenders) entering the Monument. Fill in scheduled date (attach documentation):

☐ Rodent free, Date:
☐ Tender vessel, Date:
☐ Ballast water, Date:
☐ Gear/equipment, Date:
☐ Hull inspection, Date:

9. Vessel information (NOTE: if you are traveling aboard a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration vessel, skip this question):
Vessel name:
Vessel owner:
Captain’s name:
IMO#:
Vessel ID#:
Flag:
Vessel type:
Call sign:
Embarkation port:
Last port vessel will have been at prior to this embarkation:
Length:
Gross tonnage:
Total ballast water capacity volume (m3):
Total number of ballast water tanks on ship:
Total fuel capacity:
Total number of fuel tanks on ship:
Marine Sanitation Device:
Type:

Explain in detail how you will comply with the regulations regarding discharge in the Monument. Describe in detail. If applicable, attach schematics of the vessel’s discharge and treatment systems:

Other fuel/hazardous materials to be carried on board and amounts:
Provide proof of a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of Law Enforcement-approved Vessel Monitoring System (VMS). Provide the name and contact information of the contractor responsible for installing the VMS system. Also describe VMS unit name and type:

VMS Email:
Inmarsat ID#:

* Individuals MUST ENSURE that a type-approved VMS unit is installed and that its automatic position reports are being properly received by the NOAA OLE system prior to the issuance of a permit. To make sure your VMS is properly configured for the NOAA OLE system, please contact NOAA OLE at (808) 725-6110 or (808) 725-6100.

* PERMITS WILL NOT BE ISSUED TO INDIVIDUALS ENTERING THE MONUMENT VIA VESSEL UNTIL NOAA OLE HAS CONTACTED THE MONUMENT PERMIT COORDINATOR WITH A 'POSITIVE CHECK' READING.

10. Tender information:

On what workboats (tenders) will personnel, gear and materials be transported within the Monument? List the number of tenders/skiffs aboard and specific types of motors: N/A
Additional Information for Land Based Operations  – N/A

11. Proposed movement of personnel, gear, materials, and, if applicable, samples:

12. Room and board requirements on island:

13. Work space needs:

DID YOU INCLUDE THESE?
☒ Map(s) or GPS point(s) of Project Location(s), if applicable
☐ Funding Proposal(s)
☐ Funding and Award Documentation, if already received
☐ Documentation of Insurance, if already received
☐ Documentation of Inspections
☐ Documentation of all required Federal and State Permits or applications for permits
**Figure 1.** Locations of EAR deployments in the Monument. Final specific deployment locations will be determined on-site after surveying for suitable habitats/bottom conditions.
TO: Division of Aquatic Resources File

THROUGH: Suzanne D. Case, Chairperson

FROM: Maria Carnevale
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument

DECLARATION OF EXEMPTION FROM THE PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CHAPTER 343, HRS AND CHAPTER 11-200 HAR, FOR PAPAHĀNAUMUKUĀKEA MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENT RESEARCH PERMIT TO ANKE KUEGLER, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, FOR ACCESS TO STATE WATERS TO CHARACTERIZE HUMPBACK WHALE USE AND POPULATION STATUS UNDER PERMIT PMNM-2017-014

The following permitted activities are found to be exempted from preparation of an environmental assessment under the authority of Chapter 343, HRS and Chapter 11-200, HAR:

Project Title:

Permit Number: PMNM-2017-014

Project Description:

The applicant proposes to deploy and retrieve up to four deep water Ecological Acoustic Recorders (EARs) to depths ranging from 100 m to 500 m that would be used to record humpback whale song. Up to four personnel would be authorized to conduct deployments. The applicant would not access PMNM. However, personnel conducting separately permitted research activities during the 2017 Northwestern Hawaiian Islands Reef Assessment Monitoring Program (dates from September 6 – 30, 2017) would assist with deploying EARs for the applicant. The applicant requests to deploy EARs at all islands/atoll in PMNM, but actual sites would be dependent on cruise logistics and which island/atoll area is visited.

The activity would help to understand humpback whale population-specific habitat use and explore the population status of humpback whales wintering within the Monument. The applicant aims to collect data to compare humpback whale song occurring within the Monument to song from other...
breeding grounds such as the Main Hawaiian Islands. This research would aim to help understand whether whales wintering within the Monument are part of a discrete stock.

To safeguard Monument resources the applicant would abide by the following PMNM Best Management Practices (BMPs) while conducting the aforementioned activities within the PMNM: Best Management Practices for Boat Operations and Diving Activities (BMP #004); Marine Wildlife Viewing Guidelines (BMP #010); Disease and Introduced Species Prevention Protocol for Permitted Activities in the Marine Environment (BMP #011), and Maritime Heritage BMP (BMP #017).


Consulted Parties:
The permit application was sent out for review and comment to the following scientific and cultural entities: Hawai‘i Division of Aquatic Resources, Hawai‘i Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (NOAA/NOS), NOAA Pacific Islands Regional Office (NOAA-PIRO), United States Fish and Wildlife Service Hawaiian and Pacific Islands National Wildlife Refuge Complex Office, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA). In addition, the permit application has been posted on the Monument Web site since March 28, 2017, giving the public an opportunity to comment. The application was posted within 40 days of its receipt, in accordance with the Monument’s Public Notification Policy.

Exemption Determination:
After reviewing HAR § 11-200-8, including the criteria used to determine significance under HAR § 11-200-12, DLNR has concluded that the activities under this permit would have minimal or no significant effect on the environment and that issuance of the permit is categorically exempt from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment based on the following analysis:

1. All activities associated with this permit; including the underwater baited surveys have been evaluated as a single action. As a preliminary matter, multiple or phased actions, such as when a group of actions are part of a larger undertaking, or when an individual project is precedent to or represents a commitment to a larger project, must be grouped together and evaluated as a single action. HAR § 11-200-7. This analysis will treat all planned activities (deployment and future planned retrieval) as a single action.

2. The Exemption Class for Scientific Research with no Serious or Major Environmental Disturbance Appears to Apply. Chapter 343, HRS, and § 11-200-8, HAR, provide for a list of classes of actions exempt from environmental assessment requirements. HAR §11-200-8.A.5. exempts the class of actions which involve “basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource.” The proposed passive listening stations here appear to fall squarely under the exemption class #5, exempt item #3 as described under the Department’s

ITEM F-6c
exemption list published on June 5, 2015. This exemption class has been interpreted to include “14. Implanting transponders and affixing tags, transmitters, markers, or other similar devices to birds, mammals, invertebrates, or aquatic organisms to record movement, longevity, growth, distribution, behavior, and other activities; taking disease or blood samples from birds, mammals, invertebrates, or aquatic organisms; and placing remote monitoring devices (to determine animal movement), cameras, equipment and feeders.”, such as those being proposed. As discussed below, no significant disturbance to any environmental resource is anticipated by this deployment action. Thus, so long as the below considerations are met, an exemption class should include the action now contemplated.

To safeguard Monument resources the Applicant would abide by the PMNM Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed earlier in this document and detailed below. PMNM: Best Management Practices for Boat Operations and Diving Activities (BMP #004); General Storage and Transport Protocols for Collected Samples (BMP #006); Marine Wildlife Viewing Guidelines (BMP #010); and Disease and Introduced Species Prevention Protocol for Permitted Activities in the Marine Environment (BMP #011).

3. Cumulative Impacts of Actions in the Same Place and Impacts with Respect to the Potentially Particularly Sensitive Environment Will Not be Significant. Even where a categorical exemption appears to include a proposed action, the action cannot be declared exempt if “the cumulative impact of planned successive actions in the same place, over time, is significant, or when an action that is normally insignificant in its impact on the environment may be significant in a particularly sensitive environment.” HAR § 11-200-8.B. To gauge whether a significant impact or effect is probable, an exempting agency must consider every phase of a proposed action, any expected primary and secondary consequences, the long-term and short-term effects of the action, the overall and cumulative effect of the action, and the sum effects of an action on the quality of the environment. HAR § 11-200-12. Examples of actions which commonly have a significant effect on the environment are listed under HAR § 11-200-12.

Proposed activities would be a continuation of activities permitted in the Monument from 2008 to 2015. Similar deployments of EARS have also been permitted and performed within the NWHI. Past permitted projects including similar collections and techniques have shown no adverse impacts. With that mind, significant cumulative impacts are not anticipated as a result of this activity, and numerous safeguards further ensure that the potential sensitive environment of the project area will not be significantly affected. All activities will be conducted in a manner compatible with the management direction of the Monument Proclamation in that the activities do not diminish Monument resources, qualities, and ecological integrity, or have any indirect, secondary, cultural, or cumulative effects. The joint permit review process did not reveal any anticipated indirect or cumulative impacts that would occur as a result of these activities.

These activities would be conducted from the NOAA ship HI‘IALAKAI (separately permitted). No other research activities have been permitted for this cruise that would target the same species and this proposed permit. Though the potential permits may occur in the same area, each project differs logistically and targets interaction with different resources. Therefore, since no significant
cumulative impacts or significant impacts with respect to any particularly sensitive aspect of the project area are anticipated, the categorical exemptions identified above should remain applicable.

4. **Overall Impacts will Probably be Minimal and Insignificant**  Any foreseeable impacts from the proposed activity will probably be minimal, and further mitigated by general and specific conditions attached to the permit. Specifically, all conservation and management activities covered by this permit will be carried out with strict safeguards for the natural, historic, and cultural resources of the Monument as required by Presidential Proclamation 8031, other applicable law and agency policies and standard operating procedures.

**Conclusion.** Upon consideration of the permit to be approved by the Board of Land and Natural Resources, the potential effects of the above listed project as provided by Chapter 343, HRS and Chapter 11-200 HAR, have been determined to be of probable minimal or no significant effect on the environment and exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment.