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BACKGROUND:

The Board of Land and Natural Resources (Board) has approved dozens of lease
extensions in the past decade pursuant to three legislative acts passed in 2011 and 2018,
namely: Act 207, Sessions Laws of Hawaii (SLH) 2011 (Act 207); Act 219, SLH 2011
(Act 219); and Act 149, SLH 2018 (Act 149). In 2021, the legislature passed a fourth
lease extension act, Act 236 SLH 2021 (Act 236), under which no lessee has submitted
an application to date, though some lessees have expressed interest in extensions under
this most recent act.

One of the 2011 legislative acts (Act 219) applied only to hotel and resort leases and
specifically required any lease extension to be based on the most current lease form and
leasing practices and policies of the Board. The leases that were extended pursuant to
Act 219 were accordingly updated as provided by the act. The other lease extension acts
did not include this express language.

The Department of the Attorney General (ATG) has construed the 2018 act, Act 149, to
allow for the updating of only directly negotiated leases, but not leases issued through the
public auction process. This may also be the case for lease extensions under Act 236
from 2021.

As discussed in more detail below, the acts lead to disparate results for lessees seeking
extensions depending on how their leases were initially issued. Land Division believes
the prospect of this disparate treatment merits bringing to the attention of the Board.
DISCUSSION:

Act 207 SLH 2011\(^1\)

Many of the State’s commercial, industrial, hotel and resort leases were issued at initial terms of 55 years, even though the maximum initial lease term allowed under Chapter 171, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), was and is 65 years for these types of uses. While Section 171-36, HRS, authorized lease extensions even before the passage of Act 207, the prior law provided that the aggregate of the initial term and any extension could not exceed 55 years.

In the Kanoelehu Industrial Area (KIA) in Hilo, many of the State’s commercial and industrial leases were issued in the early 1960s and their 55-year terms were set to expire around 2016. In 2011, a bill was introduced in the legislature to amend the statute to authorize lease extensions up to the 65 years permitted for an initial disposition. This led to the adoption of Act 207, which was later codified at Section 171-36, HRS. To qualify for a lease extension under the amended Section 171-36, the applicant must show the extension is either necessary to qualify for mortgage financing or to amortize the cost of substantial improvements without financing. As used in Act 207 and Section 171-36, HRS, the term “substantial improvements” is not quantified in any way.

In documenting the 10-year extensions under Act 207, Land Division used a short form extension document prepared and approved by ATG that essentially extended the leases on their original terms regardless of whether the leases were issued by direct negotiation or public auction. The rationale for this was that the 10-year extensions under Act 207 were relatively short-term bringing the leases to the full 65-year term at which they could have initially been authorized. About 45 leases were authorized for extension under Act 207.

Act 219 SLH 2011\(^2\)

The legislature passed Act 219 to authorize extension of hotel and resort leases up to a maximum of 55 years for lessees committing to making substantial improvements to their lease premises. “Substantial improvements” was defined in the act to mean:

any renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or construction of the existing improvements, including minimum requirements for off-site and on-site improvements, the cost of which equals or exceeds fifty per cent of the market value of the existing

---

\(^1\) A copy of Governor’s Message No. 1311 transmitting Act 207 to the legislature is attached as Exhibit A.

\(^2\) A copy of Governor’s Message No. 1323 transmitting Act 219 to the legislature is attached as Exhibit B.
improvements that the lessee or the lessee and developer install, construct, and complete by the date of completion of the total development.

As noted above, Act 219 expressly required the extended leases to be updated to the Board’s current lease forms, practices and policies. Three leases were extended pursuant to Act 219 before it sunned on December 31, 2015 and all four extended leases were updated to the current lease form.

**Act 149 SLH 2018**

Act 149 authorizes the Board to extend intensive agricultural, aquaculture, commercial, mariculture, special livestock, pasture, hotel, resort, or industrial leases in the Hilo community economic district, which is defined to include KIA, Waiakea Peninsula and nearby areas. Act 149 is patterned after Section 171-36, HRS, but adds a definition of “substantial improvements” to mean:

any renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or construction of the existing improvements, including minimum requirements for off-site and on-site improvements, the cost of which equals or exceeds thirty per cent of the market value of the existing improvements that the lessee or the lessee and developer install, construct, and complete by the date of completion of the total development.

Lease extensions under Act 149 cannot extend the original term of the lease by more than 40 years. Accordingly, for any lessee who has already secured a 10-year extension under Act 207 of a lease issued with an initial term of 55-years, the maximum extension allowed under Act 149 is 30 years.

As noted above, Act 149 does not include language similar to Act 219 that expressly requires the use of updated leasing forms. However, the Board has authority to impose that requirement even without express statutory language, at least for leases issued by

---

3 The requirement for updating leases extended under Act 219 to the current lease form is found at page 4 of the act, lines 4-10. Act 219 does not distinguish between public auction and directly negotiated leases. The four hotel/resort leases extended under Act 219 were all issued by public auction.

4 A copy of Governor’s Message No. 1250 transmitting Act 149 to the legislature is attached as Exhibit C.

5 There are actually two vehicles under Act 149 for a lessee to seek a lease extension: the first was codified at Sections 171-91 and -92, HRS, and is discussed above; the second was codified at Section 171-93, HRS, and involves a more complicated process under which no lessee has applied for an extension to date.
direct negotiation.\(^6\)

To date the Board has approved the extension of five leases under Act 149. One extension has been documented using the Board’s updated leasing forms, practices and policies – General Lease No. S-3742 (GL3742) to JH Moku Ola, LLC (JH Moku Ola). GL3742 was issued by direct negotiation. Similar lease extension documents were prepared for the three leases to Hawaii Planing Mill, Ltd. (HPM), which were also issued by direct negotiation.\(^7\) However, HPM objected to the use of updated forms, taking the position that Act 149 only authorizes the extension of the leases (issued in 1961) on their original terms and conditions. One of the main objections HPM has to the State’s lease forms is that the original leases provide that the improvements belong to the State at lease expiration, while the new lease forms give the State the option of accepting ownership of the improvements or requiring the lessee to remove them at its expense.\(^8\)

Another lease extension applicant under Act 149 is 69 Railroad, LLC (69 Railroad) who holds General Lease No. S-3624 (GL3624). GL3624 is distinguishable from GL3742 to JH Moku Ola and the three leases to HPM because 69 Railroad’s GL3624 was issued through the public auction process (also in 1961). Pursuant to State v. Kahua Ranch, Ltd., 47 Haw. 28, 384 P.2d 581 (1963), aff’d on reh’g, 47 Haw. 466, 390 P.2d 737, reh’g denied, 47 Haw. 485, 391 P.2d 872 (1964), public auction leases cannot be amended, even if the State and the lessee were both to agree to an amendment, or, in the case of the Kahua Ranch lease, where there is a mutual mistake of fact. Staff prepared a draft of a submittal for an extension of GL3624 but it has not been placed on a Board agenda yet because of the issues it presents.

First, the 69 Railroad lease does not contain language allowing the State to adjust the lease rent based on the sublease rent charged to the sublessee.\(^9\) While there is statutory authority under Section 171-36, HRS, for the Board to adjust the lease rent in such circumstances, staff understands the conflict between the original lease and the provisions of the statute prevents the application of the statute to GL3624.

\(^6\) Many of the KIA leases were issued by direct negotiation under disaster relief legislation enacted after the 1960 tsunami that impacted Hilo and other parts of the State.

\(^7\) The fifth Act 149 extension the Board approved is for General Lease No. S-3935 to Nagakura Trust (an auction lease) that is still in process.

\(^8\) The issue of who is responsible for the potential demolition of improvements at the end of a lease is an important one. In the case of the former Uncle Billy’s Hilo Bay Hotel, the leases for the property provided that the improvements belonged to the State at the end of the lease. When the leases expired, the improvements were in such poor condition that the County of Hawaii ordered the closure of the hotel, which has been shuttered since 2017. A February 2018 professional estimate procured by Land Division put the cost of demolition of the improvements in excess of $8 million.

\(^9\) 69 Railroad reported 11 subleases on the lease premises of GL3624.
Second, similar to the HPM situation, the 69 Railroad lease provides that at the expiration of the lease, title to the improvements vests in the State. The current lease provision on ownership of improvements at lease expiration gives the State the option of assuming ownership or requiring the lessee to remove them at lessee’s expense. The State has used the current provision giving the State the option of ownership of improvements since about the mid-1960s. Yet, without an express provision in Act 149 authorizing the updating of lease forms, public auction leases such as GL3624 to 69 Railroad must remain in their outdated, original forms.

If the Board were to approve 69 Railroad’s extension request, under current law the result would be that the 69 Railroad lease is extended for 30 years (through 2056) on a 1961 lease form that does not allow the State to participate in sublease rents yet requires the State to remove the improvements upon lease expiration at State expense (assuming the improvements have outlived their useful lives after a 95-year aggregate lease term). On the other hand, for the HPM leases, whose extension the Board has already approved, the lease extension documents have been updated to include the standard language on subleasing and ownership of improvements at lease expiration.

Applying Act 149 so that one subset of leases is updated to the current lease form upon extension while another subset of leases is extended on their original forms gives the appearance of the State favoring public auction leaseholders, which would likely lead to complaints by the directly negotiated leaseholders.

The State’s lessees may argue that the easy solution is simply to extend all qualified leases on their original terms to avoid the potential for disparate treatment noted above. However, staff does not believe that extending all eligible leases on their outdated, original terms and conditions is in the best interest of the State.

Act 236 SLH 2021

Similar to Act 149, Act 236 authorizes the Board to extend commercial, industrial, resort, mixed use, or government leases up to 40 years when certain conditions are met, including a commitment to make substantial improvements to the premises. “Substantial

10 Subleasing is not currently an issue in the HPM leases as HPM occupies the entire lease premises for its own business.

11 Although the lease extension documents have been prepared, to date HPM has not signed them. Rather, HPM requested some revisions to the leases that are still under review by Land Division and ATG.

12 Of the twelve applications for Act 149 lease extensions received to date, half are for auction leases and half are for directly negotiated leases.

13 A copy of Governor’s Message No. 1364 transmitting Act 236 to the legislature is attached as Exhibit D.
improvements” means:

any renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or construction of existing improvements, including minimum requirements for off-site and on-site improvements, the cost of which equals or exceeds thirty per cent of the market value of the existing improvements, that the lessee or the lessee and developer installs, constructs, and completes by the date of completion of the total development.

Also like Act 149, Act 236 does not include language similar to Act 219 that expressly requires the use of updated leasing forms. Unlike Act 149, Act 236 has statewide applicability. But Act 236 has other restrictions, such as limiting eligibility to leases that have not been assigned or transferred within 10 years prior to the receipt of an application for a lease extension. Further, there are restrictions on the assignability of a lease within the first 10 years of the extension period.

To date, Land Division has not received any applications for lease extensions under Act 236. At least one lessee has expressed interest in an Act 236 extension and Land Division expects other will want to apply for extensions under this act. Staff further anticipates that the same issues discussed above (under the heading for Act 149) regarding the different results for auction leases vs. direct negotiation leases in terms of updating leases to the Board’s current forms, practices and policies will arise in the processing of Act 236 extensions.

Closing Remarks

Land Division would prefer to treat all Act 149 and Act 236 lease extension requests uniformly so that the leases the Board approves for extension are updated to the Board’s current form, practices, and policies. To do that may require amendments of the acts, which some of the State’s lessees will likely oppose. As the Board is aware, the House Investigative Committee to Investigate Compliance with Audit Nos. 19-12 and 21-01 (Committee), has been examining the Department’s leasing practices to determine if there are areas for improvement. In light of the amount of attention lease extension issues have received recently, both in the Committee hearings and in the 2021 legislative hearings on the bill that became Act 236, staff believes that it is in the State’s best interests to explore the possibility of appropriate amendments to Act 149 and Act 236.

---

14 Act 236 does include a requirement that no lease extension be approved unless the Board and the lessee/developer agree on terms and conditions of the development agreement. It is possible the Department and Board could take the position they will not approve a lease extension unless lessee agrees in the development agreement that its lease will be updated to most current form. However, this approach would still not address the Kahua Ranch issue discussed above (auction leases can only be extended upon their original terms and conditions), and does not advance the State’s interest in achieving uniformity in applying the various extension acts discussed here.
Respectfully Submitted,

Kevin E. Moore
Assistant Administrator

APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL:

Suzanne D. Case, Chairperson
EXHIBIT A
July 8, 2011

The Honorable Shan Tsutsui, President
and Members of the Senate
Twenty-Sixth State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

The Honorable Calvin Say, Speaker
and Members of the House
Twenty-Sixth State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 431
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Tsutsui, Speaker Say and Members of the Legislature:

This is to inform you that on July 8, 2011, the following bill was signed into law:

HB331 HD2 SD2 CD1 RELATING TO PUBLIC LANDS
Act 207 (11)

NEIL ABERCROMBIE
Governor, State of Hawaii
A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PUBLIC LANDS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. Section 171-36, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

"(b) The board, from time to time, upon the issuance or during the term of any intensive agricultural, aquaculture, commercial, mariculture, special livestock, pasture, or industrial lease, may:

(1) Modify or eliminate any of the restrictions specified in subsection (a);

(2) Extend or modify the fixed rental period of the lease; provided that the aggregate of the initial term and any extension granted shall not exceed sixty-five years; or

(3) Extend the term of the lease to the extent necessary to qualify the lease for mortgage lending or guaranty purposes with any federal mortgage lending agency, to qualify the lessee for any state or private lending institution loan, private loan guaranteed by the State, or any
loan in which the State and any private lender participates, or
to amortize the cost of substantial improvements to the demised
premises that are paid for by the lessee without institutional
financing, such extension being based on the economic life of
the improvements as determined by the board or an independent
appraiser; provided that the approval of any extension shall be
subject to the following:

(1) The demised premises have been used substantially for
the purpose for which they were originally leased;

(2) The aggregate of the initial term and any extension
granted shall not be for more than [fifty-five] sixty-five years;

(3) In the event of a reopening, the rental for any
ensuing period shall be the fair market rental at the
time of reopening;

(4) Any federal or private lending institution shall be
qualified to do business in the State;

(5) Proceeds of any mortgage or loan shall be used solely
for the operations or improvements on the demised
premises;
(6) Where improvements are financed by the lessee, the lessee shall submit receipts of expenditures within a time period specified by the board, otherwise the lease extension shall be canceled; and

(7) The rules of the board, setting forth any additional terms and conditions, which shall ensure and promote the purposes of the demised lands."

SECTION 2. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.

SECTION 3. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
EXHIBIT B
July 11, 2011

The Honorable Shan Tsutsui, President
and Members of the Senate
Twenty-Sixth State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

The Honorable Calvin Say, Speaker
and Members of the House
Twenty-Sixth State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 431
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Tsutsui, Speaker Say and Members of the Legislature:

This is to inform you that on July 11, 2011, the following bill was signed into law:

SB1530 SD1 HD2 CD1 RELATING TO PUBLIC LANDS
Act 219 (11)

Sincerely,

NEIL ABERCROMBIE
Governor, State of Hawaii
RELATING TO PUBLIC LANDS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1. SECTION 1. The legislature finds that many of the leases for hotel and resort properties on state land, such as in the Banyan Drive area in Hilo, Hawaii, are nearing the end of the lease term. Faced with the uncertainty of continued tenancy, lessees have little incentive to make major investments in infrastructural improvements and to ensure the long-term maintenance of the facilities. As a result, the infrastructure on these properties has been deteriorating.

Act 55, Session Laws of Hawaii 2000, attempted to address the problem of deteriorating hotel and resort infrastructure in east Hawaii on the island of Hawaii by authorizing the board of land and natural resources to issue new leases to the existing lessees in the Banyan Drive area. However, this Act was later found to be unconstitutional under article XI, section 5, of the Hawaii State Constitution.

The legislature finds that business lessees typically sell or assign their leases that are nearing the end of the lease terms at a discount, and believes that it would be unfair to the
prior assignors of the leases if the State granted extensions of leases that previously could not be extended under existing law or lease terms to the newly-assigned lessees who acquired their leases at a discount due to short remaining lease terms.

The purpose of this Act is to authorize the board of land and natural resources to extend hotel or resort leases that have not been sold or assigned within the last five years, for lessees who commit to substantial improvement to the existing improvements.

SECTION 2. Chapter 171, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new section to be appropriately designated and to read as follows:

"§171- Hotel or resort leases; extension of term. (a) Notwithstanding section 171-36, the board may extend the rental period of a lease of public lands for hotel or resort use upon the approval by the board of a development agreement proposed by the lessee or by the lessee and developer to make substantial improvements to the existing improvements. (b) Prior to entering into a development agreement, the lessee or the lessee and developer shall submit to the board the plans and specifications for the total development being
proposed. The board shall review the plans and specifications and determine:

(1) Whether the development proposed in the development agreement is of sufficient worth and value to justify the extension of the lease;

(2) The estimated period of time to complete the improvements and expected date of completion of the improvements; and

(3) The minimum revised annual rent based on the fair market value of the lands to be developed, as determined by an appraiser for the board, and the percentage of rent where gross receipts exceed a specified amount.

No lease extension shall be approved until the board and the lessee or the lessee and developer mutually agree to the terms and conditions of the development agreement.

(c) No construction shall commence until the lessee or the lessee and developer have filed with the board a sufficient bond conditioned upon the full and faithful performance of all the terms and conditions of the development agreement.
(d) Any extension of a lease pursuant to this section shall be based upon the substantial improvements to be made and shall be for a period not longer than fifty-five years.

(e) Any extension of a lease granted pursuant to this section shall be effectuated, documented, and executed using the most current lease form and leasing practices and policies of the board. The intent of this subsection is to ensure that an extended lease, like the issuance of a new lease, will be subject to the most current leasing practices and policies of the board, which shall be incorporated into the lease document.

(f) The applicant for a lease extension shall pay all costs and expenses incurred by the department in connection with the processing, analyzing, and negotiating of any lease extension request and document, and the development agreement under subsections (a) and (b).

(g) As used in this section, "substantial improvements" means any renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or construction of the existing improvements, including minimum requirements for off-site and on-site improvements, the cost of which equals or exceeds fifty per cent of the market value of the existing improvements that the lessee or the lessee and
developer install, construct, and complete by the date of
completion of the total development.

(h) As used in this section, "hotel or resort" means a
development that provides transient accommodations as defined in
section 237D-1 and related services, which may include a front
desk, housekeeping, food and beverage, room service, and other
services customarily associated with transient accommodations;
provided that no development shall qualify as a hotel or resort
under this section unless at least seventy-five per cent of the
living or sleeping quarters in the development are used solely
for transient accommodations for the term of any lease
extension."

SECTION 3. New statutory material is underscored.

SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2011;
provided that this Act shall be repealed on December 31, 2015.
July 6, 2018

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi,  
President  
and Members of the Senate  
Twenty-Ninth State Legislature  
State Capitol, Room 409  
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96813

The Honorable Scott K. Saiki,  
Speaker and Members of the  
House of Representatives  
Twenty-Ninth State Legislature  
State Capitol, Room 431  
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

This is to inform you that on July 6, 2018, the following bill was signed into law:

SB3058 SD2 HD2 CD1 RELATING TO PUBLIC LANDS  
ACT 149 (18)

Sincerely,

DAVID Y. IGE  
Governor, State of Hawai‘i

EXHIBIT C
A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PUBLIC LANDS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the State has a fiduciary duty to manage state lands in the best interests of the public by enhancing state revenues and promoting social, environmental, and economic well-being of Hawaii's people. As the majority landowner in East Hawaii, the State has an enormous influence on the vision, economic development, and overall success of the East Hawaii community.

The legislature further finds that, under existing laws, many public land lessees face uncertain futures following expiration of their leases. The legislature further finds that these lessees have little incentive to make major investments in infrastructural improvements or to ensure the long-term maintenance of facilities on the land. As a result, the infrastructure and facilities on public lands in East Hawaii have been deteriorating in many locations.

The legislature also finds that the Banyan Drive area on the Waiakea Peninsula in East Hawaii, Wailoa State Park, Wailoa Estuary, and the commercial leases in the Kanoelehua Industrial
Area are currently facing this difficult economic challenge. Due to the uncertainty regarding continued tenancy, despite East Hawaii being the center of tourism for the island of Hawaii, improvements have not been made and infrastructure has deteriorated, leaving the region underutilized and in disrepair. The legislature further finds that Hilo has the potential for increased growth that can improve workforce and affordable housing, parks and open space, public facilities, and commercial, industrial, and hotel facilities, and a pilot project in this area has the potential to revive public lands, resulting in more tax revenue and community revitalization, and be assessed to determine whether it can be replicated in other areas of the State.

The purpose of this Act is to establish a ten-year pilot project to authorize the board of land and natural resources to extend leases of public land in an area to be known as the Hilo community economic district to facilitate efficient and effective improvement, and economic opportunity, in the area for lessees who commit to making substantial improvements to the
existing improvements or constructing new substantial
improvements.

SECTION 2. Chapter 171, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
amended by adding a new part to be appropriately designated and
to read as follows:

"PART . HILO COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DISTRICT

§171-A Definitions. As used in this part:

"Hilo community economic district" means the area beginning
at the intersection of Manono Street and Kamehameha Avenue,
extending south to Piilani Street, east from Piilani Street to
Kalanikoa Street to include Hoolulu Park, and west from the
intersection of Manono Street and Piilani Street to tax map key
3-2-2-031-001, inclusive along the coastline to Maile Street
until its intersection with Kilauea Avenue and then to Aupuni
Street and beyond to include the following tax map keys until
intersection with Ponahawai Street: 3-2-2-013-003, 3-2-2-012-
001, 3-2-2-012-016, 3-2-2-011-01, and 3-2-2-007-018; extending
makai to tax map key 3-2-3-002-016, along the coastline and
including tax map key 3-2-2-001-006 until intersection with
Lihiwai Street; those lands bounded or abutting Lihiwai Street,
inclusive through Banyan Drive until its intersection with
Kamehameha Avenue; from Kamehameha Avenue at its intersection
with Kalanianaole Avenue and extending east on Kalanianaole
Avenue to include those abutting lands until tax map key 3-2-1-
010-033 on the mauka side of the road and tax map key 3-2-1-01-
1010 on the makai side of Kalanianaole Avenue, to include also
any of those lands on Ocean View Drive makai of Kalanianaole
Avenue and the lands mauka on Silva Street and Keaa Street; the
lands within the Hilo airport area managed by the department of
land and natural resources as identified on tax map key 3-2-1-
12; and the lands abutting or bounded by Kanoelehua Avenue
extending south to Makaala Street, then east on Makaala Street
to Railroad Avenue then north on Railroad Avenue until Leilani
Street and east on Leilani Street until tax map key 3-2-2-037-
144, then west on Leilani Street until its intersection with
Kanoelehua Avenue; and all those lands abutting or bounded by
Pohaku Street, Kukila Street, Halekauila Street, and Lanikaula
Street as identified on tax map key 3-2-2-58; on Kanoelehua
Avenue heading north from Makaala Street those lands mauka
including those lands on Makaala Street, Holumua Street, Pookela
Street, Wiwoole Street, and Kawili Street as identified on tax map key 3-2-2-049 and 3-2-2-050; then on Kanoelehau Avenue North from Kawili Street and Kalanikoa Street from Piilani Street until they intersect with Kamehameha Avenue.

"Substantial improvements" means any renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or construction of the existing improvements, including minimum requirements for off-site and on-site improvements, the cost of which equals or exceeds thirty per cent of the market value of the existing improvements that the lessee or the lessee and developer install, construct, and complete by the date of completion of the total development.

§171-B Lease restrictions. (a) The board, from time to time, upon the issuance or during the term of any intensive agricultural, aquaculture, commercial, mariculture, special livestock, pasture, hotel, resort, or industrial lease of public lands within the Hilo community economic district, may:

(1) Modify or eliminate any of the restrictions specified in section 171-36(a);

(2) Extend or modify the fixed rental period or the term of the lease upon approval by the board of a
development agreement proposed by the lessee to make substantial improvements to the existing improvements or to construct new substantial improvements so long as the length of any extension granted does not extend the original lease term by more than forty years; or (3) Extend the term and modify any provisions of the lease, to the extent necessary to qualify the lease for mortgage lending or guaranty purposes with any federal mortgage lending agency; to qualify the lessee for any state or private lending institution loan, private loan guaranteed by the State, or any loan in which the State and any private lender participates; or to amortize the cost of substantial improvements to the demised premises that are paid for by the lessee without institutional financing.

(b) Prior to entering into a development agreement, the lessee or the lessee and developer shall submit to the board the plans and specifications for the total development being proposed. The board shall review the plans and specifications
and, in determining whether to approve the development agreement pursuant to subsection (a)(2), consider:

(1) Whether the development proposed in the development agreement is of sufficient worth and value to justify the extension of the lease;

(2) The estimated period of time to complete the improvements and expected date of completion of the improvements; and

(3) The minimum revised annual rent based on the fair market value of the lands to be developed, as determined by an appraiser for the board, and the percentage of rent where gross receipts exceed a specified amount.

(c) An extension of the fixed rental period or term of the lease shall be based on the economic life of the substantial improvements as determined by the board or an independent appraiser; provided that the approval of any extension shall be subject to the following:

(1) The demised premises have been used substantially for the purpose for which they were originally leased;
(2) The length of any extension granted for the fixed
rental period of the lease shall not extend the fixed
rental period of the original lease by more than forty
years;

(3) The length of any extension granted for the term of
the lease shall not extend the original lease term by
more than forty years;

(4) If a reopening occurs, the rental for any ensuing
period shall be the fair market rental as determined
under section 171-17(d) at the time of reopening;

(5) Any federal or private lending institution shall be
qualified to do business in the State;

(6) Proceeds of any mortgage or loan shall be used solely
for the operations or substantial improvements on the
demised premises;

(7) Where substantial improvements are financed by the
lessee, the lessee shall submit receipts of
expenditures within a time period specified by the
board, otherwise the lease extension shall be
canceled; and
(8) The rules of the board, setting forth any additional terms and conditions, which shall ensure and promote the purposes of the demised lands.

d) The board, from time to time, during the term of any agriculture, intensive agriculture, aquaculture, commercial, mariculture, special livestock, pasture, hotel, resort, or industrial lease of public lands within the Hilo community economic district, may modify or eliminate any of the restrictions specified in section 171-36(a), extend or modify the fixed rental period of the lease, or extend the term of the lease upon a showing of significant economic hardship directly caused by:

   (1) State disaster, pursuant to chapter 209, including seismic or tidal wave, tsunami, hurricane, volcanic eruption, typhoon, earthquake, flood, or severe drought; or

   (2) A taking of a portion of the area of the lease by government action by eminent domain, withdrawal, or conservation easement; provided that the portion taken shall not be less than ten per cent of the entire
leased area unless otherwise approved by the board; provided that the board determines that the lessee will not be adequately compensated pursuant to the lease provisions.

(e) The approval of any extension granted pursuant to subsection (d) shall be subject to the following:

(1) The demised premises has been used substantially for the purposes for which they were originally leased;

(2) The rental shall not be less than the rental for the preceding term;

(3) The rules of the board, setting forth any additional terms and conditions which shall ensure and promote the purposes of the demised lands; and

(4) The length of the extension shall not exceed a reasonable length of time for the purpose of providing relief and shall in no case extend the original lease's fixed rental period by more than forty years.

(f) The applicant for any lease extension pursuant to this section shall pay all costs and expenses incurred by the department in connection with the processing, analyzing, and
negotiating of any lease extension request and document and of
the development agreement under subsections (a) and (b).

§171-C Lessees within the last ten years of their lease
terms; request for interest. (a) Notwithstanding any other
provision of law to the contrary, and except as otherwise
provided in section 171-B, a lessee of public land within the
Hilo community economic district that is classified as hotel,
resort, or commercial and industrial use pursuant to section
171-10, and that is subject to the management, administration,
or control of the board may, during the last ten years of the
term of the original lease, submit a written request to the
board to initiate a request for interest process as provided in
this section.

(b) Within one hundred eighty days of a lessee's written
request to initiate a request for interest, the board shall:

(1) Appraise the value of the land and any improvements to
the land that existed as of the date of the written
request pursuant to section 171-17(a) and require the
awardee of a new lease executed pursuant to this
section to reimburse the department for the appraisal;
and
(2) Publish a request for interest and request for
qualifications notice inviting persons to express
their interest in leasing the land and their
qualifications as potential lessees and describing any
improvements to the land that exist as of the date of
the written request. The notice shall be given at
least once statewide and at least once in the county
where the land is located and shall contain:
(A) The qualifications required of eligible lessees
which shall conform to department policy for new
leases;
(B) A general description of the land, including the
address and tax map key, the termination date of
the existing lease, and of any improvements to
the land that existed as of the date of the
written request;
(C) That the land to be leased is classified as hotel, resort, or commercial and industrial use pursuant to section 171-10;

(D) The appraised value of the land and of any improvements to the land that existed as of the date of the written request;

(E) The closing date and manner by which a person shall indicate interest and submit a statement of qualifications; and

(F) Notice that a current business plan is a prerequisite to participate at time of auction or direct negotiation, if applicable, and shall be made a term of the lease.

(c) Within ninety days after the closing date specified in the notice, the board shall determine if any persons have qualified under the terms of the request for qualifications and shall notify all persons who expressed interest as to whether they qualified. Qualified bidders shall be required to deposit an amount equal to one per cent of the value of the leasehold improvements as determined by appraisal, but not less than
$1,000, to be held in an interest bearing account as deposit by
the department and returned to the applicant at the applicant's
cancellation of interest, the applicant's unsuccessful bid at
auction, or as a credit against the applicant's successful bid
at auction. The board shall also notify the current lessee as
to whether any other persons qualified.
(d) The board shall proceed to dispose of the land in
accordance with section 171-41.6."

SECTION 3. Section 171-41.6, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
amended by amending its title and subsection (a) to read as
follows:
"[§]§171-41.6[§] Lessees within the last ten years of
their lease terms; requests for interest. (a) Notwithstanding
any other provision of law to the contrary, and except as
otherwise provided in sections 171-36(b) and (d) and 171-C, a lessee of public land that is classified as
commercial and industrial use pursuant to section 171-10, and
that is subject to the management, administration, or control of
the board may, during the last ten years of the term of the
original lease, submit a written request to the board to
initiate a request for interest process as provided in this section."

SECTION 4. The department of land and natural resources shall review the pilot project established by this Act and submit a report of its findings and recommendations, including any proposed legislation, to the legislature no later than twenty days prior to the convening of the regular session of 2019 and every year thereafter.

SECTION 5. In codifying the new sections added by section 2 of this Act, the reviser of statutes shall substitute appropriate section numbers for the letters used in designating the new sections in this Act.

SECTION 6. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.

SECTION 7. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2018, and shall be repealed on June 30, 2028; provided that section 171-41.6, Hawaii Revised Statutes, shall be reenacted in the form in which it read on June 30, 2018.

APPROVED this 06 day of JUL , 2018

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

EXHIBIT C
THE SENATE OF THE STATE OF HAWAIʻI

Date: May 1, 2018
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

We hereby certify that the foregoing Bill this day passed Final Reading in the Senate of the Twenty-ninth Legislature of the State of Hawaiʻi, Regular Session of 2018.

President of the Senate

Clerk of the Senate
THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

Date: May 3, 2018
Honolulu, Hawaii

We hereby certify that the above-referenced Bill on this day passed Final Reading in the House of Representatives of the Twenty-Ninth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2018.

Scott K. Saiki
Speaker
House of Representatives

Brian L. Takeshita
Chief Clerk
House of Representatives

EXHIBIT C
EXHIBIT D
July 7, 2021

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi, 
President 
and Members of the Senate 
Thirty First State Legislature 
State Capitol, Room 409 
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96813

The Honorable Scott K. Saiki, 
Speaker and Members of the 
House of Representatives 
Thirty First State Legislature 
State Capitol, Room 431 
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Re: HB499 HD2 SD2 CD1

Pursuant to Section 16 of Article III of the State Constitution, HB499 HD2 SD2 CD1, entitled “A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO LEASE EXTENSIONS ON PUBLIC LAND” became law without my signature.

This bill authorizes the board of land and natural resources to extend certain leases of public lands for commercial, industrial, resort, mixed-use, or government use upon approval of a proposed development agreement to make substantial improvements to the existing improvements.

Since most of Department of Land and Natural Resources’ (DLNR) lands are for forest protection, state parks, or other natural resource protection, it is estimated that the lands under DLNR that could benefit from the extension bill make up less than 1% of the State lands (1.3 million acres) under the jurisdiction of the DLNR.

Lands that are subject to Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) chapter 171, include those under DLNR and lands under the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) that are not needed for homestead leases.

Neither military leases nor any properties of the University of Hawai‘i can be extended under HB499.
Public lands are generally used for public trust purposes including: support of public schools; betterment of the conditions of native Hawaiians; development of farm and home ownership on as widespread a basis as possible; making of public improvements; and provision of lands for public use.

Some public trust lands are better suited as commercial properties, which earn revenue for the State. HB499 provides stability for both the State and the community by providing a dependable source of income for the State to use for public trust purposes.

Leases can only be extended if the lessee agrees to make substantial improvements equal to or exceeding 30 percent of the market value of the existing improvements. The Administration bill introduced on this topic had a higher threshold of a 50 percent of fair market value of existing improvements.

For the foregoing reasons, I have allowed HB499 HD2 SD2 CD1 to become law as Act 236, Session Laws of Hawaii 2021, on July 6, 2021, without my signature.

Sincerely,

DAVID Y. IGE
Governor, State of Hawaii
RELATING TO LEASE EXTENSIONS ON PUBLIC LAND.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. The legislature finds that many of the leases for commercial, industrial, resort, mixed-use, and government properties on public land statewide may be nearing the end of the lease term. Faced with the uncertainty of continued tenancy, lessees have little incentive to make major investments in infrastructural improvements and ensure the long-term maintenance of the facilities. As a result, the infrastructure on these properties has been deteriorating.

The legislature finds that business lessees typically sell or assign their leases that are nearing the end of the lease terms at a discount. The legislature further finds that it would be unfair to the prior assignors of the leases if the State granted extensions of leases that previously could not be extended under existing law or lease terms to the newly assigned lessees who acquired their leases at a discount due to short remaining lease terms.
The purpose of this Act is to authorize the board of land and natural resources to extend commercial, industrial, resort, mixed-use, or government leases, other than those to which the University of Hawaii is a party, that have not been sold or assigned within the last ten years, for lessees who commit to substantial improvement to the existing improvements.

SECTION 2. Chapter 171, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new section to part II to be appropriately designated and to read as follows:

"§171- Commercial, industrial, resort, mixed-use, or government leases; extension of term. (a) Notwithstanding section 171-36, for leases that have not been assigned or transferred within ten years prior to receipt of an application for a lease extension submitted pursuant to this section, the board may extend the rental period of a lease of public lands for commercial use, industrial use, resort use, mixed-use, or government use upon the board's approval of a development agreement proposed by the lessee or by the lessee and developer to make substantial improvements to the existing improvements. For the purposes of this subsection, "assigned or transferred" shall not include:"
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(1) A sale or change in ownership of a lessee that is a company or entity; or

(2) A collateral assignment of lease or other security granted to a leasehold mortgagee in connection with leasehold financing by a lessee.

(b) Before entering into a development agreement, the lessee or the lessee and developer shall submit to the board the plans and specifications for the total development proposed. The board shall review the plans and specifications and determine:

(1) Whether the development proposed in the development agreement is of sufficient worth and value to justify the extension of the lease;

(2) The estimated period of time necessary to complete the improvements and expected date of completion of the improvements; and

(3) The minimum revised annual rent based on the fair market value of the lands to be developed, as determined by an appraiser for the board and, if deemed appropriate by an appraiser, the appropriate
percentage of rent where gross receipts exceed a specified amount.

No lease extension shall be approved until the board and the lessee or the lessee and developer mutually agree to the terms and conditions of the development agreement.

(c) No construction shall commence until the lessee or the lessee and developer have filed with the board a sufficient bond conditioned upon the full and faithful performance of all the terms and conditions of the development agreement.

(d) Any extension of a lease pursuant to this section shall be based upon the substantial improvements to be made and shall be for a period no longer than forty years. No lease shall be transferable or assignable throughout the first ten years of the extended term, except by devise, bequest, intestate succession, a collateral assignment of lease or other security granted to a leasehold mortgagee in connection with leasehold financing by a lessee, a change in direct ownership of less than fifty per cent of a lessee that is a company or entity, a change in indirect ownership of a lessee that is a company or entity, or by operation of law. The prohibition on assignments and transfer of leases shall include a prohibition on conveyances of
leases. During subsequent periods of the extended term of the lease, the lease may be assigned or transferred, subject to approval by the board.

(e) The applicant for a lease extension shall pay all costs and expenses incurred by the department in connection with processing, analyzing, or negotiating any lease extension request, lease document, or development agreement under this section.

(f) As used in this section:

"Government use" means a development undertaken under a lease held by any agency or department of the State or its political subdivisions other than the University of Hawaii or any department, agency, or administratively attached entity of the University of Hawaii system.

"Mixed-use" means a development that combines two or more of the following uses in a single project: commercial use, resort use, multifamily residential use, or government use.

"Resort use" means a development that:

(1) Provides transient accommodations as defined in section 237D-1 and related services, which may include a front desk, housekeeping, food and beverage, room
service, and other services customarily associated
with transient accommodations; and

(2) Where at least seventy-five per cent of the living or
sleeping quarters are used solely for transient
accommodations for the term of any lease extension.

"Substantial improvements" means any renovation,
rehabilitation, reconstruction, or construction of existing
improvements, including minimum requirements for off-site and
on-site improvements, the cost of which equals or exceeds
thirty per cent of the market value of the existing
improvements, that the lessee or the lessee and developer
installs, constructs, and completes by the date of completion of
the total development."

SECTION 3. New statutory material is underscored.
SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

APPROVED this day of , 2021

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF HAWAII
THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

Date: April 27, 2021
Honolulu, Hawaii

We hereby certify that the above-referenced Bill on this day passed Final Reading in the House of Representatives of the Thirty-First Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2021.

Scott K. Saiki
Speaker
House of Representatives

Brian L. Takeshita
Chief Clerk
House of Representatives
THE SENATE OF THE STATE OF HAWAIʻI

Date: April 27, 2021
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

We hereby certify that the foregoing Bill this day passed Final Reading in the Senate of the Thirty-First Legislature of the State of Hawaiʻi, Regular Session of 2021.

[Signature]
President of the Senate

[Signature]
Clerk of the Senate