|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***FY 2022 Landscape Scale Restoration Competitive Program******DRAFT PROPOSAL WORKSHEET*** | **Filename** |
| State: |       | Keyword: |       |
| **Administration Information** |
| Funds Requested: |       |
| Match: |       |
| **Score**:       | **Ranking:**       | **Project Funding:**       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1** | **Applicant Information** |
| **State Forestry Agency:** |       |
| **Contact Person:** |       |
| **Address:** |       |
| **City:** |       | **State:**  |       | **Zipcode**:  |       |
| **Phone:** |       | **Email:** |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2** | **Project Information** |
| **Is this a Multi-state proposal? Check “yes” if want to submit the same proposal with multiple state budget requests. If yes, a co-applicant menu item will appear in the on-line version for you to add other states/islands. This allows you to work on the same proposal with eah applicant requesting funds.** **:**       | **☐ Yes OR ☐ No** |
| **Descriptive Title****of Project:** |       |
| **Partnering Agencies / Organizations:**  | Please use the **Partners** drop down list on the top of the menu bar on-line to add organizations that are partners on this project. You will refer to their associated number in the budget and/or narratives when describing their contribution or role.  |
| **Project Duration:** | **☐ One Year** | **☐ Two Years** | **☐ Three Years** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2** | **GIS Coordinates** |
| **Ref. Point Name:** |  |
| **Lat/Long:** |  |
| **Description:** |  |
| **Ref. Point Name:** |  |
| **Lat/Long:** |   |
| **Description:** |   |
| **Area Name:** |  |
| **Boundary Lat/Longs:** |  |
| **Description:** |   |
| **Area Name:** |  |
| **Boundary Lat/Longs:** |  |
| **Description:** |   |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3** | **Project Overview/Purpose Statement** |
| **5 Points. 1250 Characters including spaces.**Provide a succinct and relevant project overview/purpose statement; clearly communicate the value of the project. Description should cover all description elements.Description should include:- location and importance of landscape; - landscape need;- high level overview of main goals, objectives, and deliverables;- collaboration, boundaries, jurisdictions;- amount of funds requested and total project value; and- relationship to Forest Action Plan (or equivalent state-wide restoration strategy) and Landscape Objectives.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4** | **Context, Goals, and Objectives** |
| **15 Points. 2500 Characters including spaces.**Context should clearly identify priority landscapes and issues that are the focus of the project. Goals and objectives should be explicitly explained and linked to state Forest Action Plan (or equivalent state-wide restoration strategy) priorities and to the Landscape Objectives. The need for treatment of the landscape should be clearly explained, and the goals of the project should be clearly addressed and linked to the needs. Project objectives should be clearly identified, developed, and linked to project goals.       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | **Proposed Activities** |
| **20 Points. 3000 Characters including spaces.** Clearly describe with specificity, activities to be completed with grant funds and leveraged resources. All project expenditures should be explicitly identified and linked to specific project goals, objectives, and activities. Match funds, their source, which goals they support, and specific costs should be well detailed. The financial contributions of partners should be documented clearly under leverage. Projects that leverage funding from multiple entities will be given priority.Please note: Any research items included in a project description MUST explicitly outline their funding source as non-federal funds. Projects that use S&PF dollars to fund research are considered ineligible.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **6** | **Project Budget** |
|  |  | **Leverage[[1]](#footnote-1)** |  |
|  | **Grant** | **Match** | **Non-Match** | **Source** | **TOTAL** |
|  | **Funds requested** | **Applicant** | **Non-federal****contributors** | **Applicant, non-federal,and/or federal** | **3rd Party Contributor/s** | **Totalproject cost** |
| **Personnel / Labor:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Fringe Benefits:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Travel:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Equipment:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Supplies:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Contractual:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Construction:** |  |  |  |       |       |       |
| **Consolidated[[2]](#footnote-2):** |  |       |  |  |  |       |
| **Other:** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **Indirect Costs[[3]](#footnote-3):** |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| **TOTAL:** |       |       |       |       |  |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **7** | **Deliverables and Outcomes** |
| **15 Points. 2500 Characters including spaces.**Clearly lay out deliverables and outcomes and link them to achievement of state Forest Action Plan (or equivalent state-wide restoration strategy) priorities and to the Landscape Objectives. Clearly describe how the selected objectives will lead to measurable outcomes on the landscape and how applicants will measure progress towards those outcomes. Clear articulation of the planned results of these efforts and the metrics by which those results will be measured (e.g., acres treated to reduce hazardous fuels, acres treated for insects and disease, acres of trees and seedlings planted to enhance water quality) will be prioritized for funding. Proposed metrics should be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and timely. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **8** | **Collaboration/Cross-Boundary** |
| **Points. 2500 Characters including spaces.**Proposals should address all elements listed below. Towards this end, it should demonstrate use of coordination and partnerships with complementary state and federal programs to improve outcomes.Proposal should describe how the project is cross-boundary. Projects should clearly identify partners that are actively engaged and add value towards project planning and implementation. Collaboration both qualitative and quantitative should be detailed. Proposal should demonstrate partnership, convey that regular meetings/dialogue of partners will be convened, describe how the project cultivates organization of partners/landowners around common goals/objectives, detail the sharing of funding or resources, and explain how the project generates commitment to working across boundaries for achievement of the project. Project proposal should detail prior collaborative work.High scoring projects may also coordinate with or be proximate to other landscape-scale projects on federal or state land to increase collaboration and overall impact. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **9** | **Forest Action Plan Integration** |
| **10 Points. 2000 Characters including spaces.**Proposals should address all elements listed below. Towards this end, it demonstrates use of coordination and partnerships with complementary state and federal programs to improve outcomes.Proposal should describe how the project is cross-boundary. Projects should clearly identify partners that are actively engaged and add value towards project planning and implementation. Collaboration both qualitative and quantitative should be detailed. Proposal should demonstrate partnership, convey that regular meetings/dialogue of partners will be convened, describe how the project cultivates organization of partners/landowners around common goals/objectives, detail the sharing of funding or resources, and explain how the project generates commitment to working across boundaries for achievement of the project. Project proposal should detail prior collaborative work.High scoring projects may also coordinate with or be proximate to other landscape-scale projects on federal or state land to increase collaboration and overall impact.       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **10** | **Meaningful Scale/Cross-Boundary** |
| **10 Points. 2000 Characters including spaces.**Clearly describe how the project scale (i.e. scope) is a function of the most appropriate size associated with ownerships, objectives, and outcomes (including cross-boundary goals) for the priority landscape. Projects should describe the project area, the land ownerships and specific areas targeted for treatments. Detail how the scale is sufficient to address the identified relevant priority landscape and issues from the Forest Action Plan (or equivalent state-wide restoration strategy) and the Landscape Objectives being addressed by the project. Clearly articulate the rationale for why the scope is meaningful. A project may also coordinate with or be proximate to other landscape-scale projects onfederal or state land as a means of enhancing the scope of the project. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **11** | **Sustainability of Outcomes** |
| **10 Points. 2000 Characters including spaces.**Projects should describe how others will learn from project implementation including the projects potential to inform practitioners and enhance the effectiveness of similar initiatives. This knowledge and technical transfer need not necessarily be between states, but should aim to share innovation across the landscapes of importance as relevant. Provide rationale for why dollars invested will sustain project outcomes into the future beyond project end date. Clearly outline replicability to increase future impact. Explain how development and/or strengthening of partnerships will also be a means of supporting project outcomes beyond the project end date. Describe how the project results in skills and enhanced capabilities that extend beyond the life of the project. Project displays how this investment will lead to a specific, quantifiable, cost effective, replicable benefit that addresses the priority landscape and issues from the Forest Action Plan (or equivalent statewide restoration strategy), as well as the Landscape Objectives. Describe how project results in resource sharing and cross-boundary/jurisdictional agreements that extend beyond the project period. Please note: While projects may include a component of outreach, education, and training as a means to achieve the project goals, it should not be the sole outcome.  |

1. Leverage includes all three categories: match, non-match, and source. Funds qualifying as “match” must meet the same program requirements as grant funds (e.g., program authorities, non-federal sources). Other “non-match” leveraged funds do not need to meet the same standards (e.g., may include funds for construction, funds from other federal partners). Partnership with other USFS programs outside of State & Private Forestry, as well as other federal and state programs is encouraged.

 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. If any part of your match requirement is being covered through a consolidated payment grant (i.e. state spending of non-federal funds on activities that meet S&PF program authorities but are not tied to this proposal), please place it here. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Indirect costs must be tied to an established rate. Waived indirect costs are an acceptable source of match.

 [↑](#footnote-ref-3)