

DAVID Y. IGE  
GOVERNOR OF  
HAWAII



**STATE OF HAWAII**  
**DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION  
KAKUHIHEWA BUILDING  
601 KAMOKILA BLVD, STE 555  
KAPOLEI, HAWAII 96707

**SUZANNE D. CASE**  
CHAIRPERSON  
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

**ROBERT K. MASUDA**  
FIRST DEPUTY

**JEFFREY T. PEARSON, P.E.**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES  
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION  
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES  
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT  
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS  
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT  
ENGINEERING  
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE  
HISTORIC PRESERVATION  
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION  
LAND  
STATE PARKS

**MAUI / LĀNA'I ISLANDS BURIAL COUNCIL**  
**MEETING MINUTES**

DATE: February 21, 2018  
TIME: 9:00 AM  
PLACE: County of Maui, Planning Commission  
Conference Room  
Kalana Paku‘i Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
250 S. High Street  
Wailuku, Maui, HI 96793

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

The Burial Council Vice-Chair Dane Maxwell called the meeting to order at 9:05 AM

**II. ATTENDANCE:**

Members: Dane Maxwell – Vice Chairperson  
Kaheleonalani Dukelow  
Scott Fisher  
Leiane Paci  
Kalani Ho-Nikaido  
Johanna Kamaunu

SHPD Staff: Kealana Phillips, Maui Burial Site Specialist  
Ikaika Nakahashi, Cultural Historian  
Barker Fariss, Lead Archaeologist

Excused: Kapulani Antonio - Chairperson  
Sol Church  
Nani Watanabe

Guests: J. Alohalani Smith - Hamoa  
Danny - Hamoa  
Ed Cashman – Haneo ‘o, Mokae  
Uluwehi Cashman – Haneo ‘o, Mokae  
Viola Cashman – Haneo ‘o, Mokae  
Ben Rodrigues – Haneo ‘o, Mokae  
Leslie Kuloloio – Makena Burial  
Earle Medeiros - Hamoa  
Annette Heu – Maui Lani Park/Phase 6  
Jocelyn Costa - Aha Moku Hamakualoa  
David K.K. Prais – Aleamae, Mokae  
Ke ‘eaumoku Kapu – Aha Moku o Maui Inc.  
Nameaatea Hoshino - Lahaina  
Amy Halas – Pu ‘uone  
Clare Apana – Wailuku  
Kaniloa Kamaunu – Aha Moku O Wailuku

### **III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

#### **A. December 20, 2017**

- Council member Kalani Ho-Nikaido said on the Dec 21, 2017 minutes, page 9, second sentence of Motion for Maui Lani Phase 6, “earth moving” should be added before the word “work.”
- Council member Kalani Ho-Nikaido motion to accept minutes, with one amendment.
- Council member Scott Fisher second motion
- All aye; no nay
- Motion is carried to accept minutes with one amendment.

### **IV. BUSINESS**

#### **A. Training for Maui/Lāna ‘i Islands Burial Council on membership, roles, and responsibilities.**

**Information/Discussion:** Discussion on the above item.

**(BRING BLACK TRAINING BINDER)**

- Deputy Attorney General Cindy Young telephone conference with Burial Council to answer questions from council re: roles and responsibilities.
- Council: What is the role and responsibility of a Principal Investigator of an archaeological firm.
- Deputy AG Young: HAR 13-281-2 defines a principal investigator as “the individual directly responsible and accountable for assuring the quality of all aspects of an historic preservation project, and the accuracy and completeness of its written documentation.” There are certain minimal professional standards that a principal investigator must meet.
- Council: Are they the ones who have to do the actual work and represent the firm at a Burial Council meeting?

- Deputy AG Young: They are not required to do the actual work under an archaeological permit. There is no requirement for principal investigators to represent the firm at a burial council meeting.
- Council: What qualifies an archaeologist?
- Deputy AG Young: There is no definition or qualifications for an archaeologist under applicable statues or rules. HAR 13-13-282-3 provides that no archaeological firms, independent individuals, agencies or organizations shall perform any alteration to historic properties until an annual permit is obtained from SHPD. No annual permit may be issued unless at least one principal investigator is on staff.
- Council: Who does the Deputy AG represent, the council or the department?
- Deputy AG Young: The department of the Attorney General (generally) represents and advises the island burial councils and the department.
- Council: What is the difference between previously identified and inadvertent burials?
- HAR 13-300-2 defines “previously identified” as burial sites containing human skeletal remains and any burial goods identified during archaeological inventory survey and data recovery of possible burial sites, or known through oral or written testimony, and “inadvertent discovery” as the unanticipated finding of human skeletal remains and any burial goods resulting from unintentional disturbance, erosion, or other ground disturbing activity.
- Council: What if the area is known to contain burials, but no specific site was identified?
- Deputy AG Young: The AIS, among other things, identifies and documents burial sites and compiles sufficient information to evaluate the significance of a burial site. Making a statement that an area is generally known to contain burials without specifying any burial sites(s) does not appear to be sufficient to deem that area a burial site, and therefore, the remains would not be previously identified, but would rather be an inadvertent discovery.

**B. Cultural Descendancy Recognition of Viola Leialoha Naone Cashman to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains, Site 50-50-04-1795, Features 1-3, and Site 50-50-13-8507, Features 1-4, located at Hāmoa Beach Park Wastewater Project, Hāmoa Ahupuaʻa, Hāna District, Island of Maui, TMK (2) 1-4-010:001 pors**

**Discussion/Determination:** Discussion and determination whether to recognize the above individual as a cultural descendant to unidentified human skeletal remains at the above location.

- Burial Sites Specialist Kealana Phillips read the letter of recommendation to the MLIBC. Letter of recommendation states that applicant did provide sufficient genealogical evidence that connects her to an ancestor, therefore SHPD recommends that the MLIBC recognize Viola Leialoha Naone Cashman as a cultural descendant to the above unidentified human skeletal remains.
- Viola Cashman address the council. Mokae/Hamoā Beach very sacred place in terms of burial grounds. Need to take care of kupuna, ancestors. Wants generations to come to know who they are, where they came from. Ms. Cashman request to be consulted with going forward.
- Council Vice Chair Maxwell thank Ms. Cashman for driving out from Hana. Mr. Maxwell stated it’s important to have lineal/cultural descendants present at the MLIBC.

- Ms. Cashman stated that as a child, never allowed to go to the cinder hills; always encouraged to go to Moka'e, Hamoa Beach no matter of landowner.
- Vice Chair Maxwell asked that prior to work starting, was Ms. Cashman consulted with.
- Ms. Cashman replied "no." Developer asked why community waited so long to object to project. Ms. Cashman stated that this area has been known as a burial ground since 1965.
- Council Vice Chair Maxwell open item up for public testimony.
- Leo Kuloloio address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Ke'eaumoku Kapu address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Edward Cashman address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition - Namea'aea Hoshino address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Jocelyn Costa address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition.
- Ms. Costa believes that Ms. Cashman should be considered as a recognized lineal descendant. Support applicant having a seat at the table.
- Kai Prais address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition. Mr. Prais believes that Ms. Cashman should be considered as a recognized lineal descendant as well.
- Kaleikoa Ka'eo address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition. Mr. Ka'eo provide his family genealogy. Mr. Ka'eo from that area; known burial area. Mr. Ka'eo asked the council to investigate the process of what happened (decision making process). Determination made; public record. Mr. Ka'eo asked where is the iwi that was disturbed (taken out)?
- Remedy situation, put iwi back where they came from, per Mr. Ka'eo
- **Council Member Kahele Dukelow move to approve application of Cultural Descendancy for Viola Cashman**
- **Kalani Ho-Nikaido second motion**
- **All aye; No nay**
- **Motion passed**

**C. Cultural Descendancy Recognition of Edward Cashman to Unidentified Human Skeletal Remains, Site 50-50-04-1795, Features 1-3, and Site 50-50-13-8507, Feature 1-4, located at Hāmoa Beach Park Wastewater Project, Hāmoa Ahupua'a, Hāna District, Island of Maui, TMK (2) 1-4-010:001 pors**

**Discussion/Determination:** Discussion and determination whether to recognize the above individual as a cultural descendant to unidentified human skeletal remains at the above location.

- Burial Sites Specialist Kealana Phillips read the letter of recommendation to the MLIBC. Letter of recommendation states that applicant did provide sufficient genealogical evidence that connects him to an ancestor, therefore SHPD recommends that the MLIBC recognize Edward Cashman as a cultural descendant to the above unidentified human skeletal remains.
- Edward Cashman address the council.
- Mr. Cashman referenced testimony by Mr. Sam Akoi Jr. (written testimony included in Feb MLIBC minutes).
- Mr. Cashman request that if recognized as a cultural descendant, that he be included in all communications going forward.

- Council Vice Chair open item up for public testimony
- Ke 'eaumoku Kapu address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Nameaatea Hoshino address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Viola Cashman address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition. According to Ms. Cashman, it was Mr. Cashman who did all genealogy (research). Helped save land in Hamoa from foreign developers.
- Kai Prais address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition. Mr. Prais also agree with Ms. Costa about recognition of lineal Descendancy for Mr. Cashman. Mr. Prais asks, where does the documents, testimony prior to 1987 inspection come in to play?
- Kaleikoa Ka 'eo address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition. Mr. Ka 'eo mention that in light of info provided by Mr. Akoi, that should have been included in the planning process of project. Mr. Ka 'eo does not know how project has gotten this far when there is so much info out there about this area. Something went wrong along the way; council need to figure out where that occurred. Department? Archaeology firm? County?
- Earle Medeiros address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Les Kuloloio address the council in support of applicant cultural recognition
- Ke 'eaumoku Kapu re-address council that there are a lot of people that can demonstrate cultural/lineal ties to area. Kai Prais, Kaleikoa Ka 'eo. Why now? A lot of families are stepping to the plate to take care of their kuleana. Hamoa can be an example for all of Maui
- **Council Member Kahele Dukelow move to approve application of Cultural Descendancy for Viola Cashman**
- **Scott Fisher second motion**
- **All aye; No nay**
- **Motion passed**

- **10-minute recess at 11AM**

**D. Lineal Descendancy Recognition of Justin Kekoa Kekiwi to Identified Human Skeletal Remains Found at the Kukahiko Family Burial Plot at 0 Makena, Papa 'anui Ahupua 'a, Honua 'ula District, Island of Maui, TMK: [2] 2-2-1-007:081**

**Discussion/Determination:** Discussion and determination whether to recognize the above individual as a lineal descendant to identified human skeletal remains at the above location.

- **Meeting reconvene at 11:10AM**

- **Council member Kalani Ho-Nikaido excused from meeting**

- Burial Sites Specialist Kealana Phillips read the letter of recommendation to the MLIBC. Letter of recommendation states that applicant was able to identify the individuals that are buried on the property, therefore SHPD recommends that the MLIBC recognize Justin Kekoa Kekiwi as a lineal descendant to the above identified human skeletal remains.

- Justin Kekiwi address the council. Mr. Kekiwi states that he understands burials are protect at this time, but is interested in being recognized to ensure continued protect. Being proactive.
- Mr. Kekiwi made mention that he has put in application for lineal Descendancy to iwi kupuna at Keawala ĩ Church Cemetery.
- Vice Chair Maxwell stated that Deputy AG would need to offer opinion on jurisdiction/purvey of council to burials in active cemeteries.
- Ke`eaumoku Kapu address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition.
- Mr. Kapu believes more guys need to come forward. Important for recognition so that when/if project happens, developers/landowners/firms know who to contact when consulting.
- Mr. Kapu also believes recognition should occur in active cemeteries to avoid a situation like what is currently happening at Kawaiha`o Church on O`ahu.
- Les Kuloloio address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition.
- Mr. Kuloloio stated that family opened-up first land court case in Makena in 1965. Court case defendants, John Kamaka Kukahiko corporation, against James Cambell, Ulupalakua Ranch, Matson, State of Hawaii, County, DOD. Record filed with BOC, Courts, DLNR, DOI and Defense about Kukahiko graveyard. Court case lasted from 1965-1978. Result of case to protect Kukahiko graveyard, per Mr. Kuloloio.
- Mr. Kuloloio was recognized as Lineal Descendant by courts in 1965; not notified about agenda item
- Nameaatea Hoshino address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition.
- Viola Cashman address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition. Ms. Cashman does not know Mr. Kekiwi, respects Mr. Kekiwi for stepping forward and wanting to mālama his kupuna. Nor an easy process; need support.
- Kai Prais address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition. Battle is hewa vs. pono.
- Tyson Au address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition.
- Mr. Au made mention about he and Justin submitting lineal Descendancy application for burials at Keawala ĩ. Mr. Au made mention of the fact that they need to be protected. Mr. Au referenced family Burial plots 441-5.5 Family burial plots as evidence of justification to have council recognize applicants for Descendancy in active cemeteries.
- Column burials part of cemeteries. Family burial plot are interred by family (private). No public records per Mr. Au.
- Jocelyn Costa address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition.
- Burials in cemeteries, should look at dates of those who lay there. Who is there at what time period? Jurisdiction based on timeline.
- Edward Cashman address the council in support of applicant Lineal Descendancy recognition. Mr. Cashman stated it's good to see younger generation active.
- **Council Member Kahele Dukelow move to approve application of Lineal Descendancy for Justin Kekiwi**
- **Scott Fisher second motion**

- All aye; No nay
- Motion passed

**E. Update on Maui Lani Phase VI, Wailuku Ahupua‘a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, TMK [2] 3-8-099:999 and 118**  
**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion on the above topic.

- Quorum was lost; item was not discussed. Council members who were present did accept testimony from the public.

**F. Update on Treatment of Human Skeletal Remains in SHPD Curation from Home Maid Bakery Inc, 988 Lower Main St, Wailuku Ahupua‘a, Wailuku District, island of Maui, Hawai i, TMK [2] 3-5-037:048**  
**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion on the above topic.

- Quorum was lost; item was not discussed. Council members who were present did accept testimony from the public.

**G. Maintenance of The Burial Preserve at the Maui Lani Regional Park, in accordance with the Burial Site Component of a Preservation Plan for Site 50-50-04-6265 Features 1, 2, 4-7 and an Archaeological Data Recovery Plan for Sites 50-50-04-6265, Features 3, 8, and -6266, Wailuku Ahupua‘a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, TMK: [2] 3-8-007: 131 Pors.**  
**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion on the above topic.

- Quorum was lost; item was not discussed. Council members who were present did accept testimony from the public.

**V. SHPD/INADVERTENT DISCOVERIES/CORRESPONDENCE**

**A. Update on Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Hāmoa Beach/Mokae Cove, reported to the Maui Office of the State Historic Preservation Division on November 14, November 15, and November 20, 2017, Ahupua‘a of Mokae, Moku of Hana, Island of Maui, TMK: [2] 1-4-010:001**  
**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion about the above find.

- Item was moved up on the agenda to be discussed after Business Item D
- Burial Site Specialist Kealana Phillips update status of project. Mr. Phillips mention that all work is stopped in area, pending alternative options provided by Travaasa and an open discussion is had with the community members of the area.
- Barker Fariss address the council. Mr. Fariss explained that the County of Maui needs to issue the stop work order. After they do so, county can come to SHPD to re-review for mitigation commitments.
- Mr. Phillips stated that what is in place now is a verbal agreement to stop work. According to Mr. Fariss, the verbal agreement will not compel them to do anything.

- Council member Dukelow asked what guides the landowner/developer for community consultation with respect to inadvertent finds?
- Mr. Phillips stated that 13-300-40, states that once the report of an inadvertent discovery has been made, the department shall do the following: C (4) Gather sufficient information, including oral tradition, by seeking Individuals who may have knowledge about the families possibly connected lineally or culturally with the inadvertently discovered human skeletal remains, to help document the nature of the burial context and determine appropriate treatment.  
The problem is the timeline that the department needs to follow when issuing a determination, makes it extremely difficult sufficiently accomplish this per Mr. Phillips
- Mr. Fariss made mention the determination of whether the remains are considered inadvertent vs. previously identified is not justification enough for SHPD to approach county and ask for a stop work order. However, according to Mr. Fariss, in light of all the community testimony, it appears as though there is Enough community support for SHPD to ask the county to stop the work and allow SHPD to come forward and re-evaluate whether project should continue.
- According to Council member Dukelow, in 2015 AIS, 3 burials found. BTP accepted (PIP). Redesign project. Since start of project 4 more burials found. 3 PIP, fourth burial was inadvertently disturbed and removed. Is that not enough to request a stop work order from County?
- Council member stated that if SHPD approved of relocation, no violation. 13-300-40 was referenced again with respect to when a burial is found, work stop in immediate area and appropriate actions taken to protect integrity of burial site from damage.
- Mr. Fariss replied yes, that is what is currently happening. Additional testimony (info shared by community), should be enough to warrant a re-review of project.
- Council member recommends Focus on AMP, see if any violation occurred that can warrant a request for stop work order from county. 13-300-36. Info should be included in AMP. If not implementing what's mentioned in AMP, stop work. Insufficient communications with known descendants.
- Best vehicle to convey this info to the developer?
- Motions were passed by MLIBC at Dec meeting; no response as of yet by Travaasa...Perhaps going through county and pulling permit is the best solution.
- Council agree that landowner need to communicate it's plans with community, prior to going in front of council. Benefit the landowner to meet with community so that community can assist landowner with coming up with alternative plans.
- Vice Chair Maxwell open item up for public testimony
- Ben Rodrigues address council. Disconnect between agencies. A big issue is principle investigator of firm appointing someone who is not qualified. A lot of balls being dropped. How long will this process take; iwi kupuna suffer. Another day that iwi is out of the ground, another day of desecration per Mr. Rodrigues.
- Nameaatea Hoshino address the council. Kamakea 'ohana on dad side. Mr. Hoshino found a news article that stated Mr. David Kamakea passed away April 1928, buried in Hamoa. Based on this info, iwi kupuna that were disturbed/discovered could be of Mr. Hoshino 'ohana. Important to have consultation with descendants. Mr. Hoshino would like to recognized as a cultural descendant. Doing more research; will submit an application.



- Ed Cashman address council. Many community members interested in submitting application for Descendancy. Difficult process; a lot of people unsure of how
- Mr. Cashman read Sam Akoi written testimony (attached) with the help of Ms. Cashman
- Alohalani Smith address council. Ms. Smith mention that she will apply for Descendancy. Ms. Smith showed council a picture of family home in Hamoa that got destroyed by tidal wave in 1946. Families in picture are Aikau, Hewahewa, English, Makahilahila, Mailo and Kaili. Ms. Smith stated that great grandfather is Joseph Aikau from Hamoa. Inadvertent find needs to be looked at it as an anticipated find. Tidal wave hit, exposed things. Always known to have burials. Ms. Smith would like to see iwi kupuna reinterned. Respect, honor them. Protect burials.
- Anela English address council. Ms. English is totally against digging. Wished the digging would have stopped after the first discovery of iwi. Please let kupuna rest in peace with no worry of leach field being shared with their final resting place.
- Earle Medeiros address council. Same thing happening to burials right now is what happened to the language and the culture back in the day. Was taken away; almost extinct, forgotten. Mr. Medeiros got testimony from two of the older kupuna in area. (written testimony attached). Kupuna all knew of burials.
- Ke 'eaumoku Kapu address council. Need to go any further with the amount of information presented, particularly Sam Akoi? At this point, as a burial council, it is time to tell the developer to stop doing what is doing and get out. What is happening is detrimental to the iwi kupuna buried there.
- Burials not inadvertent. Everyone knew it was there.
- Kai Prais address council. Map of burials? Inadvertent and Previously Identified designation of burials? Mr. Prais read aloud a letter by OHA. Mr. Prais read aloud definition of burial site. Definition of remains. Mr. Prais made mention of communication with SHPD from December.
- Mr. Prais mention that there is a lot of information that community is trying to clarity on. Any records showing the process has been followed when a discovery is made 13-300-40. At what point was the burials found in November, decided to be inadvertent? Are there records showing how decision was made?
- Council member Dukelow stated that council was notified in November; it was on the Dec MLIBC agenda listed under inadvertent finds.
- Vice Chair Maxwell stated that both Chair and Vice Chair was notified about find. Typically, the district rep is notified; district rep inactive in Hana.
- SHPD was asked by council if any records exist on how discoveries were determined to be inadvertent?
- SHPD response is that no one makes a determination re: inadvertent vs. previously identified. The determination is based on the definition. 13-300-2, Inadvertent discovery means the unanticipated finding of human skeletal remains and any burial goods resulting from unintentional disturbance, erosion, or other ground disturbing activity. Previously identified means burial sites containing human skeletal remains and any burial goods identified during AIS and date recovery of possible burial sites, or known through oral or written testimony.
- Ke 'eaumoku Kapu made mention that perhaps a repository area be designated by the descendants to ensure its safeguard, until such a time when reinternment can be done.

**- Motion A- The Maui Lānaʻi Islands Burial Council recommends that the SHPD seeks from the County of Maui, a stop work order for the Hāmoa Beach/Mokae Cove Septic System Project by Travaasa, Hāna, until Travaasa Hāna:**

- **Consults with both the immediate community and the recently recognized cultural descendants**
- **A comprehensive review of the Monitoring Plan can be conducted by the SHPD**
- **The Burial Treatment Plan can be finalized**

**- 4 Aye; 1 Nay**

**- Motion Carried**

**- Motion B – Move that SHPD, on behalf of the burial council, submit the public testimony and burial council meeting minutes related to TMK: (2) 1-4 010:001 to the County pursuant to HAR: 13-275-11, as new evidence that the consultation process in the monitoring plan and HRS is not being sufficiently**

**adhered to, and recommend that SHPD in consultation with the County and the**

**Landowner take mitigation action to require public notice a community meeting and consultation with identified lineal and cultural descendants.**

**- All aye; No Nay**

**- Motion Carried**

**- Written Testimony provided by community members Mary Ann Kahana, Sam Akoi Jr., Adam Kaiwi, Mavis Oliveria-Medeiros, Earle Kuikahi Medeiros, John Bull-English, Earle Kuikahi Medeiros, Sr., Blondie Kaina, Anela English, Janet Six, Bruce Lind and Keahi Bustamente. Please see testimony attached.**

**– Council Member Leiane Paci excused from meeting**

**Quorum was lost at 1:05 PM**

**B. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Hāmākuapoko Beach, reported to the Maui Office of the State Historic Preservation Division on December 24, 2017 and January 14, 2018, Ahupuaʻa of Hāmākuapoko, Moku of Hāmākuapoko, Island of Maui, TMK: [2] -2-5-004:024 Information/Discussion/Recommendation: Discussion about the above find.**

**- Item not discussed**

**C. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Kaulahao, reported to the Maui Office of the State Historic Preservation Division on January 2, 2018, Ahupuaʻa of Hāmākuapoko, Moku of Hāmākuapoko, Island of Maui, TMK: [2] 2-6-009:019 and [2] 2-6-009:023 Information/Discussion/Recommendation: Discussion about the above find.**

**- Item not discussed**

- D. Inadvertent Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Kihamanienie, reported to the Maui Office of the State Historic Preservation Division on January 19, 2018, Ahupua'a of Kamoku, Island of Lāna'i, TMK: [2] 4-9-018:003**

**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion about the above find.

-Item not discussed

---

NOTES

- E. Update on Maui Lani Phase VI, Wailuku Ahupua'a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, TMK [2] 3-8-099:999 and 118**

**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion on the above topic.

- Annette Heu address council re: subject matter. Ms. Heu expressed her concerns of the continual desecration of iwi kupuna

- Jocelyn Costa address council

- Kai Prais address council

- Amy Halas address council re: subject matter. Ms. Halas expressed her concerns re: the fact that SHPD gave approval to county to re-release building permit for ML VI increment 3.

- Kaniloa Kamaunu address council re: subject matter. Mr. Kamaunu expressed concerns of the continual desecration of the sand dunes. Mr. Kamaunu was seeking info whether stop work order for increment 4 would still be in place until next meeting. Feels like fighting losing battle.

Council member Kamaunu asked if community can craft some kind of solution and bring in front of council at next MLIBC meeting.

- Noelani Ahia address council re: subject matter. Ms. Ahia read aloud letter drafted by Mr. Fariss to County (Leslie Otani). Ms. Ahia expressed her concerns re: motions approved by council and the ability of council/department to recommend/make agencies, landowner, developer do something. Confusing, challenging process. Animosity between kanaka. Need to work together to resolve issues.

- Clare Apana address council. Platform for public for express concerns. Lack of site visit.

- F. Update on Treatment of Human Skeletal Remains in SHPD Curation from Home Maid Bakery Inc, 988 Lower Main St, Wailuku Ahupua'a, Wailuku District, island of Maui, Hawai'i, TMK [2] 3-5-037:048**

**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion on the above topic.

- Kaniloa Kamaunu address council. Informed council in attendance that public (Palafox) been in communication with landowner re: reinternment of iwi kupuna; Working on plan for reinternment

- Council

- G. Maintenance of The Burial Preserve at the Maui Lani Regional Park, in accordance with the Burial Site Component of a Preservation Plan for Site**

**50-50-04-6265 Features 1, 2, 4-7 and an Archaeological Data Recovery Plan for Sites 50-50-04-6265, Features 3, 8, and -6266, Wailuku Ahupua ʻa, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, TMK: [2] 3-8-007: 131 Pors.**  
**Information/Discussion/Recommendation:** Discussion on the above topic.

- Amy Halas address council. Ms. Halas concerned about high traffic park area; continue desecration of preserve.
- Council members voice concerns re: proper treatment of burials (burial preserves). Conflicted in a sense that ancient practice of burial (secret/unmarked). Difficult decision to have a huge monument, etc. since it conflicts with old burial practice. At the same time, council agrees that do not want dogs, kids, playing on preserve.
- Kaniloa Kamaunu address council on this subject matter.
- Clare Apana address council on this subject matter. People who receive permits should be made aware of what is located there.

Meeting adjourned at 1:55 PM

Minutes by Kealana Phillips. SHPD Burial Site Specialist