

Mauna Kea Stewardship and Oversight Authority (Authority)

Community Meeting

Wednesday, August 27, 2025, 5:05 pm – 7:05 pm

West Hawai'i Civic Center Chambers, Kona

[Meeting Recording](#)

Note: This document provides a broad synthesis of the meeting presentation and discussions. As a directive from the Authority, the spelling of Mauna Kea is utilized in this synthesis. The meeting recording is available for public viewing [here](#). If you have any questions or would like to provide additional comments, please email <mailto:mksoa.community@hawaii.gov>.

5:05PM Opening Protocol (Holeka Inaba)

Welcoming Remarks (John Komeiji, Holeka Inaba)

- Welcome the Authority to Kona (Rich Matsuda)
 - Appreciation to everyone attending tonight's community conversation and for taking time to be here.
 - The intention of the Authority is to bring everyone together to talk story, listen to community voices, provide a platform where people feel safe to express their feelings, have active participation to plan for the future, and share back with the Authority.

Introduction of Facilitator (Facilitator Mahina Paishon)

- Introduction of Hawai'i Island Mayor Kimo Alameda
 - Mayor Kimo Alameda: It is an honor and privilege to be on the west side of Hawai'i Island and to be on the Authority. This is a diverse Authority who is here to learn from each other, gain perspective and get a feel for what people are thinking. The role of the mayor is to be a participant today. The role of the Authority is to speak as a group, not as an individual.
- Introduction of Authority Advisory Members
 - Goal: The goal of the Authority is to set the context in order for the community to have productive conversations. Tonight, the Authority's goal is to listen and learn from the community feedback shared.
 - John Komeiji, Business/Finance, Chair
 - Dr. Noe Noe Wong-Wilson, House Appointee, 1st Vice Chair (not present)
 - Rich Matsuda, Maunakea Observatories, 2nd Vice Chair
 - Mayor Kimo Alameda, Mayor County of Hawai'i
 - Pomai Bertelmann, Lineal Descendant (not present)
 - Neil Hannahs, Land Management
 - Paul Horner, Senate Appointee (not present)
 - Dr. Bonnie Irwin, Chancellor, UH Hilo (non-voting)
 - Ryan Kanaka'ole, Department of Land and Natural Resources (not present)
 - Kalehua Krug, Educator (not present)
 - Benjamin Kudo, Former UH Regent (not present)
 - Lanakila Mangaul, Cultural Practitioner (not present)
- Photos will be taken to document and post to the Mauna Kea Stewardship and Oversight Authority's website; please let the photographer know if you do not wish to be photographed.
- Introduction of Authority Staff
 - John DeFries, Executive Director
 - Bill Stormont, Project Director
 - Lloyd Unebasami, Interim Administrative Services Officer
 - Pua'ena Ahn, Executive Assistant (not present)

- Introduction of Authority Support
 - Christopher Daggett, Paid Lunch, Contracted Project Manager
 - Dustin Acdal, Aria Studios, A/V Team
 - Paul Buckley, Waimea Music Center, A/V Team
 - Laurien Nuss and Heather Bartlett, SSFM International
 - Merri Keli'ikuli and Corin Thornburg, Hawai'i Alliance for Community-Based Economic Development (HACBED), Meeting Support

Authority Background and History (Rich Matsuda)

- Following the stand on Mauna Kea in 2015 and 2019 and the urging of kia'i to change the management structure for Mauna Kea, the House of Representatives responded with a Resolution in 2021 to form the Mauna Kea Working Group with the purpose of investigating how Mauna Kea should be managed. The Working Group had 15 representatives spanning from community and government. The Working Group submitted a Report and recommendations that led to the introduction of a Bill that became [Act 255](#). Act 255 established the Mauna Kea Stewardship and Oversight Authority (Authority). Authority members serve at the will of the Senate and have term limits. The Authority has 4 members that were part of the Working Group, 8 of the Authority members are Native Hawaiian, 7 members are from the community, 3 are government representatives including the mayor, and 1 representative is from Maunakea Observatories. 11 of the 12 Authority members have voting rights. The purpose of the Authority was to give voice to the community, particularly to Native Hawaiians. The diversity in Authority members, purpose of the Authority, and Framework of the Authority differentiates the Authority from other existing government organizations in Hawai'i. This Authority takes great pleasure and intentionally makes sure the community is given the opportunity to engage and contribute mana'o on the work being done with the Authority.
- The Unifying Purpose of the Authority reads: "We recognize that Native Hawaiians have an inseparable environmental kinship with 'āina. Therefore, the health and integrity of Maunakea is paramount. Our purpose is to establish a collaborative and holistic management framework for Maunakea that is grounded in kānāwai and the laws of nature; prioritizes Native Hawaiian voices; contributes to environmental and social justice; and is sensitive to diverse perspectives."
- Values and guiding principles embedded in the Working Group and in the law guides the Authority. The values and guiding principles include:
 - Mauna Aloha -- We understand the reciprocal value of the mauna and our long-term commitment to maintaining the integrity of Mauna Kea.
 - 'Ōpū Kūpuna -- We understand and embrace our duty and accountability to Mauna Kea, the natural environment, and to perpetuate the Hawaiian cultural values embedded in the sacred landscape of the mauna.
 - Holomua 'Oī Kelakela -- We are driven by creativity and innovation, constantly challenging the status quo. Our stewardship of Mauna Kea is informed based on existing knowledge and traditions (kānāwai) as well as on new and expanding knowledge. We are mindful and observant of needs, trends, and opportunities and seek new knowledge and opportunities in ways that enhance our ability to serve as stewards without jeopardizing our foundation of 'āina aloha.
- Kānāwai or the laws of nature are expressed by Dr. Pualani Kanaka'ole Kanahale in a [video](#) starting at 19:20 of the meeting recording:
 - Kānāwai is law, a code or regulation. Kānāwai is about kanaka maoli people educating other kanaka maoli about what is happening on their land. Kānāwai is being conscious of the land, what it is doing and what our boundaries are. Four laws of the land include:
 - Ho'okikī kānāwai is a law of continuum. This law measures what flows. This includes water as it is the main source of life and allows for an awareness of

water flows. The other flow that is measured is magma which comes out of the ground and flows into the ocean, this gives us land. Raising consciousness of the water flows that give life in the ahupua'a of Ka'ohe, the water that runs between Hāmākua to the top of Mauna Kea and the water that is caught between the two basins.

- Kua'ā kānāwai or the law of the burning back is understood as the gestating of landscape. It is compared to an ali'i of high rank who is unapproachable because they are out of reach. Similarly, certain landscapes need to be held sacred because of what they provide and are necessary to our existence. The two places that should be held to this reverence are volcanoes then rivers, streams, coral heads, and wet forests as they help to reproduce what is on the land.
- Kai'okia kānāwai is the law of natural boundaries. Taking into consideration how landscapes are moving and understanding the boundaries. Knowing the boundaries as a person and knowing the boundaries of the land.
- Kīho'ihō'i kānāwai is the law of regeneration and reciprocity and is similar to the law of continuum. It is continuing for generations.
 - This includes the generation of native trees, native birds, and insects to be aware of the regeneration of food sources.
 - A sense of reciprocity comes from the first two lines of the Kumulipo, which ties to the first kānāwai. It talks about the lava, when hot, it makes our land hot. When our earth is hot and steam is coming up, it clouds the sky and hides the sun. It is returning water to the space above and turning into clouds. Eventually, that cloud system returns water to us.

Introduction of [Strategic Framework Draft](#) (Neil Hannahs, John Komeiji)

- Act 255 and Creation of the Mauna Kea Stewardship and Oversight Authority
 - Act 255 was signed into law in July 2022 and effectively started the Authority on July 1, 2023. Act 255 created a 5 year or 60 month transition period.
 - The Authority is a values driven organization that aims to transparently lay out its values by creating a Strategic Framework that ensures both the Authority and the Framework's values are aligned and consistent. The Strategic Framework leans heavily on the Report created by the Working Group.
- Background and Purpose of the Strategic Framework
 - The creation and development of the Strategic Framework honors the mandated legislation by the State of Hawai'i, the core values, mission, and the guiding principles articulated in the Working Group Report and Act 255. The Authority is in month 26 of 60 and is preparing its Strategic Framework to complete stated goals and accelerate this transition in the next 34 months, as required by the legislation.
 - The [Strategic Framework](#) serves as a navigational tool for the upcoming Management Plan. A [Request for Proposals](#) was released on April 18th, 2025 for the creation of a Management Plan. A public release will soon be made to announce the planning firm that has been retained to embark on a 14-16 month process in developing the Authority's Management Plan. The selected Firm has substantial background in this field and has built a diverse planning team excelling in community interaction and Native Hawaiian traditional practices.
- Feedback Received from the Community Meetings -- will be reviewed to identify recurring themes and priorities. The feedback will support Authority staff in formulating the next draft of the Strategic Framework that will be submitted to the Authority.
- Strategic Framework to Inform the Forthcoming Management Plan

- At the end of the year, a final Strategic Framework will be established and will support the planning firm and team that was selected to create the Management Plan. The challenge of this process is to balance the regulatory framework while working with the tangible and intangible elements of our Native Hawaiian traditional practices.

Breakout Session to Discuss Strategic Framework -- please see Appendix A for the chart paper notes that were posted for each question.

What is Not Understandable and Why?

- *Clarity Needed for Language and Concepts in the Framework*
 - Kānāwai and “boundaries” are not well explained, especially in relation to commercial or industrial activity.
- *Mission and Purpose Statement*
 - Mission and purpose statements are too long, vague, and seem directly aligned with astronomy without sufficient context. Language should change to include Act 255 language to steward, oversee, and protect Mauna Kea for future generations.
- *Differentiation Between Core Values and Principles*
 - Distinctions between core values and principles are unclear.
 - Core value names and descriptions feel abstract or creative, but impractical. They need grounding in stories, examples, and application.
 - Mauna Aloha Core value may need to be differentiated as it may open the door to opinions from the global community.
- *Practical Application and Functionality*
 - Less conceptual language and more demonstration of how values and principles should look, sound, and be lived out.
 - Even though accountability is emphasized as a value, it is unclear how it will be operationalized in governance and management.
 - The implementation for the Strategic Framework and how it moves from values to concrete actions is vague.
- *Authority Oversight and Governance*
 - Need for transparency regarding the nomination and confirmation process for Authority members, governance structure, representation, and term limits.
 - Process for regulation and oversight of activities. Should be transparent and easily accessible.

What is Missing? Why?

- *Core Values and Principles*
 - Need to distinguish respect versus kuleana as core values, and ensure values reflect multiple perspectives, including that of the Mauna.
 - Acknowledgment of generational trauma, cultural and relational history, and U.S. occupation of Mauna a Wākea is missing, as is a lens of restorative justice.
 - The word “Authority” as the body’s title is triggering. Suggest reconsidering terminology to reflect stewardship and partnership.
 - Guiding principles should explicitly include transparent, consistent, ongoing communication and reciprocal dialogue that allows both informing and sharing.
 - The current presentation is too linear and bulleted. Values and principles should be shown as interconnected.
 - Vision statement and an inspirational conclusion are missing. Something forward looking that inspires hope and commitment could be added and could include language that ensures mutual benefit for the people of Mauna Kea and Hawai‘i.
- *Community Engagement and Communication*

- Clearer articulation of how community talk story sessions will inform or not inform the Master and Management Plans is missing.
- Opportunities for involvement and education need to be expanded, such as collaborations, accessible outreach, integration of community knowledge.
- Missing short, interactive videos to convey ecological, cultural, geological, and historical information.
- Missing the commitment to sustained engagement, beyond single meetings, and inclusion of diverse representatives.
- The process for sharing information and updates is not seen as transparent or accessible enough.
- Need for clearer, ongoing methods of dialogue, using local media sources and social media to make the framework understandable and inclusive to all audiences.
- *Governance and Legal Foundations*
 - An acknowledgement recognizing the rights of lineal descendants is missing. The current Framework seems to only acknowledge Native Hawaiians.
 - Need clarity on land ownership such as crown lands and ceded lands and how legal stipulations, treaties, and protests remain unresolved.
 - Transparency in governance is missing, including who holds power, how accountability is ensured, and how representation is decided.
 - An outline of the law included in the Framework, using statutory language directly taken from Act 255 to avoid loopholes and rebuild trust is needed.
 - Acknowledgment that DLNR did not regulate or enforce in the past, creating loss of trust.
 - Clear mechanisms for resolution, oversight, and accountability of the Authority are missing.
 - Organizational chart or explanation of who holds the Authority accountable, such as the Governor or executive branch is missing.
 - Law and violations of treaties tied to the building of telescopes and tourism is missing.
 - Whether the Authority has established a “no entry / go zone” for Mauna Kea in the Framework.
- *Environmental Protection and Land Use*
 - Stronger emphasis on environmental protection with measurable, long-term sustainability.
 - Calls for restoration efforts to negate past damage from tourism, telescope building, and DLNR permitting.
 - Need explicit land use controls, minimizing permits that desecrate cultural sites.
 - Inclusion of Pōhakuloa in the Framework as it is not seen as separate from Mauna Kea.
- *Funding and Resources*
 - Missing a sustainable funding plan to support stewardship, regulation, and enforcement.
 - Clear strategies for how money will be generated and allocated, including the regulation of commercial activity, tourism, and concessions.
- *Culture and Education Elements*
 - Recognition of Native Hawaiian celestial navigation and its place in the framework.
 - Expanded education requirements are needed, including more than a one-hour training for tour drivers.
 - Broader inclusion of Native Hawaiian culture beyond ceremonial recognition is needed as well as the integration of ancestral and cultural practices and lived experiences.
 - Requests to make information available in ways similar to Hōkūle‘a’s global outreach, while still centering ‘ōiwi, marginalized, and indigenous voices.
 - Making the information available to those who support Mauna Kea from other nations and countries and having the Framework available in their language.

Is there any section or language in the Strategic Framework draft that does not belong? Why?

- ***Terminology and Word Choice***
 - The term “Authority” is not seen as appropriate for a governing body over Mauna Kea, as it can feel triggering and heavy-handed.
 - ““Ohana” is suggested to be replaced with kaiaulu, which better reflects community. Kaiaulu may require additional education as it is less commonly understood.
 - “Land use control” is viewed as problematic as the word “control” is charged. Alternatives like stewardship may be more fitting.
 - Question raised about whether Mauna Aloha’s reference to “humanity” opens the door for global community opinions, potentially diluting the emphasis on Native Hawaiian perspectives.
 - The label “imperatives” may be too strong or not the right fit.
 - Shortening the sentence tied to support of astronomy. “The support of astronomy is a policy of the state...” sentence should be at the beginning.
- ***Structure and Presentation of Framework***
 - Values and principles are not clearly differentiated. The overlap can be confusing. Suggestions include having a stronger separation or reorganization.
 - Current ordering of values and principles (liberal/alphabetical) may lack intentionality. A prioritized order would better reflect importance.
 - Desire for parameters to be short and practical, with abstract ideas developed later.
- ***Guiding Principles***
 - In the guiding principles, there is uncertainty about how land use controls and protection of Native Hawaiian rights can coexist, when they seem to conflict.
- ***Placement of Kānāwai***
 - A suggestion to pull the Kānāwai out of the core values and placing it under guiding principles, or as its own distinct section as it is a large and deep concept that should have its own explanation or section.

Questions and Answers -- below are synthesized versions of questions submitted and answered in-person at the West Hawai‘i Civic Center. The meeting recording is available for public viewing [here](#).

- ***Q: Can the principle of no compromise be adhered to as tied to what is done on Mauna Kea as it aligns to indigenous practices?***
 - Facilitator, Mahina Paishon: The Authority will note the suggestion for “no compromise.”
- ***Q: How do you ensure that those who cannot attend still have their voices heard?***
 - Authority Executive Director, John DeFries: The public is welcome to submit any comments or testimony to mksoa.community@hawaii.gov.
 - Authority Member, Neil Hannahs: The Authority has five years to stand up a Management Plan that will be here for a long time. We need to get as much representation as possible and compress the work in that timeframe.
- ***Q: Will the Authority go back around the island again to engage the community? How will people outside of Hawai‘i Island be engaged?***
 - Authority Executive Director, John DeFries: This series of meetings are to seek the community’s help to finalize the Strategic Framework, which will be foundational to planning. The planning process for the Management Plan will create another series of meetings across the state and beyond Hawai‘i Island.
- ***Q: Is the Strategic Framework draft or talk-story process being shared in the school system? Will youth voices be involved?***
 - Authority Member, Rich Matsuda: These meetings are open to all but not held in schools during school hours. However, the law says the Authority’s purpose is to protect Mauna Kea for future generations, so youth voices are vital.

- Authority Executive Director, John DeFries: The Authority Chair has discussed holding a youth conference specifically for this matter.
- ***Q: How does this Authority consider treaties and the long history of injustice tied to Mauna Kea and Hawai'i's History, addressing the series of injustices that have taken place for 130 years?***
 - Authority Chair, John Komeiji: The statute requires an aspirational statement. Having eight Native Hawaiian members on the Authority was by design, to reflect restorative justice.
 - Authority Executive Director, John DeFries: Restorative justice must be part of the conversation.
- ***Q: Will future rules and regulations include restrictions on sports activities (e.g., skiing, surfing gravel)?***
 - Authority Executive Director, John DeFries: The Authority can focus entirely on Mauna Kea's best interest. The Authority must prioritize the health of Mauna Kea first, then decide how human activity enters that space, whether astronomy, tour cars, or sports. The Authority has the opportunity to set a very high standard of conduct and protocols, while protecting the rights of cultural practitioners. This is a rare opportunity to pioneer a new approach that cannot fail with so many other issues in Hawai'i requiring similarly critical dialogue and decision making that cares for everyone. The Authority will look at possible restrictions of sport activities.

Additional Comments and Questions -- submitted in-person.

- *Public Visibility for Framework Outreach* -- a suggestion to use highly visible people and local media sources to encourage viewing of the Authority's website and social media platform. Local media sources such as Nā Leo may also be beneficial. Consider making connections to communities worldwide while having continuity to emphasize 'ōiwi, marginalized, and indigenous voices.
- *Request for Full Authority Participation at Community Meetings* -- a request that all Authority members attend the Community Meetings to show care for the mauna and for the community members who showed up.
- *Allow Testimony to be Publicly Shared at Community Meetings* -- a request that individuals be allowed to share public testimony to the Authority. Legislative testimonies that were shared with the Mauna Kea Working Group may not have been shared to the Authority. The testimonies contain important information that would be valuable for the Authority to have.
- *Increased Opportunity for Public Sharing* -- a request that the Authority provide the community with more space to discuss and speak directly to the Authority, rather than a presentation format where the Authority shares to the public.

Closing Remarks and Next Steps (John DeFries)

- Mahalo to all of you for your patience and willingness to adjust tonight. It is a sign of the strength, resilience, and compassion in this community when we can hear all voices and still move forward together.
 - What happened tonight was difficult on many levels, but I want to acknowledge the deep connections, histories, and relationships that are part of this work. The Authority is made up of 12 members, 8 of whom are Native Hawaiian. Even within that group there is a wide range of perspectives, from those who want astronomy to end on Mauna Kea immediately, to those who believe the next generation must continue it, including projects like TMT. My responsibility is not to carry my own agenda, but to hold space for all of these voices and seek the common ground we can share.
 - At the same time, Act 255 requires us to develop a new management plan. We've retained a respected firm, SSFM with deep roots in Hawai'i to lead that process with a

strong emphasis on community engagement and Hawaiian cultural practices. This isn't about stopping astronomy, but about finding a path where stewardship, culture, and science can coexist, no easy task, but one we believe we can accomplish together.

- We are now in the middle of a five-year transition period. Until June 30, 2028, UH and the Center for Maunakea Stewardship continue to co-manage with us as we work toward full transition. That collaboration is ongoing and vital to building the future we all want to see.

7:05PM Closing Protocol (Mahina Paishon)

Appendices

Appendix A: Chart Paper Notes

Question 1 What is not understandable? Why?

- Breakout Group 1
 - Kānāwai reference
 - “Boundaries”
 - What does that mean in commercial / industrial activities
 - Core values
 - Stewardship role of people / community in relating to kānāwai
 - Value descriptions are creative
 - Practicality / functionality of principles
 - Less dissertation (conceptual) more practicality (demonstrated in plan)
 - Examples
 - How to live these values
 - Looks like, smells like, sounds like
 - Value of accountability
 - Language
 - Direct / specific to areas identified to “manage”
 - Principles
 - Change from ‘ohana to kaiaulu
 - Difference between core values vs. principles
 - Distinguish or merge
 - Melding: support of astronomy and native hawaiian rights (needs bridging)
- Breakout Group 2
 - Want to know / clearly the process for nominations and confirmation described
 - How regulation activities will be created and regulated
 - Needs to be transparent and easy to access
 - Example: authentically aligned to host culture
 - Suggestion
 - Use highly visible people to encourage access of MKSOA website + social media
 - Use local media sources like Nā Leo
 - Consider making connections to communities worldwide
 - I.e. like how Hōkūle‘a has done
 - While continuity to emphasize ‘ōiwi, marginalized, and indigenous voices
- Breakout Group 3
 - Written for native hawaiians
 - Certain rights not what lineal descendants have to do
 - Support of astronomy, shorten sentence
 - “The support of astronomy is a policy of the state...” sentence should be at the beginning
 - Mission statement is too long
 - Change to from Act 255
 - Steward oversee, protect Mauna Kea for future generations
 - Who has governance over the authority
 - Governor or executive branch
 - Need for an org chart of where the authority fits in

- How did they get to that point and understand representation of board, term limits, who is the authority and how did they get there

Question 2 What is missing? Why?

- Breakout Group 1
 - Respect vs. part of kuleana (as core values)
 - Multiple perspectives
 - Human and more than human, the mauna
 - Acknowledgment of generational trauma and cultural and relational history of Mauna a Wākea and U.S. occupation (“legal authority”)
 - Restorative justice
 - Authority is a triggering word to use as title of a body that governs the mauna
 - Guiding principles
 - Include transparent, efficient, consistent, ongoing, communication
 - How and what
 - Broad and diverse
 - Reciprocal (space to inform, space to share / respect)
 - Importance of dialogue
 - Linear formatted
 - Bulleted points vs. interrelated / in harmony with each other
 - Ecosystem design to reflect interconnectivity
 - Community engagement
 - Involvement, awareness “educate” (opportunities)
 - Collaborations
- Breakout Group 2
 - State upfront the relevance / connection to TMT
 - Clarify how the community talk story sessions will inform or not inform the actual Master and Management planning process
 - Security person for future sessions
 - Develop short interactive videos to relay info
 - Ex cultural, ecological, geological, historical info
- Breakout Group 3
 - To address land ownership
 - Crown lands / ceded lands in the Admissions Act
 - Need for transparency and resolution mechanisms
 - DLNR is vague when comes to admin rules
 - Come from cultural background
 - Glad to have opportunity to share in this
 - Environmental protection must indicate clear measurements for sustainability for long term
 - When I pass, how generations can add to this
 - This is way to elaborate on principles
 - Compare this to values
 - Outline statement needs to incorporate what you’re talking about
 - Want to make sure no loopholes
 - HI more than culture and traditions established in law
 - Queens protest - treaty
 - Stipulation in treaty to be met, not met to this day
 - Yielded time for government to right the wrongs, doesn't make treaty ineffective
 - Building telescopes and tourism
 - In violation of treaty

- Law is missing
- DLNR didn't recognize law
 - Didn't regulate or enforce
- Had to bring trust back
 - Need to huli
- Vision
 - Add to the strategic framework
 - Could read ensure mutual beneficial and maintained for people of Mauna Kea and Hawai'i
 - Add to core values or guiding principles
- Intangible is part of it
- Core values
 - Need sustainable funding plans, need money to regulate
- Ensure accessibility for people with disabilities
 - People from different nations have different languages we didn't understand
 - Supporting native hawaiians
- Need inspirational conclusion
 - Some kind of looking ahead at end of doc
- Don't see any recognition for Pōhakuloa
 - Not exclusive
- Navigation is missing
 - Native hawaiian celestial navigation
- Government role and accountability should be transparent
 - Non native representative in bodies
 - Not just OHA but cultural practitioners
 - We don't get consultation with them
- Commitment to community engagement
 - Place to add transparency
 - Communication is missing
- Land use control
 - Minimizing permit issues
 - DLNR permits are out of bounds and desecrated some of the sites
- Education
 - More than 1 hour for tour drivers
- Framework
 - Explanation for the framework
 - Sets course for mutual respect and meaningful collaboration
 - Governance of this framework is the authority
- Accountability of authority
- Outline of law
 - Take language right from the law
- Restoration to negate footprints of tourism or permitting DLNR didn't do their job
- Native Hawaiians lost faith because weren't consulted at beginning
 - Waited for this since 2009
- Authority needs to be inclusive

Question 3 Is there any section or language in the Strategic Framework draft that does not belong? Why?

- Breakout Group 1
 - Clarification between values / principles
 - "Authority" not appropriate
 - "'Ohana" - use kaiaulu

- If changed, may need to “educate” meaning further, not as commonly used / understood
 - “Land use control”
 - Replace control with stewardship?
 - Charged word (take out)
 - Liberal / alphabetical ordering vs. prioritized listing (intentional)
- Breakout Group 2
 - Core values read consistently
 - The guiding do not read consistently / coherently
 - In guiding principles
 - Not sure how land use controls + protection of native hawaiian rights section can coexist. Seems to be in conflict.
 - Core values
 - Mauna aloha - why to humanity - does this open the door to opinions for the global community
 - Request
 - Authority to research how to establish a “no entry / go” zone
 - Would like to see accountability measures and understand how the values and principles are operationalized
- Breakout Group 3
 - Pull kānāwai out of core values
 - Maybe add to guiding principles
 - Imperatives, don't know if it is the appropriate label or too much
 - Want parameters to be short
 - Abstract ideas come later
 - Less vague if know protecting Mauna Kea