

From: rtlivefish@gmail.com
To: [DLNR.BLNR.Testimony](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] BLNR hearing 8-27-21
Date: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:05:56 AM
Attachments: [Cole_2009_Size-dependent-and-age-based-female-fecundity-and-reproductive-output-for-Mulloidichthys-flavolineatus-M.-vanicolensis-P.porphyreus_July-2009.pdf](#)

To: blnr.testimony@hawaii.gov 8-23-21

Testimony BLNR Meeting 8-27-21 DAR Section F. DAR Item re: Kahului FMA Maui 'Oama. Please allow me to join in with oral testimony too.

Mulloidichthys flavolineatus has a widespread distribution worldwide. Most reef fish are amazingly efficient effective breeders! Oama are found at very deep depths and favors sandy areas. It should **not be at risk for overtake** due to its large distribution and depth range (deep refuge). That said protecting some areas from take is a prudent path for management. Being in that fishermen can only access this fish for bait maybe form this harbor and bays makes it important to them. Maybe closing some and not all the area should be considered. Where else can the collect 'Oama? I support FRA's in management but allowing other take in the area and limiting only this species may not be effective at real management. Banning all activities should be considered with all new FRA designated areas.

Serious consideration into this topic should be considered, especially in regards to the info below. We need our fisheries desperately right now in a well-managed sustainable way!

The 8 inch rule would protect the juveniles, only allowing capture of mature (mostly) individuals. Details See file attached.

Thank you again for your time and consideration,

Ron Tubbs B.S. N.D.

<https://mauinow.com/2021/07/07/proposed-amendments-to-kahului-harbor-fisheries-area-maui-oama-rules/>

<https://www.fishbase.de/summary/mulloidichthys-flavolineatus>

Support with comments, we need to look at the big picture when it comes to management. Please read below.

Tourism:

**Highest Carbon Footprint, Biggest Source of Ecology Impacts to Hawaii
And How Tourism Effects Local Fisheries**

“Everyday tourism to Hawaii creates more CO2 gas than if you drive your car for 13,000 years”.

Tourism is the most unsustainable activity in Hawaii. Why in the name of tourism is the sustainable aquarium fishery shut down then? [Science](#) does not support this decision!

For the month of May 2021 [Hawaii imported](#) 179.2 million dollars in goods and exported only 23.85 million in goods. Hawaii's dependence on tourism has led us down a dangerous road of unsustainability and high carbon footprints. Hawaii contributes more than most states to our earths global warming. We must change!

The number of pounds of CO2 per passenger per mile for air travel is .82. Long flights overseas produce even more CO2. The above total was based on 2400 miles to California only. Most tourist

flights are from farther destinations, so this estimate is very low! With 1968 pounds of CO2 per passenger one way and 30,000 passengers, means round trip that 118 million pounds of CO2 is emitted daily on travel to Hawaii. That is the daily travel equivalent of driving your car for 12,826 years every day!

The average car produces 4.6 tons a year in CO2. Overseas tourism is one of the highest CO2 carbon footprint activities in the world!

Car rentals, travel in state, construction and development and the runoff incurred with these activities also greatly impacts the environment.

Tourism forces “sustainable fisheries” from the best fishing areas in Hawaii. Culturally Hawaiians have relied on fishing for subsistence. The Hawaii aquarium fishery has been forced out of fishing grounds, been attacked with unsubstantiated claims for years. Recently the fishery was stopped due to tourism backed lawsuits. Tourist want to be the only ones who profit or benefit from our oceans. Fisheries are in their way. Hawaiians can’t afford to live in Hawaii as they are being forced out by the rich tourists. Hawaiians who work for tourism unknowingly enable some of the largest environmental impacts to Hawaii’s oceans. With global warming increases due to tourism activates our oceans have already begun to suffer. That is not “pono”.

Tourist groups complain there are no more fish at their dive and snorkeling sites. A [recent study](#) showed the return of fish to Hanauma Bay when tourism stopped due to covid. Now that covid tourism has returned to the bay, [fish are disappearing](#) again! The large groups of tourists have scared the fish out of these areas. Fishermen rotate their sites to prevent overfishing. Tourist return to areas repeatedly with larger number greatly effecting the ecosystem of these areas. Large people represent predators to fish. It is not the aquarium fishermen who have led to fish decline in their dive sites. It is tourism! Fishermen do not dive tourist areas!

For far too long commercial ocean tour groups have impacted Hawaii’s environment and marine life (<http://www.oceanwidescience.org/PDF/Whale%20collision%20report.PDF>) without regulations. It has increased over the years exponentially and is not sustainable and the damage done to Hawaii’s and the world’s environment may be irreversible.

Maui tourist whale watching tours hit whales and caves! Many of the nationwide 115 whales ran into are done by Hawaii whale watching and dolphin tours every year! (<https://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/science/sentinel-site-program/hawaiihumpbackwhale/vessel-impacts.html>).



Whales Dolphin’s, turtles and fish at snorkel and scuba dive sites are relentlessly pursued, harassed and hurt by large numbers of tourists. Corals are stepped on, trampled and anchors dragged through them. Tourists take corals and shells as souvenirs home with them greatly impacting the environment (<https://seasidewithemily.com/marine-tourism-hawaii-sustainable/>).

Boat strikes humpback whale calf off south Maui. 70 children on board watch as boat hits whale mom and calf!

<https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2019/03/30/boat-strikes-humpback-whale-calf-off-south-maui/>

8 million visitors on average per year participated in Hawaii Marine commercial activities and greatly impacted Hawaii's oceans. From 2019

Snorkeling In Hawaii Is A Leading Cause Of Tourist Deaths ...

<https://www.civilbeat.org/2016/01/stand-up-or-die-s...>

Tour rental companies provide no education or training for snorkel rentals. You only must sign a liability release form. This had led to deaths and lawsuits.

The number of tourists has increased 65% over the last 20 years and is only expected to continue to rise. Over 80% of these tourists participate in marine tourism activities throughout the five main islands; Oahu, Maui, Kauai, the Big Island, and Lanai. Marine tourism includes active activities such as scuba diving and snorkeling, as well as passive activities such as dolphin and whale encounters on boats. **Hawaii's tourism.**

Marine commercial ocean activities operators are generally willing to let guests do whatever they want if it ensures a good review – even if their behavior is harmful.

Even passive activities such as whale or dolphin watching from a boat can have a long-lasting impact on these animals. Vocalization is the primary sense of cetaceans and is used to communicate, navigate, and locate prey. These vocalization patterns are altered by the presence of tour boats. In humpback whales, this can affect the song phase and duration leading to animal stress and confusion. Sleeping spinner dolphins are also affected by these excursions from boats. Spinner dolphins feed off-shore at night and return to sheltered bays and coastlines during the day to rest and tend to their young. Unfortunately, this leaves them vulnerable to tour boats. Operators offering dolphin sighting excursions often target these bay areas because they know dolphins will be sleeping here. This interrupts crucial rests periods for dolphins, which leads to dolphin aggression and leaves them fatigued and more susceptible to prey later on.

Hawaii has many other marine species that are also protected under state law and the Endangered Species Act and Marine Protection Act. However, these policies are ignored in order to impress guests; for example, by removing an octopus from its resting place and making it ink. Touching a Hawaiian Green Sea Turtle is punishable by law under the Endangered Species Act and Hawaiian state law, however, many tourists are either unaware or indifferent to this law, and punishment usually is not carried out in these circumstances.

One of the most frequently observed damaging behaviors by tourists is the kicking, stepping on, and sitting on of coral reefs. Studies conducted on Oahu showed that over 30% of marine tourism businesses offered introductory diving for non-certified participants, which involved placing inexperienced divers directly into reef locations. These divers are unfamiliar with diving and thus unsure how to maintain buoyancy, which results in them constantly kicking or touching fragile coral.

Tourism in Hawaii also represents 17% of gross state product. Should marine tourism and human activity continue to have such a detrimental impact on our oceans, there may soon be no marine tourism industry in Hawaii, which would be devastating to the state's economy. In a larger perspective, quite simply if the oceans die, we die. The oceans are Earth's biggest carbon sink and without it, life on earth cannot survive. Industries like marine tourism with such an impact, and also such a voice, need to be change makers and pave the path for a more sustainable future.

The operation of commercial and recreational thrill-craft (e.g., water sledding, parasailing vessels and highspeed motor craft) may also adversely affect marine mammals and turtles in Hawaiian waters. Small, fast and highly maneuverable, these crafts increase the risk of collision between marine species and vessels. Their small size increases the risk of injury to vessel operators and passengers, while their high speed reduces the time for the animals and operators to detect and avoid collision. The state of Hawai'i prohibits parasailing and certain other boating activities in areas off the western and southern shores of Maui during the humpback whale breeding season. However, thrill-craft continue to operate in other Hawaiian waters where humpback whales are found.

Coral reefs are also vulnerable to impacts from vessels and can be damaged by improper anchoring and from ship groundings.

Carbon footprint of tourism Hawaii:

<https://www.civilbeat.org/2019/08/air-travels-carbon-footprint-takes-a-big-environmental-toll-in-hawaii/>

The carbon footprint of aviation overall is one of our biggest — and dirtiest — climate secrets,” said Jeff Mikulina, executive director of the [Blue Planet Foundation](#), which advocates for using clean energy.

<https://www.civilbeat.org/2019/08/air-travels-carbon-footprint-takes-a-big-environmental-toll-in-hawaii/>

https://climate.hawaii.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Public-Testimony_04072021.pdf

Adding these we derive a total emissions of **over 18 million tons** from visitor air travel to Hawaii. It follows that, with 10 million visitors in 2019, the 'average' visitor's emissions burden is 1.8 tons CO₂(e) from his

round trip flight.

<https://sustainabletravel.org/issues/carbon-footprint-tourism/>

<https://www.epa.gov/greenvehicles/greenhouse-gas-emissions-typical-passenger-vehicle#:~:text=typical%20passenger%20vehicle%3F-A%20typical%20passenger%20vehicle%20emits%20about%204.6%20metric%20tons%20of,8%2C887%20grams%20of%20CO2.>

Over 10 Million visitors to Hawaii in 2019 created one of the biggest environmental impacts globally. Overseas travel to Hawaii emits over four tons of carbon dioxide per passenger roundtrip. (<https://www.vox.com/the-highlight/2019/7/25/8881364/greta-thunberg-climate-change-flying-airline>)!

The decline of fish is due to tourism at Hawaii's best protected fishing grounds is proven by below study. Tourist groups wrongly blame the aquarium fishery.

<https://finance.yahoo.com/news/fish-fewer-hanauma-bay-since-161400542.html>

<https://www.wfla.com/community/health/coronavirus/marine-life-in-hawaii-improving-due-to-lack-of-humans-during-pandemic/>

Whales, dolphins, turtles, fish, corals and marine life impacts by tourism well documented! Ticking tourist operations for marine mammal protection act violations has done nothing to stop them. Links:

<https://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/community/florida-keys/article251092309.html>

<https://www.mauinews.com/news/local-news/2021/01/researchers-probe-for-answers-to-snorkel-related-fatalities/>

<https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2021/06/11/hawaii-tourism-officials-call-more-sustainable-industry/>

<https://reefresilience.org/stressors/local-stressors/coral-reefs-tourism-and-recreational-impacts/>

<https://www.kitv.com/story/44090398/maui-sea-turtle-photo-causes-uproar-on-social-media>

<https://www.ecowatch.com/coral-reefs-destruction-tourism-conservation-2653139944.html>

<https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2021/06/02/survey-majority-of-residents-prefer-limiting-the-number-of-visitors-coming-into-hawaii/>

<https://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/science/sentinel-site-program/hawaiihumpbackwhale/vessel-impacts.html>

<https://www.kitv.com/story/41877870/whalevessel-collisions-nine-total-instances-reported-this-season>

<https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2019/03/30/boat-strikes-humpback-whale-calf-off-south-maui/>

<https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2019/04/02/hawaii-team-finds-many-humpback-whales-with-boat-strike-scarring/>

<https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2019/03/30/boat-strikes-humpback-whale-calf-off-south-maui/>

<https://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/science/sentinel-site-program/hawaiihumpbackwhale/vessel-impacts.html>

https://hawaiihumpbackwhale.noaa.gov/res/vessel_collisions.html

<https://hawaiihumpbackwhale.noaa.gov/science/permittedresearch.html>

Tourism Kills tourists!

[Stand Up Or Die: Snorkeling In Hawaii Is A Leading Cause Of ...](#)

<https://www.civilbeat.org/2016/01/stand-up-or-die-s...>

Jan 12, 2016 — *Stand Up Or Die: Snorkeling In Hawaii Is A Leading Cause Of Tourist Deaths* · *Civil Beat Stay Up To Date On The Coronavirus And Other Hawaii ...*

[Death In Paradise Is All Too Frequent For Visitors To Hawaii ...](#)

<https://www.civilbeat.org/2016/01/death-in-paradise...>

Jan 11, 2016 — But a *Civil Beat* review of tourist deaths over the last three and a half years suggests safety *is* far from the top concern when it comes to the ...

[dead tourist hanauma bay - Honolulu Civil Beat](https://www.civilbeat.org/2016/01/stand-up-or-die-s...)
<https://www.civilbeat.org/2016/01/stand-up-or-die-s...>

Here are some links from DNLR concerning Tourism related impacts:

"Division of Aquatic Resources | Coral Reefs" <https://dlnr.hawaii.gov/dar/habitat/coral-reefs/>

"Coral Reefs | Recreational Impacts to Reefs" <https://dlnr.hawaii.gov/coralreefs/recreational-impacts-to-reefs/>

In summary, **to deny the aquarium fishery FEIS for [proven sustainable aquarium fisheries](#) while continuing to allow tourism impacts to the environment is biased and non-scientific. Please end biased prejudicial decision making.**

Allowing aquarium fishers, and other sustainable fisheries to continue is in the best interest of Hawaii. The aquarium fishery is well studied, has cooperated with management and has low impacts. The fishery uses highly renewable resources proven sustainable way. The “Model Fishery” has done everything right with regards to movement. This cooperation should not be punished, but rather it should be cultivated. I ask that you consider approving the current Oahu FEIS at a future BLNR hearing.

Thank you again for your time and consideration,

Ron Tubbs B.S. N.D.

**Report to the Division of Aquatic Resources
Dingell-Johnson Sport Fish Restoration**

**Kathleen S. Cole, University of Hawaii at Manoa
July 24, 2009**

**Size-dependent and age-based female fecundity and reproductive output
for three Hawaiian goatfish (Family Mullidae) species,
Mulloidichthys flavolineatus (yellowstripe goatfish), *M. vanicolensis*
(yellowfin goatfish), and *Parupeneus porphyreus* (whitesaddle goatfish)**

The goals of this project were to address current deficits of knowledge regarding aspects of reproductive biology and life history for three Hawaiian goatfish species *Mulloidichthys flavolineatus* (yellowstripe goatfish), *M. vanicolensis* (yellowfin goatfish), and *Parupeneus porphyreus* (whitesaddle goatfish). Specifically, the activities of this project as proposed were as follows:

- (i) collect females of each species throughout Fall 2008 and identify when spawning ends in order to establish the duration of the 2008 reproductive season for each species;
- (ii) continue collections throughout Spring 2009 to determine the timing of the start of the 2009 reproductive season and compare this with the timing of the 2008 onset, in order **to identify possible annual variation in the timing of onset** (and by inference, possibly the duration) **of successive reproductive seasons**;
- (iii) target small, medium-sized and large adults at the beginning of the 2009 reproductive season to increase existing sample sizes for size/fecundity analyses in order **to improve the predictability of instantaneous female fecundity estimates** based on size alone; and
- (iv) carry out an otolith-based size-at-age analysis to **develop growth curves and growth rate estimates, estimates of age at first maturity, typical life span, and age-based variation in female fecundity.**

Three species of goatfish were investigated for this project: the yellowstripe goatfish, *Mulloidichthys flavolineatus*; the yellowfin goatfish, *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*; and the whitesaddle goatfish, *Parupeneus porphyreus*. Because of difficulties obtaining sufficient sample sizes from natural populations in the field, the majority of specimens were obtained from local fish markets. Each of three species was sampled monthly for six months (October 2008- March 2009) to establish temporal boundaries of the spawning season. All collected fish were measured (TL, standard length or SL, fork length or FL), then the gonad removed; both gonad and remaining body weight were independently weighed and recorded in order to calculate the gonadosomatic index (GSI).

All collected fish were measured (TL, standard length or SL, fork length or FL), then the gonad removed; both gonad and remaining body weight were independently weighed and recorded in order to calculate the gonadosomatic index (GSI).




Figure 5: SEM photograph of a ground and polished transverse section of a female *P.*

porphyreus measuring 19 cm FL. White arrowheads indicate daily circuli.

Preliminary otolith examination indicated that otolith circuli may be used to obtain good estimates of fish age (Fig. 5).

Otoliths were removed, cleaned of soft tissue, dried and stored. Gonadal tissues were fixed in formalin-based Dietrich's fixative. Both gonadal tissues and otoliths were identified by a matching catalogue number unique to the fish from which they were removed. Aging was carried out by first grinding and hand-polishing otoliths (protocol modified from Santana et al., 2006) then using both scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and light microscopy to image the otoliths and to provide circuli counts to generate age estimates.

Age-size and age-growth curves were constructed by co-plotting otolith-based age estimates with fork and standard lengths. A subset of fish from each sampling period were also be used for gonad histostructure analysis.

Histological and GSI data were compiled to meet the following goals:

- characterize gonadal state (immature, inactive, active) of collected females using oocyte characteristics established in the 2007-08 DAR Dingle-Johnson funded study to develop estimates of the proportion of reproductively active females present in the female population;
- document the occurrence of spawning activity, based on the presence of mature gametes and/or post-ovulatory follicles resulting from recent ovulation, to determine the onset of the 2009 spawning season; and
- calculate instantaneous fecundity among reproductively active females based on counts of mature ova per unit gonadal weight to add to the existing data set to improve current estimates.

Age estimates from otolith analyses were used to:

- develop species-specific female age/length relationships and growth curves;
- based on age/length relationships, calculate species-specific age/fecundity relationships; and
- determine earliest age of maturation for all three species

FECUNDITY-BASED DATA ANALYSES AND RESULTS

Length of the annual spawning season

The three goatfish species examined in this study all exhibited spawning seasonality, but showed slightly different seasonal patterns. The spawning period of the yellowstripe goatfish (*M. flavolineatus*) in 2008 was from April through June (Fig. 2). Over this same time period, the yellowfin (*M. vanicolensis*) had an extended spawning season, being reproductively active almost year-round, with lowest female fecundity occurring in the months of November, December and January (Fig. 3). During 2008, the whitesaddle (*P. porphyreus*) was only reproductively active from January through March (Fig. 4).

Spawning Seasonality *M. flavolineatus*




Figure 2. Female and male *Mulloidichthys flavolineatus* monthly GSI during 2008.

Spawning Seasonality *M. vanicolensis*




Figure 3. Female and male *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis* monthly GSI during 2008.

Spawning Seasonality *P. porphyreus*




Figure 4. Female and male *Parupeneus porphyreus* monthly GSI during 2008.

Annual variability in the onset of the spawning season

An absence of sufficient data for *M. flavolineatus* in both 2007 and 2009 precluded making estimates of annual variation in spawning season timing (Fig.5). *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*, for which there was a larger data set for all three years, showed similar patterns of spawning season onset and some variability over two years for winter declines in spawning activity (Fig.6). Due to extremely low sample sizes, no inter-annual analysis was possible for *P. porphyreus*.




Figure 5. Female *M. flavolineatus* monthly GSI's during summer 2007, all of 2008 and early spring 2009.




Figure 6 Female *M. vanicolensis* monthly GSI's during 2007, 2008 and early spring 2009.

Estimates of instantaneous fecundity

The relationships between batch fecundity and fork length for both *M. vanicolensis* and *M. flavolineatus* females were best explained by a power function indicating that batch fecundity increases exponentially with an increase in fish length (Figs. 7 and 8). Due to a very low sample size of mature females, batch fecundity was determined for only two *P. porphyreus* females, with 15,141 and 4,002 ripe oocytes (24 cm FL and 22.5 cm FL respectively). There was considerable variation in batch fecundity among females, ranging from 20,339 to 279,217 ripe oocytes for *M. vanicolensis* and ranging from 44,898 to 379,772 oocytes for *M. flavolineatus* (Figs. 7 and 8) indicating that females within the same size likely do not have equal reproductive output.




Figure 7. Batch fecundity vs. fork length for *M. vanicolensis*, n=16.




Figure 8. Batch fecundity vs. fork length for *M. flavolineatus*, n=10.

Otolith Aging-based Data Analyses

A single sagittal otolith from 50 *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*, 41 *Mulloidichthys flavolineatus* and 47 *Parupeneus prophyreus* was weighed, sectioned and read following the methods of Choat and Axe (1996) and Claisse et. al. (In Press).




Figure 9. *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*. Age vs. otolith weight linear regression ($R^2 = 0.83$, $p < 0.05$).




Figure 10. *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*. Size vs. age relationship: females (black circles), males (red circles), unidentified sex (green circle) and VBGF (black line). Note: mean size at age 0 may be over-estimated due to limited sampling of smaller individuals.

Mulloidichthys vanicolensis otoliths displayed a clear pattern of increments that were assumed to be annual. While annual periodicity of increment formation has not been

validated for *M. vanicolensis*, it has been for surgeonfish (Claisse et al. In Press) and parrotfish (Howard 2008) in Hawaii, and *M. vanicolensis* increments had a very similar structure.

There was a strong relationship between estimated *M. vanicolensis* age and otolith weight (Figure 9, linear regression: $R^2 = 0.83$, $p < 0.05$) which supports this assumption. The pattern of increments exhibited by *M. flavolineatus* and *P. prophyreus* otoliths were very irregular, providing inconsistent readings and therefore did not permit provide consistent readings and therefore did not permit age estimation.

The size vs. age relationship for *M. vanicolensis* (Figure 10) was modeled with the von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF). VBGF parameter estimates were: $L_{\infty} = 22.7$, $k = 1.3$, and $t_0 = -1.1$. The mean size at age 0 (i.e. mean size of individuals less than 1 yr) may be over-estimated due to limited sampling of smaller individuals. While there was some evidence of sexual size dimorphism (i.e., females larger than males), there was not adequate data to fit a separate VBGF to each sex.

The relationships between gonad weight and age (Figure 11), and gonado-somatic index (GSI) and age (Figure 12) were also plotted. There appears to be an increasing trend both for males and for females starting at age 1.




Figure 11. *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*. Gonad weight vs. age: females (black circles) and males (red circles).




Figure 12. *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis*. GSI vs. age: females (black circles), males (red circles) and unidentified sex (green circle).

Otolith Aging Summary

Unvalidated aging based on otolith circuli counts indicate that individuals of *M. vanicolensis* can live to at least 5 years of age. In the absence of making circuli counts, otolith weight may be used as a reasonable predictor of age in this species. Growth, as measured by fork length, starts to level off between 1 and 2 years of age. Both gonad weight and GSI show an increasing trend with age.

Species-specific female age/length relationships and growth curves

We were unable to construct species-specific female age/length growth curves due to a lack of robust female specific data for all three species studied. In addition, growth curves were constructed for *M. vanicolensis* only, with compiled data from both males and females. The otoliths sampled from *M. flavolineatus* and *P. porphyreus* females provided inconsistent readings and were unsuitable for ageing.

Species-specific age/fecundity relationships

Species-specific age/fecundity relationships were not constructed for *M. flavolineatus* or *P. porphyreus* because ageing was not completed for those species. From comparing age/length and fecundity/length relationships for *M. vanicolensis* we can conclude that there is a general trend towards increased fecundity with age, however, with a large degree of variation among individual females. For example, a 26 cm (4 year old) female can have nearly 300,000 eggs, whereas an 18 cm (1 year old) fish may only produce 20,000 eggs per batch. Additionally, variation in reproductive output for similar aged females may be due to seasonal affects, nutrition, and overall fish health. More work is necessary to determine the ages of females of known fecundity and those of *M. flavolineatus* and *P. porphyreus* in order to compare among the species.

Earliest age of maturation

Counts of otolith daily microincrements indicated that most females sampled were reproductively mature prior to reaching one year of age (Table 1). These micro-increment counts correspond well with the size versus age relationship constructed from *M. vanicolensis* otolith annuli (Fig. 10), indicating fish less than one year old ranging from 15 to 20 cm in length. The smallest reproductively active females observed were 16.5 cm FL and 18 cm FL for *M. vanicolensis* and *M. flavolineatus* respectively. Three-parameter logistic equations were used to estimate female size at 50% maturity (L_{50}) for *M. vanicolensis* and *M. flavolineatus*, but not for *P. porphyreus* because females smaller than 19.5 cm FL were not obtained. Fifty percent of *M. vanicolensis* females were mature by 17.5 cm FL and 100% mature at 20 cm FL and larger (Fig. 13). For *M. flavolineatus*, 50% of females were mature by 18.5 cm FL and 100% mature at 20 cm FL and larger (Fig. 14). Only one *P. porphyreus* female, measuring 24 cm FL, had a mean microincrement count in excess of 365 (Table 1).

Table 1: Daily micro-increment counts from transverse otolith sections from the smallest reproductively mature females of three Hawaiian goatfish.

Species	FL (cm)	# of Micro-increments		
		Count 1	Count 2	Average
<i>Mulloidichthys vanicolensis</i>	16.5	233	259	246
	18	210	236	223
	19.5	193	226	209.5
<i>Mulloidichthys flavolineatus</i>	19	303	336	319.5
	19.5	262	333	297.5
	21	285	300	292.5
<i>Parupeneus porphyreus</i>	24	396	445	420.5
	19	276	294	285
	21.8	296	317	306.5




Figure 13. Logistic regression model estimating female size at reproductive maturity (L_{50}) as a function of fork length for *Mulloidichthys vanicolensis* ($n = 118$); smallest mature female (arrow) was 16.5 cm FL; $L_{50} = 17.5$ cm FL.




Figure 14. Logistic regression model estimating female size at reproductive maturity (L_{50}) as a function of fork length for *Mulloidichthys flavolineatus* ($n = 95$); smallest mature female was 18 cm FL; $L_{50} = 18.25$ cm FL.

These new findings, in conjunction with current data sets derived from the DAR Dingle-Johnson 2007-2008 funded study can be used to develop management and conservation policies designed to protect existing goatfish populations that they may continue to play a role in economic and culture-based fisheries in Hawaii.

Overall Summary:

The development of appropriate protocols and expertise to provide valid estimates of reproductive status and reproductive output is critical to the successful long-term management of commercial and recreational fishery species. Species-specific characteristics of reproductive biology among fishery species are typically determined by collecting gonadal and total weights of individuals throughout the year (expressed as a ratio called the gonadosomatic index, or GSI) in order to determine length of spawning season and size-related variations in female fecundity. This allows for rapid estimates of seasonal reproductive output, but suffers from a lack of information on sources of significant variation in individual fecundity including age, size, condition, operational sex ratio and population density, to identify but a few. In short, all fish are not equal in terms of their ability to produce gametes.

Numerous Hawaiian goatfish species provide both a commercial and recreational fishery in Hawaiian waters, and there is good evidence that mullids respond well to protective measures (Williams et al. 2006). There have been some biological studies that have focused on, or included, one or more Hawaiian goatfish species (e.g. Maha 1969, Hobson 1972, 1974, Moffit 1979, Sorden 1982) but there is little detailed and consistent information on reproductive output. At present, a study in reproductive output, age and growth is underway for the manybar goatfish, *Parupeneus multifasciatus*, (R. Langston & K. Longenecker in prep., R. Langston, pers. comm.) but similar detailed information for other Hawaiian goatfishes is lacking. Documentation of gonad morphology and stage-specific characteristics of developing oocytes and ova among females in each of the yellowstripe

(weke'a), yellowfin (weke'ula) and whitesaddle (kūmū) goatfish were carried out with previous DAR Dingell-Johnson funding. Those findings provided a temporal series of gonadal tissues that indicated that the reproductive season for these three species starts in early spring.kj

However, there is still much that is unknown regarding the reproductive biology and reproductive output of these three Hawaiian goatfish species. The results of this DAR 2008-09 grant have significantly increased the predictive power of estimates of size-dependent and age-based female fecundity, species-specific durations for the spawning season and in some cases, annual variation in the onset of the spawning season.

Management significance:

In addition to the detailed reproductive biology findings that have been generated for the three targeted species of goatfish, the results of this project have had two additional important outcomes. The increase in available data generated from the 2008-09 DAR Dingle-Johnson funded study has greatly enhanced our ability to: (i) identify significant sources of variation in reproductive output (female fecundity) associated with size and age; and (ii) identify by size and/or age those females that make the greatest contribution to the reproductive output of the population and therefore should receive the greatest protection.

References:

- Choat JH, Axe LM (1996) Growth and longevity in acanthurid fishes; an analysis of otolith increments. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 134:15-26
- Claisse JT, Kienzle M, Bushnell ME, Shafer DJ, Parrish JD (In Press) Habitat-specific and sex-specific life history patterns of yellow tang, *Zebrasoma flavescens*, in Hawaii. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. In press.
- Hobson ES (1972) Activity of Hawaiian reef fishes during the evening and morning transitions between daylight and darkness. *Fishery Bulletin*: 70:715-740.
- Hobson ES (1974) Feeding relationships of teleostean fishes on coral reefs in Kona, Hawaii. *Fishery Bulletin*: 72:915-1031.
- Howard KG (2008) Community structure, life history, and movement patterns of parrotfishes: Large protogynous fishery species. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Hawaii at Manoa
- Maha CA (1969) The food and feeding habits of the Kūmū, *Parupeneus porphyreus*. M.s. Thesis, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, 188 pp.
- Moffit RB (1979) Age, growth and reproduction of the Kūmū, *Parupeneus porphyreus* Jenkins. M.S. Thesis, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu. 272 pp.
- Santana FM, Morize E, Lessa R (2006) Age and growth of the spotted goatfish, *Pseudupeneus maculatus* (Bloch, 1793) in Brazil, validated through marginal increment and oxytetracycline dyes in the sagittae. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 22: 132-137.
- Sorden CT (1982) Trophic relationships of goatfishes (Family Mullidae) in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. MS thesis, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, Hawai'i, 86 pp
- Williams ID, Walsh WJ, Miyasaka A, Friedlander AM (2006). Effects of rotational closure on coral reef fishes in Waikiki-Diamond Head Fishery Management Area, Oahu, Hawaii. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 310:139-149.